Page 1

SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY
AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING

KC WRIGHT ADMINISTRATION CENTER
BOARD ROOM
600 SE 3RD AVENUE
FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA

THURSDAY, JUNE 22, 2023 9:30 A.M. - 1:13 P.M.

Court Reporter:
Timothy R. Bass, Stenographic Reporter
Bass Reporting Service, Inc.
633 South Andrews Avenue, 5th Floor
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Page 2 1 COMMITTEE MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE: 2 MR. ANDREW MEDVIN, CHAIR MS. MARY FERTIG, VICE CHAIR 3 MS. RUTH CARTER-LYNCH MR. ANTHONY DE MEO 4 MS. ITOHAN IGHODARO DR. NATHALIE LYNCH-WALSH 5 MR. ROBERT MAYERSOHN MS. PHYLLIS SHAW 6 MS. JACLYN STRAUSS 7 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF AUDITOR STAFF: 8 MR. JORIS JABOUIN, Chief Auditor MS. ALI ARCESE, Audit Director 9 MS NAKIA GOULDBOURNE, Senior Auditor MS. JENNIFER HARPALANI, Information Technology Audits 10 MS. MICHELE MARQUARDT, Executive Secretary MS. WANDA RADCLIFF, Clerk Spec B 11 DISTRICT STAFF: 12 DR. EARLEEN SMILEY, Interim Superintendent of Schools 13 MRS. JUDITH MARTE, Deputy Superintendent, Operations MS. SHELLEY MELONI, Acting Chief Facilities Officer 14 MR. MARCELO D'ARCE, Manager, Procurement & Warehousing Services 15 MR. ERIC SEIFER, Process Analyst, Procurement & Warehousing Services 16 INVITED GUESTS: 17 MR. MATTHEW BLONDELL, Business Risk Consulting, RSM 18 MR. CHRIS GUMS, Risk Advisory Services, RSM MS. KATHLEEN LANGAN, AECOM 19 MS. ASHLEY CARPENTER, Atkins MR. TIM BASS, Court Reporter, United Reporting, Inc. 20 GUESTS: 21 RAUL ALVAREZ, Trimerge Consulting Group 22 23 24 25

	Page 3
1	Thereupon, the following proceedings were had:
2	
3	MR. MEDVIN: Good morning. May we please
4	rise for the pledge?
5	(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was
6	recited.)
7	MR. MEDVIN: Roll call, please.
8	MR. JABOUIN: The roll call, Ms. Ruth
9	Carter-Lynch?
10	MS. CARTER-LYNCH: I'm here.
11	MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Rebecca Dahl is excused.
12	Mr. Anthony De Meo?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Mary Fertig?
15	(No response.)
16	MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Itohan Ighodaro?
17	(No response.)
18	MR. JABOUIN: Dr. Nathalie Lynch-Walsh?
19	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Here.
20	MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Robert Mayersohn?
21	MR. MAYERSOHN: I'm here.
22	MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Andrew Medvin?
23	MR. MEDVIN: Here.
24	MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Phyllis Shaw.
25	MS. SHAW: Here.

1	MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Jaclyn Strauss?
2	MS. STRAUSS: Here.
3	MR. JABOUIN: Peter Turso is excused.
4	We'll go around the room.
5	I'm Joris Jabouin, the Chief Auditor.
6	MS. ARCESE: Ali Arcese, Audit Director,
7	Office of the Chief Auditor.
8	MRS. MARTE: Good morning. Judith Marte,

Deputy Superintendent, Operations.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. RADCLIFF: Wanda Radcliff, Office of the Chief Auditor.

MS. MARQUARDT: Michelle Marquardt, Office of the Chief Auditor.

MS. GOULDBOURNE: Nakia Gouldbourne, Office of the Chief Auditor.

MS. HARPALANI: Jennifer Harpalani, Office of the Chief Auditor.

MR. JABOUIN: And just to point out that the superintendent's designee for this meeting is Ms. Judith Marte.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: I need to request an excuse for our last meeting. Unfortunately, I had work and was unable to make it.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay.

MR. JABOUIN: And I forgot we have Mr. Blondelll from RSM.

Just say your name into the microphone.

MR. BLONDELL: Matt Blondell with RSM.

MR. JABOUIN: And we do not have any public speakers, Mr. Chair.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Approval of the Agenda.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to approve.

MS. SHAW: Second, Phyllis.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Any discussion?

MS. STRAUSS: Wait. Yes. For the agenda for today? Yes, I do have something that I wanted to bring up and ask the Chief Auditor if it was part of our discussions that are on the agenda.

It's my understanding, Mr. Auditor, that as part of the MSD Public Safety Act that the legislature provided \$25 million to rebuild the school building where the massacre took place. My understanding is is that that new building has already been erected at a cost of approximately \$18 million. The old building needs to come down and it is planned to come down within the next 30 to 60 days is also my understanding.

If you spent 18 million of the \$25 million is, that accurate? I need to know. And I

believe the public needs to know. And the difference between the 18 and the 25 million, where is that money? Has it been spent? If it hasn't been spent I'd like to see all invoices on what the money has been spent for. And I'd like to see all invoices for the building that is on campus now that is the new building.

So, with that, I'd like to know if this is part of the audit discussion for today. And I also want to know, in our attorneys -- in her legal analysis, does she believe the remainder of the funds provided by the legislature 21 days after the massacre for MSD, does she believe any money left over can be spent on anything school related or does it need to be spent on MSD? Where are those funds? And I want a date as to when I will get an answer to these questions.

MR. JABOUIN: I wanted to recognize Vice Chair Ms. Fertig for the roll call. Thank you.

With respect to your question, Ms. Strauss, as to, the process would be to --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Can I just interject for one second?

MR. JABOUIN: Sure.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So is that, are you making a

1 motion?

MS. STRAUSS: Well, I want to know if it's included in any of these audits on our agenda --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.

MS. STRAUSS: -- that we are reviewing. And, if not, then I'd like to make a motion to discuss that.

MR. JABOUIN: I just also want to recognize Mr. De Meo.

MR. MAYERSOHN: To discuss it or to get the information?

MS. STRAUSS: To get the information.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay.

MR. JABOUIN: So what has to happen is, a motion needs to be made to make such a request. This is not an agenda item. So at Agenda Item Number 16 with the Audit Committee Comments section that a motion can be made and then the district will respond to it, respond to the motion.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So in -- in respect to that, I mean, and I appreciate Ms. Strauss's motion or interest, I would like to make that motion now while we have a quorum, because I have concerns that as we move along this agenda we may lose

1 | quorum and we won't be able to have that motion.

MS. SHAW: There's a motion on the table to accept the agenda. Can we do that first before we conduct another motion?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Sure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. STRAUSS: No, because I didn't get my answer. My answer was, in the existing agenda, so item number 10, item number 12, is this topic covered in either of those agenda items?

MR. MAYERSOHN: No, I don't believe so.

MS. STRAUSS: So that money will not be included in either 10 or 12?

MR. MEDVIN: Correct. No.

MS. STRAUSS: It is not. Okay. Well, then

MR. MAYERSOHN: Then I'll second your motion.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. Thank you.

MS. SHAW: There's already a motion on the table.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. No, right.

Procedurally --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: One motion at a time.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Procedurally, let's vote to
-- and I don't want to step over Mr. Medvin, but
let's vote on --

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

MR. MEDVIN: To accept the agenda. I'll open up for a separate motion that you can make; okay?

MR. MAYERSOHN: The approval of the agenda with going out of order just to address, I'll approve it with the stipulation that we address Ms. Strauss's concerns.

MR. MEDVIN: All in favor of approving the agenda with Mr. Mayersohn's stipulation that we'll proceed to a motion? All in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Motion carries.

Ms. Strauss, would you care to make a motion?

MS. STRAUSS: Yes, I'd like to make a motion to receive, by a date that the auditor is willing to commit to, providing the information on the \$25 million, I don't know if it was a grant or funds, that were provided by the legislature after the MSD massacre, approximately 21 days after.

MR. JABOUIN: Please talk a little slower. Thank you.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. I would like to make a motion to receive the information by a date that

the Chief Auditor declares today around the public -- the MSD Public Safety Act \$25 million that was given to this district. I would like to know in that -- in the paperwork provided, if in fact \$18 million was spent on the new building that has already been completed. I would like to request all invoices associated with that. And I would like information on the difference between whatever was spent on that building and what is left of the \$25 million. I would like to know --

MR. JABOUIN: Slower, thank you.

MS. STRAUSS: -- where is the money currently? Has it been spent? If it has been spent I would like all invoices showing us what it was spent on. And I would like to know the general counsel's position on any remaining funds and her legal opinion on how that money may be used, whether it must be used for MSD specific items or schools in general.

MS. SHAW: I want to second that one motion with some changes, recommended amendment, friendly amendment.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay.

MS. SHAW: Number one, I would like to set a date certain, whether it's by an August meeting

or September. So I would like to set a date certain, number one.

Number two, I would like the -- not just the invoices, but either a spreadsheet or a document that shows the budgeted amount, the amount spent, and what is left over, so in addition to looking at the invoice we can have a depiction or something on a sheet that shows the amount beginning, used, what it's spent on, and the ending balance.

MS. STRAUSS: With all due respect, an August or September date is too long in my opinion. The building that is currently there where the massacre took place is planned to be taken down in the next 30 days. So I need to know this information. Is there another board meeting, school board meeting, before August or September?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah.

MS. STRAUSS: When?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Next week.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So then I would like it by next week, please.

MS. FERTIG: Can I just -- can I just make a suggestion? Could we -- you're here in a public forum. You can make a public records request for

exactly what Ms. Shaw said.

MS. STRAUSS: There has been numerous public records requests that have been ignored by multiple stakeholders in this community including the families of the victims.

MS. FERTIG: All right. So let me just say, we're here in the audit committee, we have a court reporter here, and I think that it's reasonable to -- and this has to be on a spreadsheet. I don't think you're asking them to create anything that doesn't already exist. So I think the reasonable -- oh, sorry. Sorry.

I think the reasonable expectation is, you're making a public records request right now, what you expect to see within the next -- well, I would say three days but to be reasonable a week, before the week. You want it by next Monday; right?

MS. STRAUSS: I do.

MS. FERTIG: Because there's a board meeting on Tuesday?

MS. STRAUSS: That's correct.

MS. FERTIG: So, if you limit it to the spreadsheet that you're asking for, there should

be no reason why you cannot -- in my mind, I think, if we're all in agreement, it's a public records request, we could make it as an audit committee.

MS. STRAUSS: But I want a spreadsheet with the backup invoices. I want to see the invoices.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So I'm trying to pull up, and I'm limited to the March reports from the program managers. I agree, a public records request would certainly get the information, and I've been doing public records requests as the chair of the Facilities Task Force when I shouldn't have to. If the audit committee -- if an audit committee member has to do a public records request for financial information, that's a bad look. I'm willing to go down that road, but it looks bad on the district to say that a member of the audit committee, when the audit committee is empowered to investigate any financial matters, she shouldn't have to do a public records request.

MS. FERTIG: Here's the reality, we can pass this motion and it'll take a month or two at least to get.

MS. STRAUSS: No, it will not, because somebody in this room, whether it's Mrs. Marte, whether it's Mr. Jabouin, will commit -- my hope is you will commit by Monday to furnish this information in preparation for the board meeting on Tuesday. This is imperative and it's time sensitive.

MS. FERTIG: But in the scope of the audit committee --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any financial matters.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Well, I think there are processes that are in place, but I'm just trying to say, if there are people that -- can I just say my own experience, and I've done a few public records requests, too, and I have ended up in court more than once, not myself, but --

MS. STRAUSS: I'm not going to court. I'm not doing this.

MS. FERTIG: No, I'm just saying, you shouldn't have a -- you should make a public records request and they should have -- Mr. Jabouin or Ms. Marte, why would that not be responded to, a spreadsheet?

MS. STRAUSS: She has her hand up. I'd like to hear Ms. Marte, please.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Marte, can you please respond?

MRS. MARTE: Thank you, Chair.

So I understand your question, Mrs. Strauss. The information is, to the committee's comment, readily available. I mean, we keep track of all of that. The numbers you have, I can tell you that much more than 18 million was spent on that building and we have provided to the board in a follow-up to the board what's left in that account. So the spreadsheet, and you're calling it a spreadsheet, but the document that says, this was the budget, this is what's spent, this is what's left is available.

The only thing I am not sure that I can provide by Monday is the detail of all of the invoices, because some of them are archived because the construction of the new building happened a while ago.

I will get with Mr. Gorokhovsky today and ask him and Mr. Whitney to start gathering those invoices, but I think we can respond fairly quickly.

MS. STRAUSS: Is there any money left?

MRS. MARTE: There is a very -- and I'm

trying to pull up the board referral right now and I wasn't prepared for this so I'm going to see if a staff member has it upstairs. There is a small amount of money left in the building.

Then, in addition to that, there's \$1 million in the memorial fund, of which none of the money has been drawn down from the state yet.

MS. STRAUSS: How is the payment going to be made for the takedown of the building that's coming? Because that's going to be -- you've got to pay --

MRS. MARTE: Right. That will come out of the remaining funds from the 25 million or part of those; yes.

MS. STRAUSS: Really?

MRS. MARTE: That's my understanding, but I'll verify that now that you've asked.

I know the board approved an item for demolition for MSD. If it is not allowable that those funds be used for that, then the building would have to -- the district would have to absorb that cost. But I will verify that for you, Mrs. Strauss, with legal, and with the documents and the legislation that set up the \$25 million for the new building.

1 MR. MAYERSOHN: And that will go to all of us; correct?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MRS. MARTE: It will go to Mr. Jabouin, to the Chair, to everybody.

But, again, I don't -- the question about what funds will be used to demolish the building, I have to get back to you with the correct answer on that, ma'am.

MS. STRAUSS: Do you have a cost on it?

MRS. MARTE: We have an estimate. I don't have it with me.

MS. STRAUSS: I'd like to request that as well as part of my motion, please.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

MRS. MARTE: I'm sorry. If I could just state on the record? The district does not have possession of the building at this time.

MS. STRAUSS: I understand who has possession of the building. Thank you.

You will be getting possession of that building within the next 30 days.

MRS. MARTE: We have been told that already; yes.

MS. STRAUSS: Yes.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, so Atkins is the one that tracks all of the costs and payments. Cash flows, budgets, all of that should be readily available. I don't have the most recent report because there's a lag time, but they can certainly pull whatever they have in their system and then I can't see why the invoices — that should all be readily available before Tuesday, to your point. Especially since we're working Friday this week, instead of four days.

MS. FERTIG: So can I just ask for a clarification? Are you now making this as a public records request to be due by Monday?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No.

MS. STRAUSS: No.

MR. JABOUIN: You're making it, as an audit committee member, you want the information --

MR. MEDVIN: You want this information distributed to all members of the audit committee on a very timely basis.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And the school board.

MS. STRAUSS: Yeah, and I want it provided to the school board by Tuesday.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: School board and audit committee by Tuesday.

MS. STRAUSS: And I actually would like the Department of Education copied on this as well. Senior Chancellor, please.

MR. MEDVIN: All right. Does this require an additional vote?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, we've got to vote. We didn't vote yet.

MS. FERTIG: And I would just like to, could you read the motion back to us so we're just clear on what we're anticipating what we're getting?

MR. JABOUIN: So what I have is a motion to receive the information from the Chief Auditor on the \$25 million provided by the district. I'd like to know if 18 --

MS. STRAUSS: No, not provide by the district, provided to the district by the legislature approximately 21 days after the massacre which was passed in the MSD Public Safety Act.

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, I read my own writing incorrectly. Provided to the district, but I've added by the legislature. I would like to know if \$18 million has been committed and would like all invoices associated with that. I'd like to

know the difference. I would like to know if the money has been spent. All invoices that it has been spent on, general counsel's position on any remaining funds and her legal opinion on how the money will be used and whether or not -- and whether it must be used on MSD specific items or the schools. I would like a spreadsheet or a document or a spreadsheet, this is Mrs. Shaw's addition, beginning, used, spent on, ending balance, an estimate to complete, copied to the school board, audit committee and Department of Education.

That's what I wrote very quickly.

MS. STRAUSS: To add to that, I would like to know the cost of demolition, the estimate on that demolition cost, where the funds are coming from to demolish the building, and I would like confirmation that the \$1 million for the Memorial --

MR. JABOUIN: Please talk a little slower. Thank you. Continue, please.

MS. STRAUSS: Where are you at, Mr. Jabouin?

MR. JABOUIN: Cost of the demolition, estimate of demolition, where the funds are coming from, and, please --

MS. STRAUSS: To pay for the demolition. And I would also like confirmation, paper confirmation, that they're, of the \$1 million Mrs. Marte stated was readily available for the memorial is there and earmarked.

MRS. MARTE: I can answer for the 1 million.

MS. STRAUSS: I want to see it. I'm sorry.

I want to see the bank account.

MRS. MARTE: But you won't.

MS. STRAUSS: Well, then I want to see it on some document.

MRS. MARTE: The 1 million is still in possession of the state. When we spend the money we submit it to the state and they reimburse it.

MS. STRAUSS: Oh, right, they gave you half, they gave you --

MRS. MARTE: They don't give us any of the million. That's all at the state. But I can -- I'll put that in writing to you. Because we get the funds reimbursed when they're drawn down, when we make expenditures.

MS. STRAUSS: Right. But I believe we did get half of something up front, but I don't know.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: Since this is a reimbursable

program, can we receive each of the reimbursement packets that was sent to the state then? Because then that would provide exactly how much that was sent to the state. And that should also have the proper backup along with the cancelled checks or however we paid the vendor.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, so you want the records from the program managers, Atkins and AECOM, related to Project 002299, which is the Marjory Stoneman Douglas High School, Demolition of Building 12 and Restore Site. Because that's the project that's in here.

MS. STRAUSS: Thank you. Ms. Carter-Lynch, did you have something?

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: I'm good. I'm good. No, I wanted clarification, but as you guys talked --

MR. MEDVIN: Do we have a second to this motion?

MS. SHAW: I second it.

MR. MEDVIN: Seconded by Ms. Shaw.

Any further comments?

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Yeah, let me finish my comments.

MR. MEDVIN: Well, you weren't recognized.

You've got to put your hand up.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: I was saying, as you guys talked my question was answered; okay. That's it. Thank you.

MR. MEDVIN: Any other comments?

We'll take a vote, please. All in favor say, aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries.

Mr. Jabouin, Administrative Matters.

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you, Chair.

So, regarding the Audit Committee Agenda, we do have some timeframes in there for today's meeting. They do serve as a guide, but we do provide them to outsiders who are coming in and out of meeting.

I also wanted to mention with respect to the microphones, please utilize them during this meeting as I am because your voice makes it into the Becon environment and the streaming.

Also, with respect to the parking passes,
Wanda Radcliff has distributed the parking pass
forms. If you are able to complete them and fill

them out and return them to her, she can process the parking passes for you immediately so you'll have them for the August meeting. You will need to return your old parking passes to her to get the new parking passes. So if you're able to do that, Wanda Radcliff is here to collect those and process them for you. Last year I think we wanted to get a head start on that so we'll do so.

And that concludes the Chief Auditor Administrative Items, Chair.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Thank you.

Public speakers, I believe we do not have any.

MR. JABOUIN: We'll confirm that if you want to continue.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay.

MR. JABOUIN: Confirmed, Chair.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Thank you.

My comment is brief. I'd like to thank Ms. Fertig for chairing the meeting last month. She did an admirable job and I appreciate it very much.

Item 7 is Approval of the Minutes for the May meeting.

Any comments or questions regarding the minutes?

(No response.)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MEDVIN: May I have a motion for approval?

MR. MAYERSOHN: So moved.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Second.

MR. MEDVIN: All in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: The minutes are approved.

Mr. Jabouin can discuss the dates for the next --

MR. MEDVIN: Thank you, Chair.

Agenda Item 8, these are the proposed meeting dates for the fiscal school year 2024. On the Audit Committee Bylaws, Article V Section 2 calls for the establishment of the meeting dates at the first meeting of the school year in August. However, operationally, there are challenges in being able to secure this room due to the many meetings that occur here in this room, so we do start them early. And so I'm hoping to get these dates approved so we can go ahead and confirm and

reserve rooms.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now the logic behind these meetings is that there are certain due dates that we are unable to And the meetings, like, for example, the move. third Thursday of every month, that's because we have deliverables that are due and due dates and availability of personnel. So we do send, for example, the packages a week ahead of time. there are some dates, for example, the November date of November 16th, 2023, that's a solid date because of the ACFR is presented at that particular meeting and the external auditors have to provide that -- the document in there because it has to be approved at the December meeting and then there's the Thanksgiving holiday that follows that.

The January meeting is set because we need to get the approval of the single audit and the independent auditor's report. And given the holiday break and the need to be able to get staff back, which they return on January 5 of 2024, and the timing of when the packages have to be prepared, that's a date of January 25 that should be solid because there has been in the past some errors in these reports where we needed

to start the process again and the due date of that is -- is March 31st for the single audit and the various regulatory reports that we have to file with the Department of Education, the Auditor General and so forth.

2024 is a leap year, so if we would have necessarily had a meeting in March it's now February 29th, which would have been March without the leap year factor.

The meeting that occurs in March provides me with a little bit of coverage in case there is an error and I've got to run the approvals back because I also need to get these documents approved at the board.

In March there's spring break, which is March 25 through 29th and so I do need that last week of March to get the regulatory filings in. So that week that's right before that is critical to me being able to file items with the Department of Education and so forth.

The June meeting is impacted by the high school graduation schedule and the last date of schools. And according to Policy 1070 there are no July meetings.

In December there's no room for meetings

because of the Thanksgiving breaks and the timing of the November meeting and then the December holiday factors that kick in around the second week of December where it's difficult to get staff to provide information that's needed for the meetings.

We do want to space the meetings apart because of the time that it takes to create the meetings and the package. If the meetings are too frequent there won't be an agenda item to be able to discuss because of the timing of the week before the meeting and the week after the meeting on the work that needs to be done.

So with the exception of the Thanksgiving timeframe and the December holiday factors the meetings are generally five weeks apart.

The committee in previous years have asked for additional meetings and we've put them into the calendar, as many meetings as we can, factoring a lot of different things that are occurring at the district.

So the meeting days, so Mondays, Tuesdays and Wednesdays are challenging because there's board meetings on Tuesdays and district staff is preparing for the board meetings on Monday. And

then on Wednesday there's agenda planning sessions. So that just leaves us with Thursdays and Fridays. And Fridays are difficult because some -- some individuals, either the committee members have challenges in being able to make some of those.

So based on the comments from the May 18th, 2023 meeting we did add an additional column where we indicate some of the other advisory committee meetings. If you take a look at it very quickly, it's the last column. So I have the proposed dates and the proposed times. And then, like, if you take a look at August 3rd, there is a Facilities Task Force meeting based on the schedule of meetings, there's a Diversity meeting, unless they make some changes and there's an Audit Committee meeting that day. Now, the Audit Committee meetings are in the morning and those are in the evening, but that is some information to note on that front.

So moving that date impacts -- so I mentioned the November date has to remain firm. Moving that backward squeezes the time between the September 7th meeting. So, technically, we could look into moving the August 3rd back, but then

we'd then have to move the September back.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. Medvin?

MR. JABOUIN: Oh, I'm almost done. I'm almost done. Hold on a second. I just want to go through the logic of some of these.

So there are some challenges, but I wanted to let the committee know that it is a process that is thought out to come out with these dates.

They generally match the dates for this year with the exception of the changes to the calendar where the dates will move by one day.

Also, regarding the August 3rd meeting, I did indicate 10:00 and 10:15. The proposal is to have those at 9:30 for the nominating committee and then the regular meeting at 9:45.

That's the introduction, Chair, just to indicate to the committee that there is a process and there are some challenges to the meetings.

Chair?

MR. MEDVIN: My comment is perhaps we should consider a 9:30 start for all the meetings, only because, historically, as we well know, we've been running over every single time.

Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.

So the one meeting that I expressed was an absolute conflict on multiple occasions is not listed here, which is the Central Area Advisory Meeting. So before we vote on this, if necessary, I'd like to table it until later in the meeting because I'm now asking the Central Area Advisory Chair what the dates are and the times. Because that was the one conflict. I am used to, and tonight will be no different, having Facilities Task Force meetings on the evening of an Audit Committee meeting. They clearly don't conflict, they just happen on the same day. It makes for a long day, but I'm used to it.

So I'm not looking to shift from a Facilities
Task Force meeting because the two have nothing
to do with each other. It's when I have to be in
two places at once that a problem is created.
And that has happened on two to three occasions a
year with Central Area Advisory.

But I don't see that in this notes column.

So I don't know if staff, actually, looked at the master calendar. And I know that someone in Sullivan's office was, in fact, asking for dates. But the one meeting that I said was a conflict is not contemplated here.

MR. MEDVIN: Do you normally meet in the afternoon or the morning?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's literally at the same time, especially when we start at 9:30.

MR. JABOUIN: I have some information. Is this regarding the North Area Advisory; is that the same?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Central -- no. North is north, central, south, east, west.

MR. MEDVIN: Are you attempting to find out the timing?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: She's sending it to me as we speak.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Mr. Mayersohn?

MR. MAYERSOHN: So a couple of things about, I'll call it the meetings, not necessarily dates and times. We all may have conflicts somewhere along the way. So, I mean, that's part of life. But a couple of questions that I have is that, is this the only place we can have a meeting? Do we have a backup? I mean, argument's sake-wise is that the intent was to have or as preparation if they couldn't negotiate the superintendent's contract, today was the next day to negotiate. How long that took, who knows? We could have

been sitting here until 12 in the afternoon.

So my question is, is there another option if this room is booked for a special board meeting or something that we have another option to go somewhere else?

You don't have to answer that now, just, again, food for thought.

The other part is that, in addition, which we've had before, and I don't want to continue to have meetings, after meetings, after meetings, but if we decide that we want to discuss items that we haven't completed and we're just having a workshop, we can do that and I think we've successfully done that via Zoom at times that we needed to. So that's another tool in the tool kit that we can utilize.

The third thing, and I just want to bring this up, and I had discussed this with Ali before is -- and this is me, personally, I don't know how anybody else feels about it, the district spends a lot of time and money printing these up, delivering them, et cetera, et cetera. I have asked the possibility of looking into us as an audit committee member, if you decide to elect, to receive some form of tablet or technology so

that we can -- so that this information can be downloaded on, any notes that we have can be archived, when you're done with your term you hand it in and you're done with it. So a public records request or public records that you may have is already encapsulated into that.

So, again, I just bring that up for information. I don't know how anybody else feels, but those are my comments.

MR. MEDVIN: Thank you. Ms. Fertig?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, and I'm just going to mention that I'm going to make this work, and as Bob said, we all have conflicts, but I always find it interesting that the district lists every single break and everything and plans around all of those, but for families whose children go to extended school year, that's a -- that leaves a very narrow time when there are times for breaks, and I would tell you that your meetings last week on the superintendent fell within that -- and the other one is in August.

So I'm not going to belabor it, I'm just going to say it's interesting that you plan around everything but families who have to have that schedule, of which mine is one.

MR. JABOUIN: Just to make sure I understood for future, Ms. Fertig, you would like us to plan for individuals that have a year-round schedule?

MS. FERTIG: I -- I would say that you've got every -- I'm not asking, I'm going to make this work. But you have everything else on here, you have winter break, spring break, but you don't take into account that one thing. And I'm not surprised, but I'll just leave it at that.

MS. STRAUSS: I thought we asked to go year-round.

MS. FERTIG: Huh?

MS. STRAUSS: Didn't we bring this up about going year round and not taking a break in the summer?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The audit committee?

MS. STRAUSS: I believe I raised that.

MS. FERTIG: I think I said you work in town and work full-time.

I also work, by the way. But my point to you is, you have winter break on here, you have spring break on here, you have other breaks on here, and maybe your family's schedule isn't one that's impacted by special programs, but there are many families who are.

So I would just say to you, I'm really raising this as an awareness because I don't think there's an awareness and -- okay. I'll let it go.

MR. JABOUIN: So noted.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So I've confirmed that

Central Area Advisory meets November 16th and May

16th. And they meet at 9:45. So those two days

I would not be able to attend those meetings if

this schedule is the same. And they already have

evening meetings. So I guess staff did not -
this was literally the meeting I mentioned that

was problematic. So I now have the schedule.

MR. JABOUIN: We're not able to move the November 16th meeting because of the timing of the ACFR documents.

MS. SHAW: What were the dates that were a problem?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: November 16th and May 16th.

No we just make it work. You notice I don't miss these meetings because they know. But it would have been nice if we could have coordinated.

MS. SHAW: Through the Chair?

MR. MEDVIN: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know we go through this every MS. SHAW: year and I still come back to the same thing, we're all over the place with the calendar. And I know there are some items that can't be helped because we do have the annual financial report, which is due by a certain time and has to go to the board by a deadline, including the single audit, but as an organization, I think we can come up with a timeframe. So if we're not able -- if the board is meeting every other week, then we should be able to meet on the opposite week that the board is meeting. We've got to be able to come up with a better schedule because we're all over the place with the schedule. We're all over, we're at the beginning of the month, the middle of the month, the end of month. We've got to be able to come up with it's the third Thursday of every month or whatever the case may be, with the exception of those times, for example, when the annual financial report is due and we need to make, you know, make those exceptions or if -- if it's not the regular audit, it's the what you call it, the auditor's coming, the auditor's coming or whatever the case may be, but we really need to kind of hone in on let's set a timeframe for the meetings so we all can plan around it and be able to plan whatever other meetings and events and life we have outside of here.

And I do agree with Ms. Fertig. We do have a lot of families who are in all-year around school and it is not on here. And we should never ever forget that they should be included as part of this schedule as well. Winter break is great, you know, spring break is great, but for those families who are in school the entire school year, we need to add that to the calendar.

MR. JABOUIN: So noted.

MR. MEDVIN: It seems to me that these number of meetings is never enough. And from time to time, you know, matters come up that we want to continue to talk and there's an urgency to them, and if we had the ability to be a little bit more flexible, different day of the week, as you suggested or a different physical location that's feasible, because this being cast in stone, and it's fine for planning, but, again, many of us will have conflicts come up as the year progresses. And, also, of course, many of us

1 work.

So I'm not sure what the final answer is.

This is just the basic framework that we always work with and I think we should try to stick with it as best we can. I'm more concerned with the flexibility if we have to expand. Because I think the reality is, we have lots to talk about and it comes up from time to time.

MS. FERTIG: I think Bob's -- I was going to say I think his suggestion is one which should be a priority, which is finding a secondary location. I think -- I can think of ideas, I'm sure all of us can, of other places we can hold these meetings. If what's locking us into having this schedule is the meeting place, that's unfortunate. I would -- so, Bob, I think you're absolutely right and I think that's where we should be heading.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Carter-Lynch?

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Well, my point on this is we will never get to a point where we're satisfying everybody with time. That's just not going to happen. Because people have so much to do. I have 999,000 meetings. But what we can do -- yeah. What we can do is, though, if there

is something that there's a dire need, can't we always call a special meeting? We can do that. And not make this such a written-in-stone situation where we have what we have. Because there are, what, 999,000 meetings here in Broward County School District? So can't we just -- if we have a problem, let's just call a special meeting and make sure we get quorum. But I don't -- you know, I think it's okay. But to your point, yeah, we need to include everybody. We need to have a diverse thought. But let's not try to put ourself in such a box that we can't get out of it.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Ighodaro?

MS. IGHODARO: I just also want to follow up on what Mr. Robert mentioned around these (indicating). It's awesome to have paper, but after these meetings are done they just sort of pile up in my house, and, you know, having to dispose of them the right way because there is privileged information in here, I think it would be great to have some sort of a tablet that we could give back at the end of our term and not kill so many trees.

MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Mayersohn?

MR. MAYERSOHN: So a couple things that I'm hearing. Obviously, everybody likes to know that the first Thursday of the month there's going to be an audit committee meeting. On the other hand, there may be people that say I've got a conflict on the first Thursday of the month. So, again, and I appreciate and I know -- look, I know where you work, Phyllis, and I know sometimes, you know, the second Wednesday of the month may be a little bit difficult, especially at 1:00. So, you know, there are things, but I definitely think that we need to, A, figure out an alternative location to give us that flexibility.

The other thing is, and, again, I think the optimal thing that we want to know about these meetings is, A, are we disenfranchising a member because we're meeting on the first Thursday of the month or the third Thursday of the month, whatever it may be, but to the other part is that, I guess, maybe from a feedback standpoint is, what meetings are you not able to make? Like if I said to you, looking down here I can tell you that September 7th I've got a conflict, maybe I make it to half of the meeting, but I've got to

leave at a specific time. So does that information help you, Mr. Chair, or the Chief Auditor to let somebody know, especially if we're trying to make the quorum at a meeting, we're only going to get four people attending the November 16th meeting. As much as it's supposedly written in stone, we may have to change that if we don't have a quorum.

The other part is and this is through the Chair, I guess, and Ms. Marte, what is the notification requirements? Is this group at seven days; 48 hours; what are our notification requirements?

MRS. MARTE: Seven days.

MR. MAYERSOHN: We're seven?

MRS. MARTE: Yeah.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Are you saying for a special meeting, too, Mrs. Marte?

MRS. MARTE: No. No.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Okay.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So a special meeting is how

long; 48 hours?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MRS. MARTE: Yes.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So, now, again, with the

implementation of the district using website technology, I'll call it realtime information, that could just be entered into the website and then you're good for 48 hours; you don't have to advertise it in the newspaper?

MRS. MARTE: That's correct.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, wait. Hold on.
We're still doing newspaper ads. Have we
officially gone to the Broward County platform?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Not yet.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. When's that going to be live?

MR. MAYERSOHN: It all depends. The district has to implement, I guess, some agreements with Broward County.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, but that's been dragging on. Where's Sullivan when you need him?

MR. MAYERSOHN: No, I can tell you because our city is implementing the process. So you have to advertise it in the newspaper, number one, that this is the platform that you're changing to.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Uh-huh.

MR. MAYERSOHN: You have to sign an agreement with Broward County. You have to work with, you

know, Civics Plus to implement that process, so there's got to be some sort of technology transfer, whatever it may be. Technically, it should be on your website somewhere where there's a link so people know where to go. But that could take 30, 60 days to ramp. I don't know.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's been that so far.

So, as a follow-up item, where are we on that? I'll write a text message.

MR. JABOUIN: Well, I want to mention to the committee as we follow up on what Mr. Mayersohn has said, there are some advantages to this room in the sense that Becon and the capabilities that we get from them are here. I just wanted to mention that part. But it'll be part of the analysis.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Can't we use Becon facilities? Don't they have meeting rooms?

MR. JABOUIN: We'll explore that, sir.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: We have spent 35 minutes on this one topic and still have many others to go through. Do we need a motion to table this?

MR. JABOUIN: I recommend that the committee approve it, and as Mr. Medvin indicated, that the

meetings start at 9:30 a.m. would be the adjustment that he has suggested.

As the committee members have indicated, there are opportunities for special meetings and we have done those in the past and those opportunities are still there. We do need to have physical quorum, but not all members will make all -- all of the meetings. We anticipate that. And the dates, there are some of them that are immovable and we need to set up time between meetings.

So my recommendation to the committee is to please approve the proposed dates so we can start the process of reserving the rooms.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: I make a motion -- are you going to -- I make a motion to approve the agenda with the dates and possible changes.

MR. JABOUIN: And I'm just going to change, you said "agenda", but can I substitute the proposed audit committee schedule?

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Oh, I'm sorry. Yeah, I mean dates. Sorry about that.

MS. SHAW: I'll second it with the addition for 9:30 start dates. However, I would like a time certain in this.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: End time?

MS. SHAW: End time. Because I think when we leave it open-ended and many of us have to go back to work, you know, we kind of sit here and belabor some topics. I would like to set it, for example, today's meeting is 9:30 to 11:45.

MR. JABOUIN: If I may ask, Ms. Shaw, I, obviously, would -- there could be some constraints on things that we need to have approved. So it's a little bit tough if we, for example commit to -- if we start at 9:30 did you want an 11:30 end date -- end time? Excuse me.

That gets to be a little bit challenging assuming that we can get through everything. We sometimes have time-sensitive reports that need to be presented.

MS. SHAW: I'm sorry. I understand -- can I finish? I understand your point, but we also spend a lot of open space talking about nothing, which means that we'll spend the time focusing on what we need to do. If the end time is 12:00 and we need to extend then we'll request to have a motion to extend. But I think it is important that we have a time, certain time, so we can take care of the business at hand.

For example, this last 40 minutes that we've been here, if it was time certain we would know that we need to move it along.

MR. MEDVIN: I think that's a very valid, valid point, that sometimes we tend to be a bit verbose. I think we should all take that into consideration.

And then one of the things that comes up later is we're going to talk about bylaws, because we have to review them, and I'm going to make a suggestion that perhaps we need to put a time certain on discussion per member as a possibility and that will come up later as we go forward, because bylaws have to be reviewed here in the next number of months.

Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I think the time certain on the items like they do with board items so that we don't lose quorum when we're discussing things we need quorum for.

The bylaws are not critical. Those could actually be jettisoned. I have an item, well, I'll tie it into one of these others, but on that note, we need to vote and move on, because to Phyllis's point, it's 10:30 already.

1 MR. MEDVIN: I agree. 2 Any other comments? 3 MR. MAYERSOHN: So can you -- just making a 4 friendly amendment to include you reporting back 5 for a backup location as well as discuss -- as 6 well as report back on technology usage for board 7 members. 8 MR. JABOUIN: I want to make sure that I'm 9 clear before the motion is approved. Was Ms. 10 Shaw's addition of a time certain adjournment, 11 did the committee want that? I'm not clear on 12 that. 13 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: A time certain adjournment or a time certain for the items? 14 15 MS. SHAW: Adjournment. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And then extend, if 16 17 necessary. 18 MS. SHAW: Yes. 19 MS. STRAUSS: Or both. 20 MR. JABOUIN: And that time certain, was that 21 two hours?

> MR. MEDVIN: Any other discussion? (No response.)

MS. SHAW: Two and a half hours.

MR. JABOUIN:

22

23

24

25

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Thank you. That's the motion.

MR. MEDVIN: All in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: I would like to add a point to -- this doesn't have anything to do with the motion.

We all need to be cognizant of the time we spend individually discussing items if we want to move the agenda. We all have to think about that.

MR. MEDVIN: Thank you.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Just a statement.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Mr. Jabouin, Item 9?

MR. JABOUIN: Item 9 is yours, sir.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Appointment of the Nominating Committee.

As you know, August is time for a new year, new election of officers and the committee will be appointed and we'll meet, I believe it's scheduled for 9:30 on the 3rd and we'll couple up with a slate. At that time the slate is presented, anyone else can be nominated from the floor and we elect our offices for the subsequent

1 The members I'm appointing to the 2 committee, Mr. De Meo, Ms. Shaw and Mr. 3 Mayersohn. Did you all hear me? 4 MS. IGHODARO: Repeat the names. 5 MR. MEDVIN: I don't believe that there's an additional motion required at this point. 6 7 believe it's appropriate that the committee can 8 discuss this amongst themselves prior to the meeting on the 3rd of August. 9 10 Okay. Item 10 is the --11 MR. MAYERSOHN: You do have to appoint a 12 Chair Pro-Tem. 13 MR. MEDVIN: I have to appoint a Chair? 14 MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah, a Chair Pro-Tem and 15 then the committee decides who will chair. MR. MEDVIN: Do you want the job, Mr. 16 17 Mayersohn? 18 MR. MAYERSOHN: No, I would give it to Mr. De 19 Meo. 20 21 MR. MEDVIN: Pro-Tem, it sounds 22 distinguished. 23 MR. DE MEO: Does that mean old or just distinguished? 24

Distinguished.

MR. MEDVIN:

25

MR. DE MEO: Can we meet without any official notice?

MR. JABOUIN: No, the nominating committee is -- is -- all the rules of the audit committee apply to the nominating committee, it's an official meeting and it's got to be noticed and all the things, minutes and so forth. So it is an official meeting.

MR. MEDVIN: So that's scheduled for 9:30.

MR. JABOUIN: That's at 9:30; yes, sir.

MR. MEDVIN: And that will be formally noticed?

MR. JABOUIN: Oh, yes. Yes.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay.

All right. Item Number 10, Summary of Internal Funds Audits.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.

So as discussed at the May 18th, 2023 audit committee meeting, we were to provide information on the school internal funds audits that we did this year. Agenda Item 10 is that list.

As you go through it I do ask the committee to start on page 2 before page 1 because that's where we list all of the different schools that we presented to you this year. You can see on

page 2 we start with number 1 to 225 all the way on page 11.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I do want to go back a little bit to audit committee members that were here. We have had an exception on outstanding internal fund audits since the auditor general reported on it on 2015. So there's only one more school left, which is Dave Thomas, which we'll have at the next meeting. Once we have completed that school, which is in fieldwork right now, we would have met the requirement of the auditor general to complete all of them within the year.

Now, I want to go back a little bit to some historical information. So the auditor general wrote the district up for outstanding internal funds in their 2015 fiscal report. I started with the district in June of 2018. At that time we were 208 schools behind. We immediately started working on this backlog. And then in 2019 we did complete them all. And then our schools were -- our audits and our team and the district was impacted by the timing of the pandemic. When the auditor general came back in 2021 we had gotten behind, like all of the other districts. But when we get done with Dave

Thomas, this will be the first time since 2015 as documented by the auditor general, and based on my research the outstanding backlog goes back to 2011, so we will be caught up for the first time in 13 years when the Dave Thomas report is presented.

But these are the lists. Now take a look at page 1. Those are the exceptions that we've gone over with those particular schools. Column 2 has the dates that we presented them. And these are all going to get followed up because all the schools get audited and we are in constant communication with the different schools and the business support center schools and so forth. But here's the list of 225 out of the 226.

And we also do other internal funds audits that are not school based as well, like the business support center and the athletic department.

So here's the list that was requested by the committee and my internal funds team is here in case there are any questions.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Fertig?

MS. FERTIG: I just want to commend you on this. This is a great report. And I see Ali

smiling. I don't know if you did this. But whoever did, it's a great, easy-to-read report and the first time I've seen one like this, so it's really good. So thank you.

MR. DE MEO: I also want to commend you for that report. Thank you. And most importantly I think I was here in 2011. That was before Mr. Runcie.

You can't hear me?

MR. JABOUIN: No.

MR. DE MEO: Now, you can hear me. I want to commend the Chief Auditor's office for getting caught up and the report. The report is great, but getting caught up is huge, a lot of -- a lot of accounts. I think I was here before Mr. Runcie a year or two and I think even then with Mr. Riley we were behind. So that's a great accomplishment.

Since it looks like this is on Excel, it would be great if you could do a pivot table or something that would indicate frequency of exceptions by school and sorting it by different attributes, such as BCS, non-BCS, change in -- in administration, change in principal, that type of thing. You know, use your -- use your -- and

that would be really useful because I think it would point out any trends. Thank you again.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh, did you have a comment?

I'm going to bring DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes. the elephant into the room. So for those of you who do not know, the manager that's in charge of internal audits was not on the renew list for next year. Ann Conway is currently in non-renewed status. The person who got all of these caught up and who received highly effectives in the past and who is the highest ranked person with a CPA after the Chief Auditor in this department. She was on May 24th, before final -- her gathering final evaluation, and by the way there's a midyear review that's required, last year she received, according to her, a needs improvement, but she did finish what -- the needs improvement was related to the internal audit reports. And this is following highly effective evaluations from this Chief Auditor, in fact. she was given five minutes to clear out her things and was escorted out like a common criminal.

MR. JABOUIN: What is your question, Dr.

Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I didn't say I had a question. I'm commenting on this. So -- and I'm allowed to. I'll get to a question.

So I'm trying to understand -- my question, actually, is, because I'm looking at some of her evaluations, she was also tasked with doing the vending machine audit. What impact did that have on her ability to work on internal funds? And then, also, they were also tasked with entering information into a database that the Chief Auditor could then use for his self-evaluation to report to the board because there was no database of, you know, things like going to meetings and this, that and the other. So I'm curious what impact that had.

But I'm most curious about, and there is a board member follow-up directly related to this issue, I'll hand it out, where he did not answer questions that were asked by the board chair.

MR. JABOUIN: How does this relate to this report?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It speaks to the -- going forward the person that's been in charge of internal funds will not be here effective the end

of this month unless something changes. have grave concerns about the process and the purpose of her being dismissed. And the board chair asked questions that you dodged, because nobody asked about what her evaluation was and what the content was, but whether you were in compliance with the district's procedures related to the DASA, which apparently you're not, because there was no midyear review, and then conveniently she was escorted out before June 1st and well before June 30th. And it was never the intent of the evaluation process to not let somebody improve when they're given a needs improvement, and when they, actually, as this very report is suggesting, complete the thing that needed improvement. You then cast the person with one year to go in DROP aside like yesterday's trash.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JABOUIN: What is your question Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Did you follow process? Is there a midyear review? What is the impact of the vending machine audit on the time that this person had available to do internal funds? What was the impact on them doing database entry on

their ability to work on internal funds?

They don't have to be answered today.

MR. JABOUIN: They will not be answered because --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They may not be answered by you, but you report directly to the board, and, trust me, you're going to be answering them.

But I just want the committee to be aware --

MR. JABOUIN: So there's no question?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Please stop interrupting me.

Mr. Medvin, I have the floor. He's out of order.

So this board member follow-up, he was asked for the degrees for everybody and in his contract it requires him to have a management succession plan and to develop highly qualified employees.

If the number two people right below you are not required to have CPAS, he's effectively not created a succession plan because nobody can ever take his job because nobody will have a CPA at any level that can come up after him. It's a self-preservation move. So she's being non-renewed effective June 30th. And this is a person that was working morning, noon and night

1 to get caught up on these audits.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Jabouin?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you, Chair, and Dr. Lynch-Walsh.

These questions that you've asked, this was discussed by the board at the June 13th meeting --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's a lie.

MR. JABOUIN: -- regarding the reappointment of individuals across the district.

So I am unable to discuss any specific individuals. Just to remind Dr. Lynch-Walsh that this is a board level matter that has been discussed at the dais at the board level.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The board -- no. Nobody has investigated.

MR. JABOUIN: That's my only response.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I didn't want a response from you.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.

MR. MEDVIN: Good. Let's go on. Ms.

Strauss?

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. I have a question. Why
was this person let go?

MR. JABOUIN: I am unable to discuss a

1 specific individual.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. STRAUSS: Was the work -- was this report done by the person in question that was let go?

MR. JABOUIN: This report was compiled by the existing team, the data that you have here. But your questions regarding any individual --

MS. STRAUSS: Did she lead that effort?

MR. JABOUIN: I will not be responding to questions about individual persons.

MS. STRAUSS: Who will be leading the effort in her absence moving forward?

MR. JABOUIN: The internal funds team will continue the work that they were able to complete.

MS. STRAUSS: Under whose leadership?

MR. JABOUIN: I run the unit. It's all under my leadership.

MS. STRAUSS: So you've got the capacity to lead a team to give a report of this quality; is that what you're saying?

MR. JABOUIN: We certainly do because we created it.

MS. STRAUSS: We; okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Don't let him dodge it.

You're not talking about --

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

	MR.	JAB	OUI	:N:	Tha	ıt	concl	ludes	s an	ıy	quest	ions
that	I ha	ave	on	that	Ξ.	I	will	not	be	re	spond	ing
furth	er.											

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. Medvin --

MR. MEDVIN: That's enough. For legal reasons he has been advised by the board and --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I need to explain something to Ms. Strauss.

MR. MEDVIN: Then do it outside.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, it pertains to this.

MR. MEDVIN: That's all right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'll bring it up under comments.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. That's fine. But right now let's move on.

MS. FERTIG: Can I move to transmit or do we not need to transmit?

MR. JABOUIN: No motion is needed for this agenda item, Vice Chair.

MR. MEDVIN: This is not a report, this is a summary.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Thank you.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Chair, so just to piggyback on Mr. De Meo's comments about expanding the scope of this document, how do we

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

then develop that? Have his comments been noted?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, I've noted it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MAYERSOHN: I mean, I agree with -- I mean, I agree with the idea of, because we're always looking to track from an administrative standpoint, was the same, quote unquote, principal having an exception and then moved to another school and then had another exception, and, you know, constantly we see the responses of, and I hate to say this, but, you know, I'm sorry, it will never happen again, we'll read the documents that somebody should be reading to find out what the requirements are. So this will kind of give us that direction. So I think it's important to include that in the -- in the scope of this document. I think it's a great work, but it's in progress.

MR. MEDVIN: Yeah, this report can be updated continually.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Well, I'm saying adding those elements to it that Mr. De Meo had mentioned.

MR. JABOUIN: We can add those statistics and we'll analyze what would be useful statistics and we agree that having information as far as changes in principals and changes in bookkeepers

and that provides good vision. And it's good for us from a risk assessment standpoint, as well.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Item 11, Audit Plan. Mr. Jabouin?

Thank you, Chair. MR. JABOUIN: So Agenda Item 11, this is the -- this is the Audit Plan. And let's recall the sequence of events regarding the compilation of the audit plan. So this was impacted by the rain storms that occurred when the scheduled audit committee meeting on April 13th. So the committee, I was very grateful that we were able to meet virtually on April 25. have processed the comments from the audit committee and I provided them to the board members. And it is an effort to balance out our responsibilities and the various requests and the funding that the board members prioritized.

So we have a certain amount of resources. At the May 2nd workshop I listed each of the points. The board has a certain amount of interest in how much they would like to spend and how the head count of the unit would be.

Ultimately, the board members are very careful, but they did not express a desire to add

to the cost of the audit function and not a

desire to add much to the staffing of the unit.

I created the organizational plan that I created at the May 2nd workshop based on the comments of the board. And for next year we will be adding one person to our staff for the behavioral threat assessment testing. So we'll bring that piece of it inhouse from RSM and do this work ourselves.

And so those were some of the comments that the board had in order to be able to -- that need to be imbedded in the plan. Now, the audits, themselves, they've got to be done in accordance to local government auditing standards. They have to be subject to the peer review that we go through. And next year we will be peer reviewed.

At the same time, the audit function does not provide absolute assurance. We provide reasonable assurance. We test to see that the information being provided is reliable. We check for compliance with policies and procedures, safeguarding of assets, effective use of the resources, and ensuring that best practices are followed by the district.

In future years we're going to look a little

bit deeper into the design of the controls.

We're going to look at fraud, waste and abuse and coach and train our people to have more of an antifraud mindset throughout the execution of their audits. And then we've got to look at ourselves for efficiency. The board has asked us to bring many of the audits inhouse. So we have to build that proficiency and that expertise to get the team members to be able to do that. And I think we're going to be successful and it's going to be cost effective.

But there are so many unknowns and uncertainties out there, such as whether or not we -- somebody will resign from the team. It is extremely challenging to be able to find comparable team members that are able to perform this work that has to be in compliance with standards. And it is very significant to the district. We do get a lot of people that are not qualified, individuals that are unable to perform the work when they're out into the field. And filling those positions, and we're working with human resources, is one of those things that impacts it. Project overruns, oftentimes we do have issues and the issues are significant and

they need to be thought of and those require more time.

As I have indicated, the board is very careful to add to staff and cost. But the plan that I've presented, which has gone through the process, where you've seen the forecast, the board members have seen the forecast and we're factoring all the items that are impacted by, it could be a decision of the board, decisions of the Auditor General, the Department of Education, and so forth, as well as the board, themselves. These are things that need to be accounted for. While the plan, itself, can't cover everything, but there should be a strategy for the significant areas. And there are, indeed, as we cover the areas of the plan.

So just remember that in order to add to the plan, given the parameters that the board members have communicated to me, something has to be taken out. Hiring an outsider requires budget adjustments that the board has communicated to me, but it doesn't impact -- it doesn't change the impact on the unit because the outsider still has to meet with us and we have to work on scheduling them and ensuring that they're getting

1 | th

the documents that they need to do.

from the committee is, when this went to the board, if there could be some commentary regarding audits of security controls. So what we mean by that as communicated to me, is the work that's being done by the safety and security department as far as whether or not they're doing certain things, making sure doors are closed and those type of things, what are some of the comments that some of the audit committee members feel? And I'll process those either in this plan or very likely the next workshop that I have with the board in the fall.

So this is the process for the plan, these are the plan documents where the items that you have mentioned at the meeting, those are in the Chief Auditor report document where I listed them and I provided all those to the board members on May 2nd, as well.

This concludes my introduction, Chair.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Ms. Strauss?

MS. STRAUSS: Yeah, so where you ask for comment on the security aspects, I would like to have Mr. Lozano and anybody on his team here for

their recommendations on that. Because they are subject matter experts in that space. We are not. And, therefore, I would like that team to make recommendations for us to consider.

MR. JABOUIN: The only thing I would add, Ms. Shaw, is --

MS. STRAUSS: Ms. Strauss, not Ms. Shaw.

MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. Ms. Strauss, this is actually auditing them and Mr. Alberti's area and what they're doing. So their comments, which we'll get, but just recall that they're the ones that would be audited themselves. But, yes, they are experts that can provide good comment. I just wanted to throw that in, that we're auditing them.

MS. STRAUSS: That's fine. I would still like to hear the why.

And then my second point is, is I've stated this in the past, I believe that this district has a complete failure of internal controls. And they need to be reevaluated. We need an outside, extremely capable accounting firm or consultant to come in, engaged with a formal engagement letter, I would like for us to be able to consider a potential firm that we believe would

be capable of doing this, and build the internal controls processes from the ground up. Because that is where all failures lead back to.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Second. Is that a motion?

MS. STRAUSS: That's a motion. And I also
have a suggestion. I'd like to consider Alvarez

& Marsal for that.

MS. FERTIG: We can go with the motion, but can we leave firms out of it until the parameters of whatever?

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. Fine. That's fine. I just know they're the gold standard.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think they have to go through competitive bidding, but --

MS. STRAUSS: That's fine. They'll go through that. That's fine.

MR. MEDVIN: Yeah, that could also be additional scope on our annual audit, complete additional review of internal controls. That might be a special separate engagement, but that's probably in conjunction with when it should be done.

MS. STRAUSS: Right. I mean, I believe that's the foundation, Mr. Medvin, is to analyze all internal controls, make recommendations and

rebuild internal controls for implementation.

MR. MEDVIN: But, again, like I said --

MS. FERTIG: I like build from the ground up.

MS. STRAUSS: Yes, I want it -- I want whatever we have looked at, most likely it'll be erased, and new internal controls will be put into place.

MR. MEDVIN: Well, I think, you know, the current auditor doing the annual report, part of what they do is basically do --

MS. STRAUSS: That's right, but everything is a failure.

MR. MEDVIN: But I think with -- the scope I think is what you're saying has got to be expanded in an area, be it be a separate engagement or expanded --

MS. STRAUSS: That's correct. I would like a separate engagement and I would like that done soon and very timely.

MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Mayersohn?

MR. MAYERSOHN: So just, I guess, a couple of things. Number one, we have a new superintendent, hopefully, signing his contract and coming in July 3rd. Is -- and I don't know if he plans to attend these meetings or have a

designee to attend these meetings, but I guess my question through the Chair to Chief Auditor is, what input is he going to have on this audit plan? Because I remember last time there was transition the superintendent really didn't have much or delayed plans or whatever it was at the time. I think there was a different reporting structure. But I'd just like to know what that intention is.

MR. JABOUIN: So the superintendent -- the Chief Auditor does not report to the superintendent. So the input that the superintendent would have would be valuable because the person is the superintendent, but they would not make any decisions. So they, obviously, are a good resource for areas and the audit function wants to address all particular areas, but the superintendent does not have a say in the audit plan. It's the board members that have a say from a corporate standpoint. But the superintendent is a valuable resource, sir.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. But, no, I understand that from a reporting perspective, but I would imagine that if the superintendent has that ultimate responsibility, the board would want to

at least have that discussion with the superintendent to say, here's what we're doing, here's what we're holding you accountable for, or the superintendent says, I've looked at this plan and here's what I think is, you know, more important that my recommendation as a superintendent is a priority versus what the priorities are here. It's up to the board to make that final decision, but I think there needs to be some sort of conversation so that the synergies are aligned as opposed to the board being here, superintendent being here, auditor being here, somebody else being somewhere else.

MR. JABOUIN: And to add to that, so the board has asked me and we will be scheduling meetings, formal meetings, with the three board direct reports starting in July pending Dr. Licata's contract. And so the alignment would be there.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Can we finish with the motion and take care of that and then we can get off on that; if you don't mind?

MR. MAYERSOHN: I don't mind.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Okay. So why don't we finish with the motion and call the question or

whatever we need to do so we can be through with something before we go to something else?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MEDVIN: Well, I'm not sure what the motion is at this point.

MS. FERTIG: Well, Ms. Strauss made a motion and Dr. Lynch-Walsh seconded to hire an outside firm -- I'm not going to repeat it. You can repeat it, Ms. Strauss, but I just was thinking the same thing.

MS. STRAUSS: No, go ahead, by all means.

MS. FERTIG: You wanted to hire an outside firm to evaluate the internal controls of the district from the ground up.

MS. STRAUSS: That's correct.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: And Dr. Walsh seconded it; didn't you?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. MEDVIN: Well, that would be a separate audit, if you will, as part of the plan.

MS. STRAUSS: That's correct.

MR. MEDVIN: So I think what you're suggesting --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Internal control system.

MS. STRAUSS: Internal control.

MR. MEDVIN: -- is that the plan be amended

or increased for a specific audit for examination of internal controls.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, internal controls.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Didn't Mr. De Meo bring that up a while ago?

MS. STRAUSS: Sarbanes Oxley Section 404.

MS. FERTIG: So can we just vote on that and then we can --

MR. DE MEO: Well, can we have some discussion here?

What -- as I understand it, the scope would be the district's internal controls?

MS. STRAUSS: Yeah, the scope would be an audit of the district's internal controls, recommendations on how to improve those internal controls, and then implementation of those internal controls.

MR. DE MEO: That would be a gigantic cost to the district. And, although I think it could be useful, perhaps instead of a shotgun approach are we concerned about any specific area?

MS. STRAUSS: It is my understanding from my experience being on this audit committee that all paths lead to failures of internal controls. So I understand it would be an expensive engagement

from an outside very capable auditing firm, however, in the long-term I believe that a lot of the audit errors and failures in this district would be cleaned up.

So I'm not looking for a shotgun approach.

This is an approach for making the investment,

which would be a long-term investment for the

better of this district, for the better of

Broward County taxpayers, and ultimately for the

best of the students.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: So my problem with this --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Microphone.

MS. SHAW: I'm sorry. My problem with this is it's broad. First of all, in every audit there is an internal control over financial reporting. So there is some level of internal control that's already included in our annual audit, number one.

Number two, I think if we're asking for internal controls audit we need to break this down. Because if we said audit the entire district it means that nothing in this 100-page document is going to get done.

And so if we're -- if we're -- if we're

sending them on the road to get work done, we need to kind of provide some kind of format. Do we want to go procurement; do we want to go payroll; do we want to do the principals? I don't know. But we need to come up with a list, whether or not we want to put it in chronological order and say we want to start with transportation or whatever the case may be, but we cannot just make a motion and say do an internal audit controls -- internal controls audit across the district. First of all, it's going to cost a lot of money. It's going to take up a lot of time. And it's something that needs to be planned properly.

And so if we're not happy with what is being done, I see over financial statements on an annual basis, then let's break it down. Let's figure out how to do it and do it properly, versus sending them on their way and then they come back with something that then we're going to be upset and say, well, that's not what we asked for.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Are you suggesting a friendly amendment to the motion?

MS. SHAW: I have a problem with the motion. I think we need to do some kind of internal controls audit, yes, but I think we need to kind of take a step back and figure out what do we want to start with? What -- procurement? Maybe that's where we need to start. Start with procurement because that's where all of your issues start is with where your money is. Start with procurement, start with finance. Start there first and then work your way out of that mess.

MS. FERTIG: So is that what -- Ruth, isn't that what you were asking, if she was amending that?

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Yes.

MS. FERTIG: I'm sorry.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So I support the theory behind it. It is what's necessary, so it's like ISO 9001 and implementing that. It's all the same thing where you document your procedures.

One of the -- there are policies that govern follow-up to audits. I've been on here since 2015. If follow-up was done to see if

recommendations were actually implemented we would have fewer repetitions. As far as areas to focus on, the board recent -- at the June meeting, because of some of the hoopla, the board is committed to having a workshop on the district's hiring and evaluation and recruitment retainment practices.

I can tell you just from the issue here that there is lack of compliance with evaluation procedures and that needs to be audited. And there's a host of things that happen during recruitment. So HR would be an area of focus. I think payroll is already in here.

But to Mrs. Strauss's point, the entire thing is problematic. I think at the August meeting we should be looking at what policies govern the Chief Auditor's office in terms of follow-up and whether they've been following up on audit recommendations and what's the status of those, where have they had the most repeat findings, in what areas, and HR because the board is already planning a workshop. So us focusing on HR practices would help that cause because they would have tangible evidence to drive what -- to drive their discussions.

And then I do have a couple questions on the audit plan; if we actually get to that.

MR. MEDVIN: Mr. De Meo?

MR. DE MEO: So some of the comments, I agree with all the comments, I think we need to be -- have a focused approach. For example, for me, ideally, I would like to hear from the CFO, Mrs. Marte, the Chief Auditor and the superintendent on their views about building from scratch, testing and building from scratch the entire system of internal controls of the district of Broward County Schools.

For me, that would ignore the existence and the work of the Office of the Chief Auditor.

Let's fire them, get rid of them. What do we need them for? Let's just have an auditor appear.

So I think we could piggyback on the good work that the Office of the Chief Auditor has done, look for problem areas, and maybe get some suggestions from this committee, as well, and we've heard some good ones. I do think controls are super important, as Ms. Strauss pointed out. There's no doubt about it.

But I think we need to have a more focused

approach and something that suits our needs. You know, Sarbanes Oxley is not really designed for audits of school districts, but it's along those lines. We want a good comprehensive audit.

So I would be looking for that input from those people I mentioned. I'd also like to know what the problem areas are. I'd like to compare that to what we've done. We've hired different firms, the Davis firm, RSM, to do audits of specific areas. And I think we've gotten good results on a lot of those.

So I think it is incumbent upon us to take a responsible approach, not just jettison our entire internal controls testing and rebuilding them, but to look for problem areas and to pay attention to them and have an audit, if necessary.

So I don't know that I could vote for this motion as it stands without it being a bit more focused in the way I just described.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think if we vote on a motion it needs to be that it's on the agenda for August for discussion since this is the last meeting, unless we have a special meeting over

the summer, that we vote to put this topic and whatever the motion ends up being, that we all vote that this topic be on the August agenda so we can begin discussion, or else it won't be there and we'll have the same discussion a year from now.

MR. MEDVIN: Well, I would -- you know, first I'd like to invite the new superintendent to attend our August meeting and let him hear some of this and see where he's coming from, and I think that may enable us to formulate a future plan. Because I think what we're talking about, this audit has to be in conjunction in some way with our annual financial audit. Like I said, probably expanding it but in that area if that's what we're going to do.

Ms. Ighodaro, do you have a comment?

MS. IGHODARO: I was going to mention that, you know, we have heard a lot of reports, data over the year here and there has been like a lot of recommendations on next steps from those audits, and who is the compliance body for those follow-ups?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Chief Auditor.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you, Ms. Ighodaro.

Yeah, so my team follows up on the issues 1 2 that are noted in the audits. So, for example, on the recent audits, you might recall on the 3 caps and gowns and the PCG, there are lingering 4 5 items that need to be done, it involves legal, so I'm very deep into those particular situations. 6 7 Even going back to the asphalt audit that was in 8 December, we're finally getting the settlement 9 amounts in. Some of the things that you see 10 within our program Ms. Ighodaro, you will hear 11 from RSM a little bit later on follow-up of 12 outstanding issues. So -- and some of the 13 reports that you've also seen on PPO where we 14 listed issues out, it is our plan to look at it 15 from a more holistic standpoint. So even though when we do like the internal funds findings that 16 17 we listed in that document, they're going to be 18 followed up on the next audit. But we have to look at it -- we could look at it from a more 19 20 holistic look, and that's our intent, as well. 21

But it is my responsibility to follow-up in accordance with board policy and with proper audit standards.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Fertig?

22

23

24

25

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Thank you. I was just

thinking -- great point, because I was thinking the same thing, it just seems like so many times we get back to the internal controls and I'm assuming that's why Ms. Strauss brought this motion.

Phyllis, if there were one area that they seem to constantly fall in, I think you have identified it, which is procurement. And I'm not saying the others don't, but I'm saying that is a starting point.

You know, I've sat on this committee through more than one superintendent, more than two superintendents, more -- okay, through a few superintendents. So I am thrilled we have a new superintendent starting. I'm very optimistic about what this will mean. But as far as the controls, they kind of are there. Regardless of who the superintendent is I think we need to get those right.

The audits that we really focused on this year and that I was hoping to see follow-ups on -- and, by the way, we did discuss the fact that we used to have a follow-up form with the audit findings at every meeting so we could see what progress had been made from meeting to meeting by

following up on those. I think if you were to pursue this in the area of procurement with the audits that we've covered this year, the caps and gowns, the technology, some of those, that would be a good place, a good starting point, and would also, rather than waiting for a new superintendent, wait a year, or two, or three, to identify these problems and then a new administration to make some changes that would, hopefully, have us running more smoothly as a district.

The most important thing about this committee is identifying what we can do to make the school district better so we have more funds to spend in the classroom. And I -- and so I would just -- if you were going to make that amendment that we focus this on procurement initially, I don't know if that satisfies your more focused approach, but it would be a beginning.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: May I?

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Carter?

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: You know, can't we do more than one thing at a time? Let me just say that.

That's the first thing.

Secondly, there are three areas that are

critical, people, money and procurement. And they all go hand in hand. Can't we do those at the same time?

MR. MEDVIN: Well, I think the topic should be a separate agenda item, to be honest with you, that we can discuss it in much more detail.

Because this type of audit, as Mr. De Meo said, can mushroom into a very major, major time commitment, expenditure commitment, probably additional budget, and I think it's got to be explored in more detail. I think the audit plan here that we're discussing is much more general, this is what the plan is to be done.

I think we can argue about the amount of internal controls for hours and maybe not resolve anything. Again, I would like to certainly hear what the new superintendent has to say and what his intentions are as part of our going forward plans with this. But this is not something that we're going to decide now and solve. It can't happen.

Okay. Ms. Strauss?

MS. STRAUSS: That's fine. I'm not saying that this audit plan should not be approved.

There's no reason why we cannot bring in an

outside firm to do an audit of internal controls on narrowed areas that we want to agree on ongoing at the same time. Okay? At some point the work is going to have to happen at the same time.

What I also just wanted to ask is, it's my understanding that there is another grand jury investigation that has been opened into this district. How will that affect this proposed audit plan?

MR. MEDVIN: What is it? What are they investigating? I don't know anything about it. Do you have knowledge we don't?

MS. SHAW: Call and ask. Call and ask. Call and ask, please.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: Thank you. So friendly amendment, so I'd like to now amend her motion to a more narrowed focus. Focus on procurement and human resources. You don't have to have the same person doing the same one, but we can focus on those. They don't have to be simultaneously, but focus on those two.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And have this as an agenda item on the August meeting agenda.

1 MS. SHAW: I was, but I was waiting for him.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I'll second your motion.

MS. SHAW: Yes, I would like it to be brought

back --

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MAYERSOHN: Well, actually it's Ms.

Strauss's.

MS. SHAW: Ms. Strauss's. And I would like it to be brought back as an agenda item.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Do you accept?

MS. STRAUSS: I accept.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Then we just need someone to run the meeting.

MS. SHAW: Ms. Fertig?

MS. FERTIG: Okay. So there is a motion on the floor and there is a comment from Mr. De Meo.

MR. JABOUIN: I would like to have finalization of the motion because I have some wording that I want to make sure that it's clear at the end. Thank you.

MS. STRAUSS: Ms. Shaw, please, feel free.

MR. DE MEO: Can I go ahead?

MS. FERTIG: Yes, who wants to repeat the motion?

MR. JABOUIN: I have information, if you'd like.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No. We'd like to hear from Mr. De Meo.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DE MEO: So, for me, what would satisfy what I identify as my responsibility as an audit committee member, I would want input from our external auditors, Mrs. Marte, Chief Financial Officer, Office of the Chief Auditor, superintendent and their recommendations followed by a formal risk assessment matrix. From that risk assessment matrix, which would rank from highest priority or highest risk down to lowest risk all of the major functions, similar to what you would start out with doing with the Sarbanes Oxley audit of internal controls, and then from there we would select on a rotating basis items to be focused on after we looked at whether or not those areas have been addressed by other external audits or internal audits. Otherwise, we're wasting time and money. That's how I feel.

MS. FERTIG: But do you, can I just jump in? Did you get the motion? Did you get the motion?

MR. MEDVIN: Can I interrupt you, please?

Thank you. I want to pause the meeting for a

moment. Dr. Smiley has joined us and she's asked

for the floor for a few minutes.

1 Dr. Smiley?

DR. SMILEY: Good morning.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Good morning.

DR. SMILEY: I am very disturbed by the behavior that's going on in this meeting. I wanted to come down after several attempts and hopes that we would improve the climate and the interaction between the membership and that didn't happen, so I paused my meeting to come down.

I want to introduce to this board or this body Policy 1010, which is respect and civility for Broward County Public Schools and in Broward Public Schools conducted meetings. I wanted every member to be aware of that policy. And I wanted every member to be aware that the expectation is that we will comply with the contents of that particular policy during board business. It is of the utmost importance.

The board has established five core values within this policy. And respect is one of them.

According to the policy, the board expects all parents, guardians and any member of the public who are participating in school sponsored activities or while on district-owned property to

interact with each other in a civil manner through positive communications and actions. I'm quoting specifically from the policy.

In such settings all individuals are expected to avoid situations that may insight violence or create a potential breach of the peace. A community that works and acts together is crucial in guiding our students in reaching their highest potential.

I have not seen that consistently displayed here today. That is why I'm here.

Article 1010 prohibits that kind of conduct. Clearly stated, it shall be prohibited for any interactions between two or more adults to engage in or to encourage others to participate in it. Conduct -- conduct that is disruptive, intimidating and aggressive while on district-owned property or participating in a school board sponsored activity is prohibited.

This policy goes on to outline actions that should be taken for those individuals who breach the peace after being warned. These actions are clearly outlined here.

If the inappropriate behavior persists then an available administrator shall be contacted and

asked to intervene. The administrator will explain to the offending party that their conduct violates school board policy and that if the offending party does not cease the inappropriate behavior, they may be asked to leave the district-owned property.

Our school board drafted this policy to ensure that the work of the district can be done in an environment that is respectful to all. That is what I'm asking this committee, to cease from the inappropriate and let's focus on the appropriate as defined by Policy 1010.

Mr. Chair, I am done.

MR. MEDVIN: Thank you, Dr. Smiley.

I think what Dr. Smiley has just enlightened us about should be considered by all of us in how we interact with each other in the meeting. It's been very frustrating for me at times trying to control what I feel is inappropriate manners of expressing one's opinion. And I think it's something we should all consider as we go forward. There's too many things on the table. It's very, very important things. We certainly can disagree if we want. Usually at the end we agree more than we disagree for the end result

and I think we would accomplish a lot more in a lot more efficient time if we keep in mind what Dr. Smiley just said in how we interact and how we go forward with our discussions.

So, again, thank you, Dr. Smiley, for joining us. And even though you're not going to be in your position much longer, you're always welcome here in our meetings.

DR. SMILEY: Thank you.

MR. MEDVIN: I think it's time to now --

MS. FERTIG: Did you pick up the amendment that was made to the motion by Ms. Shaw and seconded by many people but -- but then Mr. De Meo, you were making a friendly amendment to her amendment or to her motion? Yes.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Do you want to repeat it, please, so I can get back up to speed?

MS. SHAW: Okay. Thank you. So my amendment was, and it seems like it was ages ago now, so I'm trying to remember, is to hone in on looking at a procurement audit, a human resource audit. Both don't have to be completed at the same time, but we can focus on those and bring it back at the August meeting as an agenda item.

MS. FERTIG: Which then Mr. De Meo had

commented on some people that he would like to comment on this process, if I'm understanding you, which would fit in with it coming back to August.

MR. DE MEO: Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FERTIG: Okay. I just wanted to get you up to speed.

MR. MEDVIN: My opinion is that this specific area is -- let me make my comment please. That this area is obviously very important, has to be definitely thought out and reviewed. An audit of internal controls, as has been stated, is a tremendous undertaking in its entirety and I believe should be in conjunction and part of our annual audit. I think this topic should be picked up again in our August meeting's agenda in more detail and maybe we could get our ideas together about this and get some comments from Mr. Jabouin and go forward that way instead of arguing about points of the motion that we can't follow through completely anyway at this time.

So my suggestion is that we move to table this discussion to August, make sure it's on the agenda, allow us some time and then review the audit plan and pass that.

MS. FERTIG: I think you -- actually, I think everybody's saying the same thing, which is bring this back in August with a defined description of what we're talking about and some backup to give us the information we would need to have a real conversation on it.

MR. MAYERSOHN: This is a committee item that the committee would like to have on the August agenda, as opposed to individually set by the chair. So that's -- that's what I'm hearing, obviously, with some -- you know, Mr. De Meo's had some comments, everybody else's had some comments as well.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So we need to vote. Or else I'm going to have to call the question.

MS. SHAW: No, please don't.

MR. MEDVIN: We can vote on the motion regarding the internal controls audit.

MS. SHAW: Yes, and to bring it back on the August 3rd meeting.

MR. MEDVIN: Well, I think that's two separate things.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No.

MR. MAYERSOHN: No.

1 MS. SHAW: No.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We're not trying to have the audit completed by August. We're supporting an internal controls -- a review of internal controls with a specific focus on procurement and HR and to bring the topic back to the August 3rd meeting for discussion.

MS. FERTIG: With supporting documentation.

MR. MEDVIN: I think you have two different things here.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No.

MR. MEDVIN: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We're all telling you, no.

MR. MEDVIN: Voting for an audit -- this motion, if you're voting to push for an audit, okay, that's one thing. And I think that's too broad as it is now. To bring up this topic at the August meeting and to discuss it in detail and come up with a solution and possible motion for an audit I think is more appropriate. I understand this motion to say we're doing an audit, and I don't think that's appropriate at this time.

MR. MAYERSOHN: No.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No.

MR. MEDVIN: So if you vote the motion down then we're voting down bringing it on the agenda and if we pass the motion, yes, we're putting it on the agenda for August, but we're also going to be voting that we're recommending an audit. And I don't think we're in a position to do that until we have further discussion which we want to do in the August meeting.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Well, we're having that -we're having that discussion on August 2nd to
determine whether or not this moves forward and
what form it moves forward, if it doesn't move
forward, versus making a motion to say here's
what -- we want an agenda item to be able to
discuss that.

MR. MEDVIN: I think that should be the whole motion.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Mr. Medvin, can I clarify and, hopefully, so we can go ahead and call the question? The issue -- we're not making a motion to start with the audit. What we're asking for is that this motion be put on the agenda so we can discuss it further on August. We don't -- we want -- what we're saying is we want to vote on having the motion pass. Then what we want to do

on August 3rd is come back and discuss the particulars. Am I making sense? On just those two things, the procurement and that.

MR. DE MEO: I support the Chair's suggestion that this motion and matter be tabled until August when we can have a thorough discussion of it.

I think that would require Ms. Strauss to withdraw the motion. I cannot vote for the motion as it stands. I don't think it's responsible. I don't think it's focused and I think we're just -- you know, we're kind of throwing darts at the wall. We're ignoring all the work that has been done over these years at tens of thousands of dollars, external auditors, internal auditors, and we're not going back to find where the issues are. I just can't vote for that.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Fertig?

MS. FERTIG: I kind of think everybody's saying the same thing. But what I think that Mrs. Strauss --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And five other people.

MS. FERTIG: -- and Ms. Shaw were trying to get us to the point of was that, rather than just

this coming up and we're having a conversation, we go ahead and put it as an agenda item so that the necessary backup can be with it so that Ms.

Marte has time to make her presentation, Mr.

Jabouin -- so everybody has time to get the information they would need so we could really have a coherent conversation. So it's like a -- it is like a motion to defer, but it's a motion to defer with some very specific parameters that we want this motion put on the agenda with backup for the next meeting. Is that --

MS. SHAW: Correct. I mean, it could mean that once we have that discussion next month, two months from now, it may change. Because we may hear information from the folks that are in the field saying to us, this is what we have, this is what we're recommending, this is how we're going about doing it. But in order for us to have that discussion we need to have an agenda item.

MR. MEDVIN: Absolutely.

MS. SHAW: So that's all we're asking. This motion is saying, please add into the agenda for just those two areas, procurement, human resources, not the broad original motion that she started with, because that was ridiculous. Just

those two areas and, I'm sorry, on the agenda.
That's it.

MS. FERTIG: So it's really kind of --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Call the question. Call the question.

MR. MEDVIN: All right. Okay. So the motion, as I understand it, please correct me if you disagree, is that to make sure that we put on the floor and on the agenda for August a detailed discussion on the possible audit of internal controls starting with the areas of procurement -- what was the other one?

MS. SHAW: Human resources.

MR. MEDVIN: And human resources, at which time we will be able to have presentations from Ms. Marte, from Mr. Jabouin and other responsible parties, and then we can much more intelligently, thoroughly discuss these issues.

MS. SHAW: Exactly.

MR. MEDVIN: Is that a fair characterization?

All in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

24 (No response.)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries. Thank you.

1 Ms. Fertig?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FERTIG: I had two other things on this actual agenda item.

MR. MEDVIN: On the agenda item?

MS. FERTIG: On the agenda item; yes. If it's okay. And I know Mr. Mayersohn was in the middle of one when we interrupted him, so do you want to finish?

MR. MAYERSOHN: No, go ahead.

MS. FERTIG: After our discussions the last few meetings, and I apologize for adding this, I know we've thoroughly, Mr. Jabouin, discussed the plan for next year, there are two issues that I really, really have questions about wether we need to pursue. One, really, just came up today, it seems like a pretty simple thing, and maybe we just need the spreadsheet on it, is public records requests, how they're being responded to, how long it takes to respond to them, and I know that depends on the complexity of the question, but I think it's really important that we are -we have a sound process in place and we're comfortable with how it's happening, so that people don't feel that the public makes a request and we're not complying with the law.

So my -- my -- I would like to suggest that in some way, shape, or form, however it happens, that we do a review of how the public records process and determine that we are complying with the law and we are doing it in a timely manner, which I think, actually, is part of it. So that's number one.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, number two, you sent us some documents yesterday that were in response to our discussion last month on an audit. We got the insurance file and we got -- and we got the various letters that were in response to that audit last month. That raised in my mind, and you can just put this on the agenda for a future meeting, we don't need to belabor it here because I really haven't had time to read everything you sent, sorry, but a question about our insurance. We're self insured, and I have questions about when the last audit of that self insurance was done and whether or not we are complying and -- with all the things that you should do with your self insurance things. So I wanted to just have a conversation about whether -- and maybe this is Maybe they're having an audit on it, so we don't need to reinvent the wheel, but I'm

thinking that is an area that we should definitely be looking at in the future. Thank you.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: So, first thing, I think we need to kind of dissect what we mean by public records request. In this body the information that we're requesting as committee members goes through the chair and so, of course, to our chief auditor. How is that — that should be different from what the public is requesting, whether it's information related to audit committee, et cetera, et cetera. So I want to make sure I am clear with that, number one.

MS. FERTIG: Yes. Absolutely. Thank you. I am speaking, specifically, to the public records process, public records request process. When I mentioned to Ms. Strauss that that was an area, that you can make a public records request then and expect to get it in a timely manner, not a matter of months; she said that was not happening. I think that we, as a district, need to make sure that's happening. I, personally, don't feel someone should have to go to court to get the records that they're requesting that

they're entitled to through, you know, by law.

\_

\_

So if there's any concern that that's not happening, it seems like a pretty simple thing to audit to me. So that was what I'm speaking of.

I am not speaking of what we in here ask the chief auditor for.

MS. SHAW: Thank you. And then the second thing, coming from an organization that is self insured and of course you have to either make sure you have a reserve or build your reserve and it's something that's looked on by the state, I don't -- I really would not want to put our resources into auditing the self insurance only because there are certain requirements that the state has that the district and all the other organizations similar to mine must meet in order for them to continue to be self insured.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Marte?

MRS. MARTE: Thank you. Through the Chair? So thank you, Ms. Shaw. Everything you stated is absolutely correct.

In addition, so a little bit of background, the district is self insured. Our third party administrator is Aetna. Our insurance consultant is Gallagher. They audit Aetna to make sure that

the claims are being handled according to the contract and all of that. In addition, the superintendent on an annual basis, as you stated, Ms. Shaw, must sign an affidavit that we have adequate reserves in the district to cover 60 days, is it 60 days, Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: Yes.

MRS. MARTE: Of claims and we get an actuarial from Gallagher every six months that trues up and affirms -- and reaffirms separate from the district that that exists in the fund.

So there are several checks and balances around the self insurance fund that are closely adhered to and very statutory and prescriptive in nature. So thank you for that, Ms. Shaw.

MS. FERTIG: I guess what I was concerned about in looking at the very just narrow snapshot that we got was the fact that we got a claims file where commitments to pay were made before the first conversation was ever held with the insurance company which seems very unusual. Thank you.

MRS. MARTE: Those are different.

MS. FERTIG: Those are different? Thank you.

MRS. MARTE: May I?

1 MS. FERTIG: Sure.

MRS. MARTE: I apologize. I wasn't sure as to what you were speaking of because I have not read the whole audit plan at this point.

Those are paid not through our health insurance self insured funds at all. I'll have to have Aston Henry answer the questions about that. But those are paid through the district's, and I apologize, the name is not in my head right now, those are paid through our general liability type accounts that are managed by risk management and are completely separate from our health insurance fund.

MS. FERTIG: And I wasn't, of course, speaking about health insurance, so I guess we're mixing apples. So the better thing to do, maybe, is to take that follow-up letter and bring it to our -- so we can have some conversation on it at our next meeting, the follow-up materials that we got yesterday.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, so this wasn't -- I do have questions about the actual audit plan, but to piggyback on what Mary was saying, and I think the word Johns was somewhere in that insurance

1 company.

MS. FERTIG: Johns Eastern; yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah. So -- and I do recall seeing some emails where it seems as though we created a claim in order to file a claim, that the claim was that they were going to sue when there's no evidence that any such thing was going to happen. That's one of the problems with this whole thing that came out of this audit.

In the backup we were sent I see the auditors from SDA asked for the Moquin letter but I didn't see a response. We have the letter that was given to one of the other employees, but we don't have the one the auditor specifically asked for. And it's in the emails we were sent, but there's no response, and I don't recall seeing the letter that was given to Moquin.

MS. FERTIG: So this might be something we could put on the follow-up for next month, Mr. Jabouin. I know we got it late yesterday and thank you for sending it.

MR. MEDVIN: Mr. De Meo?

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, on this is agenda item, page 48, through the Chair and Chief Auditor, the

last page of your report -- first of all, your plan is exhaustive. I was exhausted just reading it, and it seems comprehensive, but I do have some questions on that last page and I wanted to go over them with you.

First, the last page says long range audit plan, audits for future school fiscal years. So one would presume that these items listed are not part of the current audit plan, first; is that correct?

MR. JABOUIN: That is correct. These items are for consideration in future years. There is no room in the audit plan for these areas as well as some of the areas that were mentioned.

Because, a couple things to remember, in order for something to go in something has to go out.

MR. DE MEO: No, I understand that you're limited in terms of time and resources.

So about the seventh item down, IT Security Cyber Security, Logical Access, Assessment,
(Re-Audit of Prior Audit) (Fiscal Year 24-25),
I'm not sure what prior audit this refers to and
if that is conducted on an annual basis. IT
Security, Access, you know that's like got to be
tested every year. I mean, that's just -- IT

Security I would think would be a high priority item, Cyber Security. So I would ask the Chief Auditor to reconsider his priorities and somehow fitting it in annually.

Next line item Information Technology

Identity Management Follow Up, again, I don't

know, Re-Audit of Prior Year, what year that

refers to and if that audit is conducted

annually, but this is something else that I think

somehow should find its way into the regular

audit.

MS. HARPALANI: Can I speak to -- Jennifer Harpalani. I can answer to that question. The IT Security - Cyber Security Logical Access, et cetera, that was an audit that was completed and presented in closed doors and it, basically, focused on our student application system, which is TERMS.

MR. JABOUIN: There are some audit subjects that are, due to the nature of the subject and what they cover, they don't appear in this particular forum and we double-check with legal on the applicability of that. So when you mentioned, Mr. De Meo, that, you know, you're not familiar with the previous ones, there are some

legal reasons as to why. But we do continue to follow up on the existing issues and re-auditing them is not, it's going to continue through the follow-up process, but the actual full audit which may require a re-audit, which is something that would occur into a future year. So those audits are significant. So I just had to mention that part.

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I know we've discussed this and I bring it up every year, a couple times a year, apparently you've consulted with legal and we, certain items we are not privy to because of their sensitive nature.

Do we have -- looking for another way around this, do we have the ability to have something like an executive committee that would look at this, a smaller subcommittee made up of the audit committee and maybe the board or some other superintendents, something, so that we as the audit committee can be assured that these areas of high priority and potential great risk are being properly addressed?

You don't have to answer that now. I know -- I didn't --

MR. JABOUIN: No, I would like to answer.

MR. DE MEO: I didn't agree with the legal interpretation that was provided because I provided you with some other examples from the attorney general wherein an audit committee did get certain information. But that's not the point of this. I just want you to consider these two items on an annual basis.

MR. JABOUIN: Absolutely. Because your background and input would be key to something like that. So it is something that I will definitely explore, not because it's a direction from you, because it's something I would benefit from.

MR. DE MEO: I'm not volunteering, I'm just saying.

MR. JABOUIN: No. You're in. You're in.

MR. DE MEO: A couple other questions, I'll be as brief as I can. A couple lines later, Inspection Process - Stadiums, Grandstands, Bleachers, what's involved in that; briefly? What does that mean? Does that mean the physical infrastructure or does that -- yeah?

MR. JABOUIN: And from a high level as well.

This is not the exact -- I mean, there are some summaries, but there are expectations as to what

needs to be done there and whether or not the district is indeed doing them. We wouldn't do them ourselves, it's just that there's an expectation that they be done and they be followed up.

MR. DE MEO: Of course. By someone who is --

MR. JABOUIN: Qualified.

MR. DE MEO: -- skilled at that. So that seems like an area for potential liability. I hope we're looking at that; right?

MR. JABOUIN: They do them, themselves. It's just whether or not we would review the process.

MR. DE MEO: Oh, I see.

MR. JABOUIN: This is -- remember, though, this is the audit function of the existing process.

MR. DE MEO: I see Ms. Marte seems to have some information about that.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Marte?

MRS. MARTE: Can I be recognized?

Yes, and Ms. Meloni's here as well. We have an annual inspection program for all of those critical areas. This is auditing to make sure that we're conducting those with fidelity.

MR. DE MEO: Okay.

MRS. MARTE: But I want to go on the record as saying we definitely have an inspection process that generates work orders when repairs have to happen.

MR. DE MEO: Certainly. Certainly.

Couple of items down, District School FTE Controls, we're looking at that on a regular basis; aren't we? I mean, that's our revenue; right? Yeah? Okay.

And then Treasury, what's involved with Treasury? What do you look at in Treasury? What would you look at in Treasury?

MR. JABOUIN: So I'm used to doing treasury audits of different types of organizations that have higher risks, but they do have policies and procedures and controls that they would need to adhere to and that would be --

MR. DE MEO: Give me a couple areas; investments; cash flow management?

MR. JABOUIN: I believe in an organization like this those would be the types of things, are there investment policies, are they followed?

MR. DE MEO: Risk management? Is risk management part of treasury or no?

MR. JABOUIN: No.

MR. DE MEO: Okay. That's all I got.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes. I brought this up before and I'm still confused and as part of the whole surplus property issue I went back to the June 2nd, 2022 minutes. On page 29 the Chief Auditor is saying that we have what's in process right now an audit that is looking at the warehouse. Because there's been talk and I think I saw an email where they said they were auditing the procurement. When I looked at the audit plan, I've asked about the PPO audit and it's still in fieldwork according to this latest document.

So, I mean, I'm trying to understand how procurement is being audited when I don't see that on the audit plan, but the thing that is on the audit plan, doesn't seem -- which is PPO, and this is in response in part to that scathing Council of Great City Schools review from 2019 that we still don't have any follow-up on, because PPO can't seem to get itself audited to save its life. So this is in the field work phase. I guess who's doing -- that's Carr, Riggs, Ingram? And what is Carr, Riggs, Ingram

1

working on instead of the PPO audit?

2

MR. JABOUIN: Your question is on what project number, please, Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

4

3

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: On this document? Page 5 number 15, projects 25 and 26. But from June of

6

last year, in your own words, you said that

7

there's an audit looking at the warehouse and

8

then in an email I saw where there's supposedly

9

an audit of procurement but I can't find a

10

procurement audit on this audit plan as it

pertains to the warehouse.

11

MR. JABOUIN: So if you take a look at page

12 13

29, project number 2 is the warehouse audit.

14

project that you're referring to from last year

15

is indeed in fieldwork and that is looking at PPO

16

and that will be completed in this fiscal year

17

depending on how the audit goes and the response

and so forth. And Carr, Riggs, Ingram is doing

18 19

that while they're also doing some work regarding

20

the caps and gowns and the PCG as well. But that

21

is the audit and PPO is covered.

22

Now, let's also recall that when you do the

23

procurement, so those controls are looked at in

contract audits there is involvement in

24 25

those audits as well.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And where was the B Stock audit on this current year's audit plan, what number was that?

MR. JABOUIN: Please take a look at number 2 on page 29, you can see it says Department Specific, Procurement Warehousing B Stock Warehouse. It's on the bottom of page 29.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Of this current year's audit plan?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Please go to the audit plan document and go to page 29. There are -- the project numbers start with the schools and then you have district wide and then you have --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I don't think we're looking at the same document.

MS. SHAW: The last item on page 29.

MR. JABOUIN: Do you see it? Page 29.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's my point. Where is the B stock audit on the current year's audit plan? The one that is supposedly in progress should be in the current year's audit plan; no?

MR. JABOUIN: The current year audit plan starts on page 29. That is the current year audit plan. And the B Stock audit is in there on the bottom of the page. 29, please, project

1 number 2.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So for the one that was approved for this year, if I go back to my prior copy --

MS. HARPALANI: Page 9. Page 9, item number 5.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Yeah, there it is.

MR. MAYERSOHN: There it is.

MR. JABOUIN: So you're on the prior year.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, I'm on the prior year on an old document that would have been the one approved, not something that's just been produced.

MR. MAYERSOHN: No, no, no, go to page 29.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: This is the current document.

MS. SHAW: There is two.

MR. JABOUIN: So included in the plan are a summary of the prior year and that's at the beginning. The current year starts on page 29.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. But what I'm saying is, to be clear, if I go, because I do save all that paper and I go to my prior year audit plan, where in the prior -- why can't I find it or someone tell me where it is in the old document,

not the document that's dated today that says that this is already there. If I go back to a prior --

MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Arcese can explain a little bit. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, I'm saying, in the old document where is it?

MS. ARCESE: So page -- let me get to the bottom of the page. Page 9, item number --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No.

MS. ARCESE: Is for 2022-2023 school year.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, I'm not talking about the --

MR. MAYERSOHN: If I can -- if I can help out here? What Dr. Lynch-Walsh is talking about, last year when we sat here and came up with an audit plan, that document, not the new documents that we got the other day or last week --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Those documents, where is project number 5 that's listed here for the 2022-2023 school fiscal year?

MR. JABOUIN: Project number 5 on page 10 --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's actually on page 21 since you said project number 5.

MS. FERTIG: Can we just cut through this and ask a question? Is there currently an audit in -- I mean, it says it's behind phase, so are you currently doing an audit on B Stock?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, we are.

MS. FERTIG: And that's not completed and it's going to carry over to 2020 -- is that what I'm getting here, 23-24?

MR. JABOUIN: It is. It is.

MS. FERTIG: I don't know that that answers it, but it looks like they're currently in the phase and doing it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And that's what I thought was a simple question is where is it on the prior? And from everybody else chiming in, it's on page 21 of the old document.

I was just trying to find it because this is all new and I just wanted to verify that it was here.

MR. JABOUIN: And I just wanted to let the committee know, the prior year information that is there at the beginning, what I did was take the approved plan that the board eventually approved on December 13th, and that is what is the core document which I provided the notes on

there as to where they stand and what got done on that. And then you have the new plan that begins on page 29. But that's the structure of it.

MS. FERTIG: You're going to be completing this year; right?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes.

MS. FERTIG: Okay.

MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Mayersohn?

MR. MAYERSOHN: So just for, I guess, consistency, if the long range audit plan, audit for future school years on page 48, can be presented in the same format that the other audits are done would be helpful. And whether you want to name a project, like for example here, you know, project number 48, call it F48 which is future or whatever it may be. This way, I mean, from my perspective I know the subject area the broad scope, whether it's assigned to internal, external, you know.

MR. JABOUIN: I can add a numbering like LR, but let's also recall that it's too early to determine that. Once -- if the decision is made to put it on there, and, of course, something has been to be removed, then how is it going to get done?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. Well, in other words, then projecting to be, for example, let's say, I mean, the first one, Enhance Hurricane Protection Areas, is that going to be externally or internally? If it's done externally we know that there's going to be a cost to as much as if it's done -- I mean, obviously, there's a cost internally, but we're hiring somebody out.

Obviously, you have to advertise or it's done, you know, use your existing auditors who has the expertise to handle it. I mean, that may, again, in this long-range plan either help us plan for the future or look at high risk, high priority, or what might be there.

MR. JABOUIN: I can put a proposal as to whether or not it's internal or external. Many of these, with the exception of some of the IT, we can do them ourselves if we have, you know, the right individuals and the training and so forth as well.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah. I mean, it's just, like I said, I think it would be for consistency as opposed to here's a list of things we might come up with as opposed to a formal process.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: Do you require a motion? I'm just wondering.

MR. JABOUIN: I do. I would like to have a motion for the approval of the audit plan as we do need to present it in July in accordance with school board policy.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So moved.

MS. SHAW: Second. Thank you.

MR. MEDVIN: Any further discussion?

(No response.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MEDVIN: All in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No.

MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries.

MR. JABOUIN: Just a quick announcement that Ms. Marte has a hard stop at 12:30 if there's any questions for her.

MR. MEDVIN: Number 12, RSM.

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you very much.

Number 12, so this is the periodic audit of the SMART Bond Program Manager and Owner's Representative. RSM has prepared the reports and they're here. Matthew Blondell and Chris Gums are here to go over the report.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

If you go through it you will see that there are some prior findings. Six of those nine findings are noted as open and one of them dates back to 2020. So we do have also here, we have, from AECOM, Kathleen Langan, and we have Ashley Carpenter from Atkins, and we have from Capital Programs, Shelley Meloni.

If we can ask RSM to present their report to the committee?

MR. BLONDELL: Good morning. Good morning. Testing. The green light is on.

BECON: I can't see who's talking.

MR. GUMS: Testing.

MR. BLONDELL: Good morning. Matt Blondell, director of RSM.

As Mr. Jabouin mentioned we had nine prior audit items that we conducted some follow up on and we were able to close three of them and also were able to note some pretty good progress on some of the other ones that did remain open.

So I'll turn it over to Chris to walk through the specifics of each item. I'm certainly happy to answer questions as we go through.

MR. GUMS: Thanks, Matt.

Starting on page 4 of the report, the Prior

Observations Follow Up section, Prior Observation Number 1, Contract Time Modifications and Schedule Updates, this observation relates to change orders that are executed for time modifications and the incorporation of those changes into contracted project schedule which is provided in a monthly pay application package.

As we see in the Current Observation Status section of the report, three of our three samples failed testing for the period. Management did note in their response that they have added an additional control to the MPU process to address contractor schedule updates, but as of the date of this report this observation will remain open.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Are we going to comment on each of these or wait until the end or how do you want to do it.

MR. MEDVIN: Let him go through his report, that'll be a little more time efficient.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So, I mean, if I can just state in -- I guess my question would be is that in this first observation, obviously, February 2020, it's still open and RSM's testing is, without going individually, you've got from Quarter 2 in 2020 to Quarter 2 in 2023, a

three-year period, and samples continue to fail. What's -- what's the issue? I mean, why are they still continuing to fail? And, again, just my opinion is that there seems to be an issue that, to me, is not acceptable.

And I'm not -- I'm just asking the question of what are we missing; what are we not training; what are we leaving out that these samples continue to fail?

MR. BLONDELL: Sure, I think -- I believe it's, as a few more noted in their responses, it's an issue of getting updated schedules from the contractors. I know they've -- like they mentioned, they're working to -- have been working to continue to follow up with their contractors. I don't know if Kathleen has anything else that she'd like to add, but, obviously, this is something we've been tracking and there has been some improvement, but we're still seeing some failures.

MR. DE MEO: Mr. Chair, a follow-up question?

Good morning -- afternoon. Since I'm not a

construction expert and I'm prone to have

narcolepsy reading long reports, could you give

me the significance of this control? Make it

easy. Could you in each of those observations -are there nine? Could you just give us the
significance of the control and, you know, what
could go wrong? In other words, if it's not
working, what does it protect? What could go
wrong? That would help me determine if -- how
much time I should spend on this and if I should
use this as a sleep aid tonight.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BLONDELL: The first one here relates to the contractors updating their schedule after a change order has been executed to change their schedules. And so the risk here is that a change order has been approved by the board to increase project time but the contractor's schedule does not reflect that increase in project time, so, therefore, the schedule that they're providing is not accurate. And, you know, depending on how much time has been added that could be significant. And so the risk there is the contractor providing updated schedules so that the district and the PM/OR and everybody, all of stakeholders have a clear understanding of where they are in the current schedule and when they anticipate completion.

MR. JABOUIN: Chair, I think Ms. Langan can

1 respond to that.

MR. DE MEO: Is it one of the more -- is this one of the more significant findings of the nine?

MR. BLONDELL: Considering the length of time that it's been open I would say, yes.

MR. JABOUIN: Chair, if you could have Ms. Langan comment?

MS. LANGAN: Yes, thank you. Through the Chair, so one of the challenges is that when the board approves a change order, that change order then follows a process and that process is that it comes back down to OSBR's office, OSBR then processes the paper that comes down to Facilities, then Facilities issues a letter. And so, typically, the contractors will not get that letter or notification of the approval for at least, I would say, four to six weeks, minimum. So that's the first challenge that we have as far as getting it on the next schedule update.

The other thing I think -- we continue to refine the processes and the controls that we put in place. I think RSM spoke briefly about this, but, you know, the ability to control the contractors with regard to schedules has been a challenge since AECOM arrived. And, you know,

you would think that because it's in the contract and they should be submitting a schedule monthly, they should be submitting a schedule monthly with their pay applications, sometimes the contractors don't even submit monthly payouts. And sometimes it's a function of the work and how the work is progressing or if they, you know, stopped work for whatever reason. They can't work because they have to wait for summertime, whatever the case may be.

What I can assure the committee is that on a monthly basis, and typically I check in every two weeks, I have a report that comes specifically to me and it reports on the construction schedules with the additional time that the board has approved. And it also includes any forecasting of a time impact that has hit the job or if the contractor is just forecasting additional time. All of that we track on a monthly basis.

So because this doesn't show up on the next schedule that the contractor submits if it's a month later does not mean that we are not tracking it. So the risk of that is very minimal. It is a paperwork issue that, you know, if we could stop paying, that might get people,

but you -- you know, how are you going to stop paying contractors when they're out there doing the work? So it's -- it's a point that we manage, but we also try and take into a larger consideration and then we manage more tightly on our side. I hope that helps.

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, it does.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: So I -- I -- I am the accountant for an over \$300 million construction project and there are processes that are put in place when you receive an application for payment. Now, number one, what is the allotted time between the approval and when the contractor added to his application for payment? Because that tells you, us, the organization, number one, how far behind are we? Do we need to charge the contractor for that amount of time that he is over and above his contract?

Number two, if you receive an application for payment and it is not fully executed, you have a number of days to reject it. And this is the date, not having the proper period on it provides you that opportunity to send it back to that contractor for them to fix it before you approve

it and send it off to the city. Because if it's going to a consultant prior to coming to the city and you are the agent of record you have a right to reject it, send it back to them before you sign off on it and send it to the city. process that can be done. There is absolutely no reason why we should continue to fail this. Absolutely. And if we're paying that contractor and we're not putting in place what Florida statute has, then shame on us. That's our -that means we're giving away our money. And if you do it once, twice, three times, I bet you'll never see that again. And we need to make sure that we're holding them responsible for the work that they're supposed to be doing and for the money that we're giving them to take care of what needs to get done so our children can be in a safe environment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is totally unacceptable. And I know -and I'm not pushing at you because I know you
guys are trying to do, but it needs to start
somewhere. The consultant needs to send it back.
The consultant needs to say, listen, this is
unacceptable. We have provided you a sufficient
amount of time. And, not only that, if they know

their document is going up for a vote, they can watch the meeting and see that it was approved. They don't need a letter from you to say, oh, by the way, this is approved, you need to update your -- they don't. They can watch that meeting and see -- or they can make a quick phone call, oh, was it approved? Yes, we're going to send a letter out in two weeks.

I know government. I work for government.

So that letter may take some time. But their paperwork should not take any time for you to receive that. Absolutely not. And we should start charging them for that delay and for this. It's in the contract. I'm sorry. We pay them too much money for them to be providing this kind of inadequacies.

MR. MEDVIN: Thank you. Continue.

MR. GUMS: Moving on to Prior Observation

Number 2, I'm on page 7. PM/OR Compliance with

Reporting Requirements, this observation is

related to the reporting requirements within

AECOM's RFQ and the deliverables provided within

their monthly reports. We reviewed the SMART

Program monthly reports for the period of June

2022 and January 2023. We noted that certain

deliverables were not provided which are listed in the bullet points and the detail.

As noted in management's response my understanding, and I would defer to Kathleen and the OCP team, and their comments is that AECOM presented to the board an amendment to their agreement which would remove six of the deliverable requirements. And those discussions happened last week. But, like I mentioned, I would defer to their team for any additional commentary on those discussions.

MS. LANGAN: Thank you. So that item did not get approved. Our contract is not extended at this time and those changes in the reporting function was a part of that contract. So we will attempt to take that back at the July 18th meeting.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So my concerns are pretty much directly related to that statement that was just made. Just so we understand the magnitude of that, this is the salvage operation that's known as the SMART Program. There is no rescuing this thing. We're just salvaging and trying to limp to October 2025. Anyone that

thinks they can save the program has no idea what's actually going on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the -- when we did this report last time one of the deliverables that is not being tracked by RSM, and, remember, this is a function of what the Chief Auditor determines is the scope, is something that is now very, very relevant, and it's the 12-month staffing plan. Because what caused this, the contract to not be renewed, has to do with a non-M/WBE vendor demanding that they maintain a certain level of participation because they're on an M/WBE form, which I'm not sure how that got past legal in 2020, that they're on a But if you're not an M/WBE vendor and you're on an M/WBE form, to me that's null and void because you're not. So I don't even know why it's a discussion point. However, we passed a motion at the Facilities Task Force over a month ago. We reviewed the original contract and the staffing plan because a 12-month staffing plan is required in the contract.

MR. MEDVIN: All right. This isn't a meeting for the task force.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I am aware, but we're supposed to share information between advisories.

And we passed a motion allowing them flexibility in the staffing plan for Years 4 and 5 and to not fall below 29 percent for the paramount purpose of completing the work at the schools and allowing flexibility for non-S/M/WBE in order to staff the program as appropriate for the number of remaining projects, and, again, for purposes of completing the work.

This is in their contract. Understand, this 12-month staffing plan, I asked why that wasn't included, it presumably was still not included in what they're reviewing for compliance. So now they have a contract where they're trying -- the sub-consultants, who have met with a number of board members and staff people, are -- who do not work for the district directly, they are sub-consultants of AECOM but have met with everybody just about under the sun to complain about wanting their 26 percent, that's in the contract in terms of their commitment, however, so is the 12-month staffing plan.

So the task force, which does look at all this in depth recognized that you shouldn't be trying to adhere to one thing at the expense of the thing that's most important, which is

actually getting the projects done. And in what was proposed, also in the contract is consistency and leadership, but in order to make the numbers work would have to cut the program director, which is Kathleen, to 50 percent. So the very person that was brought in to run the program in order to make the sub-consultant's numbers work would have their time cut to 50 percent.

So these are all the things that are not being brought to you because we're looking at, you know, things like change orders and length of time when the whole thing was always going to be a mess, but now they -- they've had their original contract extended for 90 days, which means none of the staffing plans can be done, and we don't know where this goes next. But that's what's going on in the background.

But I do -- my question is, is this or do we need to pass a motion to ensure, and it's in there scope of services, let me go find what number it is, because, absolutely, the things that are in here are in the contract, but so was the 12-month staffing plan.

MR. JABOUIN: The staffing plan was previously reviewed by RSM and if the suggestion

is that we take a look at it we could. I've heard the comments from the board regarding the M/WBE and I've spoken to RSM about that, it's putting it into strategy.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So let me read from 6.7.7, Staffing/Responsibility Matrix, Initiation Phase, initiation, initial, and quarterly. They report quarterly. So there's suppose to be a RACI matrix during the initiation phase providing a 12-month staffing plan that correlates with the projected status of individual projects in the overall program over the 12-month period. Was that done? Yeah, I think that's what he's referring to.

But on a quarterly basis, and they've been here since July, provide a 12-month staffing plan that evaluates each team according to the projected status of individual projects and the overall program over the 12-month period. That is not being monitored since inception. And this is why we are where we are because when the scope of services was written it was from the vantage point of continuous improvement and lessons learned from the Heery contract where it was living la vida loca and you could get away with

anything under that contract, and so guardrails

-- and, in fact, this requirement was suggested

by RSM. And there's documentation that it came

from David Luker as a suggestion to tighten

controls up so that you didn't have staff that

were looking for things to do that were eating up

bond dollars with nothing to do. That was the

entire point of this requirement and is the very

requirement that has not been monitored this

entire time. And that's a problem.

So I'd like a motion to ensure that they start -- well, it may be moot, because now we're looking at renewals. AECOM is doing the staffing plan quarterly, but it absolutely should be getting reviewed, because as we move to finishing the program, understand that there's a lot of lobbying going on to keep non-M/WBE firms at a certain level regardless of whether they're needed or not and at the expense of having AECOM actually running the program.

MR. JABOUIN: The staffing plan has previously been looked at.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Lord help me.

MR. JABOUIN: If the advisory comment is that it continues to be looked at, we will do so. And

I mentioned that RSM and I met about the M/WBE component. So those -- I understand the advisory comment.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I'd like to propose the motion that RSM report on the quarterly requirement for AECOM to provide a 12-month staffing plan, as per their contract in 6.7.7 of their scope of services.

MR. JABOUIN: I don't think a motion is necessary, but, sure.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: A motion is always necessary. Because it's not being monitored and I don't know any other way of ensuring it gets monitored.

MR. MEDVIN: Do we have a second?

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: I'll second it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.

MR. MEDVIN: Any further discussion?

MS. FERTIG: Can I just ask a question? What number are you on in here? And I'm just getting concerned because we have so little time left and the entire report.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's the exact one we're on; number 2.

MR. MEDVIN: We have a hard stop at 1:15, so

1 be aware.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Compliance with reporting requirements. That's a reporting requirement.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. And that's a reporting requirement and our concern here is that this is a partially complete thing with a lot of reporting requirements? I don't know. Can I ask -- can I ask what RSM and Ms. Langan think about adding this before we vote for it?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, we're not adding it. We're simply asking for them to audit to ensure that it's happening.

MS. FERTIG: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They haven't been auditing it.

MR. DE MEO: To amend their report.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.

MR. DE MEO: To revise the report and send it back to us.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Or and in the future start doing it; yes.

MS. FERTIG: Well, which is it? Do you want them to do it in the future or do you want them

1 to go back and --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, let's, yeah, amend the report to include it. Why not?

MR. JABOUIN: No.

MS. SHAW: Because we need to submit this report so at least the body can see what's going on. So if we delay this report longer, then the body is not seeing all of this -- all of this what's happening with this report.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So then for --

MR. JABOUIN: This can be considered for the future. Not for this report. This report needs to move on.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. For Q -- this is Q2, 22-23. Q3 and 4 will certainly capture my concerns.

MR. DE MEO: And the reason is because we will learn -- in other words, on these reports, I'm trying to figure out what's really significant here. Is it a paperwork thing or is it significant and it will impact either --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The entire program.

MR. DE MEO: -- the program and its effectiveness --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. DE MEO: -- or cost us money or something won't be built right? That is important.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, to all of those questions.

MR. DE MEO: Okay. So then let's move the question then.

MR. MEDVIN: Any other comments?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: All in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries.

MR. GUMS: Moving on to Prior Observation

Number 3, I'm on page 9 of the report, e-Builder

System Access. This observation was related

PM/OR personnel having access to e-Builder after

their official exit date from the program. So

for the last two reports we've seen steady

improvement in the average duration between

employee exit dates and the date of removal from

e-Builder. In the current period since the

implementation of the new PM/OR termination

notification workflow we were able to close this

observation as 13 of 13 sampled individuals had

their access removed within one business day.

Prior Observations Number 4, PM/OR Monthly

Invoicing, I'm now on page 10 of the report.

We reviewed 12 labor invoices and seven expense invoices as part of our fieldwork. I won't go through each of these bullets for the sake of time, but generally we noted instance of unallowable costs and missing supporting documentation within the initial invoice package provided to the district. AECOM did, however, provide additional backup for any missing items as part of our follow up and as detailed in their response is that credits will be issued for any overbillings.

MS. SHAW: I have a question.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: So how many in total payment applications were submitted? They reviewed 12, how many were submitted?

MR. JABOUIN: We performed 100 percent sampling on AECOM's invoicing. Yes, ma'am.

MS. SHAW: What process is in place? Because if the consultant is submitting incorrect information and we're paying them, which means that, then my biggest concern is, is the contractor submitting? Because the consultant is supposed to be reviewing the contractor's work.

So if the contractor is submitting incorrect information, this is quite problematic for me, that the -- the fox, who's supposed to be watching the hen is eating all the chickens, you know, putting it boldly. So what process then, and this is for you, what process then is put in place for review?

This is not difficult. This is -- this is not difficult. An easy quick spreadsheet, run a calculated tape, the contract of all -- the hourly rate of all the staff that's in the contract, times the number of hours, give you a quick amount of what the -- the labor charge is. It is really, really not difficult.

So what do we have in place now? Because we can't continue to do the same thing where a few months from now you're reviewing this again and then it fails all over again because whatever process that we did not put in place continues to be the same way.

So what is the process that's in place to make sure? Thank you.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Marte?

MRS. MARTE: Thank you, Chair. I'm going to have to ask Ms. Meloni who looks at it up until

she signs off on it because there are several review points of her staff. But after she reviews it the Office of Capital Budget, led by director, Omar Shim, has a team that reviews it.

I need to share with you all, the monthly invoice from AECOM comes in in a bankers box. It is this big (indicating). I can -- it's over \$2 million every single month. And the staff goes through. It's broken out into five sections.

Each subcontractor submits their bill with all of the details, the timecards, all of that, and then it's synthesized up into a couple of sheets. So staff does go through and test. I do not believe staff looks and adds every single thing, it would --

MS. SHAW: Ms. Marte, I have a project for \$127 million with Davis-Bacon, which means that Davis-Bacon has to be audited. The package is huge. I can sleep on it and still have space. And there's never ever a month where it's off by a penny. Which tells me that, if we don't have a person from accounting or someone who understands the review process and be able to get this right, we're never going to get this right.

MRS. MARTE: So -- and I don't mean to

Especially

interrupt you, Ms. Shaw. So it's not a lack of understanding. I think perhaps then I need more resources. Because the amount of staff I have, this is a \$1.5 billion project and we have 220 open projects at this time, more than the district has ever had. So it might simply be resources.

MS. SHAW: So then the question is, what's more important, losing money on these projects because of incorrect calculations and we continue to pay the auditors, I'm sure they continue to love working for us, continue to pay the auditors to tell us the same thing over and over again?

for something that is quite fixable.

Construction projects is not new to the district.

We have been doing construction projects for years. So this is --

We've got to change that pattern.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But we always lose money.

MS. SHAW: This is a process. Even if you're short-staffed, it's a process that still can work and still -- which means that if your director knows what he's doing that means he's going to get into the weeds and make sure this stops.

Because the buck stops with him. Because if he's

signing off on this and it's incorrect and now we're going back and saying every, 100 percent of them are incorrect, that's -- that's a big problem.

MRS. MARTE: I agree and I'll meet with Ms.

Motiwala and the director and make sure that

practices are put in place where it's 100 percent

reviewed. So thank you for that input, Ms. Shaw.

MS. FERTIG: And could we -- could we get at our next meeting what those processes are going to be? Because I feel like, and this is not a criticism --

MRS. MARTE: Yes, we can. No.

MS. FERTIG: -- it's just like at the last several audits we've had some of the same, not the same issues, but similar issues. And so if we could just know what that process is --

MRS. MARTE: Absolutely. We will be happy to bring that back.

MS. FERTIG: And if the need is for additional resources, I think as an audit committee we've always come forward to the board where we see the need for additional resources, staffing resources, and asked for that. But at some point if we could just -- thank you. That

1 was great, Phyllis.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I need to continue to say MS. SHAW: this. Right now in government we have this massive escape of people leaving for whatever And so there needs to be an SOP that whoever walks in the door can pick it up and say, oh, payment application number 25, these, step 1, step 2, step 3, so -- which means that if you don't have an actual SOP, a process for -- and you have a massive escape, you go back and you have a discussion with the director and he says, I don't have to deal with this, I'm going home, the next person who comes in should be able to say, well, I am not as versed on construction, but here is this document that we have. review this document and see how we can follow through to make sure these payments are done. there's nothing, there's no one -- no one has a responsibility to do anything else than what they've been doing all along.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, the word bankers box is stuck in my head. Is it because everything is paper?

MRS. MARTE: Again, I'll get back to the

committee with more details. I believe there's a paper copy and an automated copy, both. But there is a bankers box of paper, Dr. Lynch-Walsh, yes, there is. I've seen it in my office. I believe it is accompanied with automated versions of the same, but I have seen --

Shelley, do you want to help me?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: She nodded.

MS. MELONI: Yes. In fact, it is the size of a bankers box. It is yea thick and it has all the backup.

And, Ms. Shaw, I just wanted to clarify that these are the AECOM invoices that -- the invoices that come from Ms. Langan and --

MS. SHAW: The consultant. That's the consultant. That's even worse.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Are there electronic invoices?

MS. MELONI: Well, it comes in, and, Ms.

Langan, you may have to help me in terms of how it comes into the system, but we receive a paper copy and that is what we use. Staff -- before I sign off on it and before it goes to capital budget, staff looks at it and goes through very

detailed, we're not accounting staff, to your point Ms. Shaw, so -- but we do our very best. We check the rates, we check the backup as closely as we can. It's evident that we miss some things. And I do my spot-checking as well. I do not read line by line, again, because of the volume of the backup that accompanies the invoice.

After I do my spot-checking I sign off on it and then we forward it on to capital budget.

MS. FERTIG: But is it in a spreadsheet? Is it just a box of paper invoices; is that what you're saying? There's no organization?

MS. SHAW: Can I answer that? What it is is the first page is a pay application page which kind of details what they're buying -- what -- the amount of money, so the beginning amount, the contract amount, et cetera, et cetera.

The backup, which includes all of the consultants, so if you have 20 consultants working for that month, all of their invoices is included. Now, if you're like us you may get an electronic version which is difficult to review, but you also have this stack of paper where you have to go through and review, especially when we

have an issue it may not be sufficient, because until you get to the point where you're at at least a 98 percent accuracy, because there's always room for error, whether it's rounding or whatever the case may be. And it needs a 98 percent accuracy, it needs to be checked. Just a cursory review is not sufficient anymore.

And I would recommend, and I don't know if I need to make a motion, that an accountant is included in this review process who understands construction contracts or have the affinity to understand contracts.

MRS. MARTE: Well, that's happening. So when it goes to capital budget those are construction accountants, Mr. Shim, Mr. Whitney, Mr. Brown, Mr. Jackson, those are all construction accountants.

MS. SHAW: But by the time it gets there that's the problem.

MRS. MARTE: Well, but they send it back if they find a mistake, Ms. Shaw. They're checking it.

I heard you. We will improve our practices. The amounts here are small.

MS. SHAW: No.

MRS. MARTE: I'm looking at \$3,000, a thousand and 134. The invoice is over 2 million.

MS. SHAW: They are not small. We should not have those -- we are a large organization, a large organization that should not have these kind of errors with a huge accounting department.

MRS. MARTE: So, if I may, we do not have a huge accounting department.

MS. SHAW: Well, you know.

MRS. MARTE: We don't. We don't. So -- but we've heard you. We will meet with the team. We will review our standard practices and we will do better.

MR. GUMS: Skipping quite a few pages here to page 13, Prior Observation Number 5, Change Order Review and Adherence to SOP for Change Management, the current period reflected a sample of three change order packages for proper support, mathematical accuracy and compliance with the SOPs. We noted no exceptions as part of that testing, and, therefore, we closed this observation.

Prior Observation Number 6, Change Order

Process Duration. In our last report we provided

some analytics and data around change order

processing. We reported that on average it was taking 335 days for a change order to get approved by the board on average from the point of initial submittal in e-Builder from the GC.

Since our last report in September the new PCO and change order processes in e-Builder have been implemented. However, I'll note that the number of change orders that have been approved through that new process is limited. There were eight change orders approved as of May 21st. So what we did was we reviewed the workflow histories for those eight change orders in e-Builder and ran similar analytics from our previous report and found that on average it was taking 87 days between initial submittal from the PCO in e-Builder and final board or superintendent approval.

The district had also incorporated automatic notifications or reminders for action items within the change order workflow. And overall we saw positive improvements within the process as a whole, but given the limited amount of data, as I mentioned, we kept this observation open. So we'll look to perform some additional analytics next round once more change orders have gone

1 through the process.

Skipping down to page 18 for Prior

Observation Number 7, Monthly Project Updates or

MPUs. In our last report we noted observations

related to updates that are provided by the PMs

in their MPUs and also the accuracy of the

reported progress or project phase within those

MPUs. So we selected additional -- an additional

sample and found that the updates and narratives

provided by those PMs were detailed, and where

risks were identified, there were detailed or

planned risk mitigation steps provided within the

MPUs.

We also performed some additional testing to validate the accuracy of the reported phase within the MPU and to make sure that was reflective of the actual status of the project and we noted no exception there.

To close this out, in our last report we also commented on the resources available to support the scheduling function. AECOM has since added another scheduler to help support and is also using resources from satellite offices, AECOM offices, for scheduling. So considering our testing and the addition of those resources we

closed this observation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Prior Observation Number 8, Electronic Signatures on Change Order Forms. I am now on page 20. We have this prior observation as partially complete. As I talked about earlier with Observation Number 6, we looked at the new change order workflows within e-Builder and the new processes that we reviewed did include standardized electronic signatures through the workflow. Previously, as you may recall from our last report, the document -- the 1250G that goes to the board, change order forms were being printed, signed, scanned back in and then completed in a single package, whereas, now, e-Builder with the new process, when an authorized user completes the signature step within e-Builder, it automatically generates a uniform signature.

So from our perspective as auditors there appears to be a sufficient audit trail. So we looked at these eight change orders that went through that new process and verified that if one person signed off within the workflow, that signature matched what was on the 1250G form, and in all instances it did. However, we did reach

out to legal counsel just to make sure they were comfortable with this method of signature because we're not legal professionals, and as we note in the report, they did comment that further review would be necessary on their end to make sure that it was legally sufficient as a method of signature.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So just follow up?

MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Mayersohn?

MR. MAYERSOHN: So when did you reach out to legal counsel; what date?

MR. GUMS: I'd have to check on the reach-out date, but it was around the end of May. So it was part of our vetting process for our report.

MR. MAYERSOHN: And did they provide you -- when they would respond back?

MR. GUMS: They did not provide a date.

Legal counsel was entering their PTO.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So I guess my concern is, this is, from an auditing standpoint you guys are satisfied with this process, legal is looking whether it's legally sufficient to adhere to that. So my -- my concern would be is that, that there's a response provided back to you in a timely fashion so that when we do the next review

you can close this out or if you have to continue to leave it open there is something to mitigate the circumstances.

MR. GUMS: Yeah, absolutely. We plan to have additional meetings with legal as part of our follow up to this report.

Moving on to page 22, Prior Observation

Number 9. This observation relates to Contractor

Compliance with Contractual Construction

Schedules. So each contract has a specified

duration for construction which detailed in their

NTP, or Notice to Proceed, which basically says

that the project has to be substantially complete

by X number of days from the commencement date.

So we selected a random sample of four projects in active construction and found that three of the four projects that we sampled had passed their contractual completion date. And at the time of our testing no change orders had been executed or a time modification to essentially catch up to that contract date.

As management noted in the response, there are TIAs, Time Impact Analyses, in progress for those three samples, which will presumably result in a change order and a subsequent time

modification and extend the construction schedule past that original contractual substantial completion date.

MR. DE MEO: Mr. Chair, may I ask a question?

MR. MEDVIN: Go ahead.

MR. DE MEO: So your observation of the contracts indicate that there are no penalties for late -- for not completing the projects on -- I mean, construction is notorious, right, for never -- I've never seen a construction project, you know, on time, but 1,080 days, isn't that like three years? So, you know, are we -- are we going to modify our contracts? You know, there must be some reason and some follow up here.

What is that? Please.

MS. LANGAN: Through the Chair?

MR. MEDVIN: Yeah, please.

MS. LANGAN: Thank you. So just to kind of explain the process, we definitely have liquidated damages within the contracts. So let me just -- we require and it is a contract requirement for the contractors to -- a series of documents, schedules, post-impact, pre-impact schedules. There are a lot of requirements that are to be submitted with a time impact analysis.

And, if I may, because most of the TIAs that we receive do not meet the contractual requirements after multiple resubmissions and attempts to work with the contractor, we actually provide, our team provides an independent forensic analysis of the projects, almost to the point where we are building an as-built schedule of what happened on that project. At the end of our time impact analyses we also have and show who is responsible for those delays.

So I just would like to -- and in some cases on average these analysis are for 557 days worth of time, almost two years worth of time containing multiple delay events that required documented research, validation, modeling analysis, interpretation reporting, and quality checks. It's a very complicated process.

To date the TIA review and independent analysis processes have saved the district, on average, 61 days per submission of that TIA. We also have determined that an average -- that on average, excuse me, the A&E and contractor are responsible for 56.25 percent of all project delays. The balance being the owner. These two facts across numerous TIA submissions equates to

AECOM's change order and TIA approval process saving the district several years worth of delay and liability time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So to give you some numbers, and then I'm going to get into the liquidated damages and how we do that working with legal, since December of '22, approximately, six months, 209 time extension requests were submitted. The following are the results of those 209. 82 or 39.23 percent are completely closed. 11 are complete but waiting for the contractor's resubmission, meaning that their submission wasn't complete or they had concerns about the report. complete and in final quality control. 7 are on hold pending claims action. 16 are currently in review or undergoing an independent forensic delay analysis, which I mentioned earlier, that the information from contractors was insufficient to determine delays and responsibility for delays. And then we have 80 that are still in line for review.

I want to then speak to the liquidated damages. And this has been a challenge.

Obviously, the district -- and when Mrs. Marte talks about 200 projects, we actually have 356

projects in different phases or we have, in order to speed the process, I'm sure you all have heard about our roof carveout program, things like that, so we are actually managing that many projects.

What happens is, when a contractor starts to be delayed, we write letters of concern notifying them that they are -- showing that they are not progressing with the work as required by the contract in our opinion and we are going to be assessing that on a monthly basis. We then -- if things don't improve by that contractor, we then began to write letters of cure notices. We take the liquidated damages and legal has provided us with a letter and a template and a form that we complete every month so that we are holding and tracking liquidated damages.

MR. DE MEO: Do you send notice of intention to levy liquidated notices?

MS. LANGAN: Oh, yeah. Every month. Every month.

MR. DE MEO: Okay.

MS. LANGAN: What happens is, if the contractor has a time impact analysis into -- and I think you'll see on our comments what happens,

if they have something in process, then we will track that money that is for the liquidated damages and we will not release it until the time impact analysis or extension has been accepted and analyzed. And at that point then we do the final assessment or, you know, withhold the money from the contractor. In the meantime we're tracking all the time.

So it's not an easy process. It's complicated, but it's -- you know, contractors are complaining. I'm sure Superintendent Dr. Smiley gets complaints, I get complaints, Mrs. Marte gets complaints.

MR. DE MEO: Who has the authority to not impose, to waive these damages? Or is it strictly every single one that's late they get the liquidated damages?

MS. LANGAN: In most cases we are tracking liquidated damages on late projects.

MR. DE MEO: Is there discretion?

MS. LANGAN: I don't really think there is.

I mean, if we have a great contractor and the

delays are as a result of a series of issues not

related to the contractor, if it's not the

contractor's fault, then we will assess that and

maybe make a recommendation. But that would be -- that would be an example of that.

MR. DE MEO: And who would you recommend --

MS. LANGAN: That would be to -- it would go through the process. It goes through Shelley's office, it goes to legal, and then, ultimately, it goes to the board.

MR. DE MEO: So the superintendent or whoever's responsible for this is made aware of the status?

MS. LANGAN: Well, I mean, if it's within the closeout phase of a project, I can't think of when that happened, we do have some that will be coming forward, but in most cases the time impact analysis has then identified, you know, the delays for the project.

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, it just -- three out of four, it seems like it's just not working.

MS. LANGAN: Believe me, there's -there's -- there's a lot of projects that are
delayed for various reasons. And I don't know if
you all get our monthly report or the Bond
Oversight Committee reports. Those reports would
provide more detail for you on delays,
responsibility. Right now we only have, I think

it's, I'm going to say 25 projects that are delayed from our master schedule. And every month we report on that. And out of those 25 projects, I would say 22, 21 to 22, I'd have to check that number, are at 99 percent complete. And there is a change order that has been requested either by the district or for some other reason. Maybe it's an error on the architect's part, maybe it's an omission on the architect's part. And that is delaying us achieving the substantial completion.

MR. DE MEO: Mr. Chair, can I ask the Chief Auditor, do you perceive this as a problem? In other words, it's been reported on by an audit function, do you perceive or is this perceived as a problem or is this just one of those things that, you know, construction is always delayed and --

MR. JABOUIN: It is an audit issue. It is something that we're going to follow up. I think

MR. DE MEO: But is it perceived by whomever's responsible, is this perceived as a problem?

MR. JABOUIN: I think from an audit

standpoint I have a very narrow thinking in that it's no longer a problem until it's resolved.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MEDVIN: Well, yeah, I guess -- in other words, report -- a reporting issue, a contractual issue, might find it's way into an audit issue report, what I want to know is, does management, does the superintendent, does the board, does your boss perceive this as a problem or are we managing it?

MS. LANGAN: We're managing it. And what I can share with you is that these functions that are occurring, and as I said on our variance reports that we report monthly we are not extending beyond the October '25 date. leave it to the committee to think about that as, you know, if the end date does not change, and we manage the process, and we manage in the best interest of the district so that if contractors are costing the district money that the district is recovering, or I have a case where the contractor is delaying, the architect has requested additional funds for CA services and the contractor will be responsible to pay that, so I would say that we are managing it very closely and we are not delayed beyond the end

date of the program.

MR. DE MEO: Thank you.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: I have a question.

MR. MEDVIN: Wait your turn. Dr. Lynch-Walsh.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: My question is, do we share in the liquidated damages with the contractor? Yeah, like are we 50/50 for the liquidated damages? Let's say it's \$2, do we pay one and they pay one? That's my question.

MS. LANGAN: If we are responsible, if we, the district, is responsible for the delay, then that is a consideration that we would look at.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: All right. So 1,080 days, it is a problem. You know, we're taking this lightly and we seem to relish in the fact that we have 25 projects delayed even though they may be 99 percent completed. We have liquidated damage, which I would like to know what the percentage is for those. And on top of that the mere fact that a change order could have resolved a lot of these — but my bigger question is, at what point do we piggyback on that bond? Because we're going to assess the liquidated damages until the

end of 2025 and I suspect what is going to happen is it's going to just disappear. We're going to come to the end of 2025 and we're going to go, wait, what happened to all of the costs of the liquidated damages? And it's just going to disappear. But at what point do we call that bond and that bondholder and say, hey, guys, we have been working with your contractor for the last 1,080 days and we're not able to get work done? And who is tracking this? Because, first of all, this whole thing is riddled with issues from the consultant. And if the consultant is the one that's keeping an eye on the liquidated damage charge, then that's a problem for me because they can't even provide accurate payment request statements or labor calculations which should be simple. How do we move from --

(Fire alarm interruption).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can I just ask one question? Just one.

North Side Elementary, three -- almost three years, what is not done at North Side Elementary after three years?

MS. LANGAN: The roof and we -- I believe we have a real problem, the mechanical work was

installed, but I don't think it's been installed appropriately. This is with the bonding company, by the way. We've already turned this over. In fact, an updated meeting with their bonding company tomorrow.

So, to your point, we probably have, I'm going to say 7 or 8 projects that are with bonding companies right now where bonding companies, we have made them come in, demanded action, and they have come in to finish projects. So this is one of them.

And so I can report to you on it, but they lost their mechanical contractor after mechanical work was installed, and they -- and it took 14 submissions to get a roofing permit. And so they have submitted a time impact. The schedulers and claims people have rejected it because it was so terrible that nobody could figure out what the heck happened. And we've submitted the letters and we are with the bonding company. So that's the best I can tell you.

You know, we have some of the other projects that are with bonding companies, it does take longer. Obviously, when a bonding company comes in they bring in new contractors. It's a

process. But it's the right way to go so it doesn't impact the -- it impacts the district on schedule, but certainly we don't have to pay any more money.

MS. SHAW: Exactly.

MS. FERTIG: So, I guess, when we're talking about, is this -- is this something that -- is it important that we know or does it rise to a certain level, I think these dates, when we're looking at the completion of these dates, it's really critical.

So I just have one question because I know we don't have much time left, and one of the things I would like is a list of all of the schools and how many days they're behind. Not just three schools, but what does it look like on a district-wide basis and then perhaps we could have that conversation at the next meeting and --

MS. LANGAN: And what I would say is, our master schedule, as I mentioned to you, that I don't know how RSM looks at our master schedule that we trended when we first came, because most of these projects were scheduled prior to AECOM and we reset them.

MS. FERTIG: Right. I understand that.

MS. LANGAN: And the trends that we included, that's why I think it's important for the committee to understand, while the construction schedules may be delayed, we have one set where we're working on those. Our master schedule was what we've committed to the public and to the board is not delayed. And those projects that are, as I mentioned, are 98 to 99 percent complete and being delayed because there's a late change order when the final inspections happen, something like that.

So let me -- let me pull together that information and I'll bring it to the committee.

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I think that's good information to have.

I'm just going to move, I'm worried we're going to lose a conversation, so I don't care if the conversation's over, but I would just like to make a motion to transmit this to the board.

MS. SHAW: And I'll second it. That's what I was going to do because I have to go. And so, may I?

MR. MEDVIN: Yeah.

MS. SHAW: Thank you, gentlemen, for the work on this. I know it seemed as if, you know, we're

coming, we had a lot of questions, well, I had a lot of questions, issues, but really appreciate the work that you're doing. I am looking forward to the next report and, hopefully, seeing some updates.

I would also like to add to your motion, if you don't mind, that we are provided with an update of some of the policies and procedures that's been born out of this report for in-house.

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, and, again, these spreadsheets you're doing are so helpful, so thank you for doing that, just a concise summary of the information.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Can I just add to the motion --

MR. MEDVIN: Please.

MR. MAYERSOHN: -- that this report or audit is closed or potentially closed by the end of this -- by the end of this calendar year.

MR. JABOUIN: Are you referring to the findings, sir, the findings?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah, I just want to have a goal. Because I don't want to see things where it says partially complete, and, as I said before, legal says we're waiting on it, which

affects whether you guys close it out or not. I think that there's got to be some accountability. And, again, whether or not it -- whether or not it happens, I'd just rather have somebody accountable and make a date and say that's what our expectations are. Because, like I said, the first finding when we see, fail, fail, fail, fail, fail, whatever the reason is, it should have been figured out a year ago in my opinion.

MR. MEDVIN: Let's do a vote before Phyllis has to leave.

Is there a second on the motion?

MS. SHAW: I did.

MR. MEDVIN: All in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No.

MR. MEDVIN: One opposed. Motion passes.

MR. DE MEO: Thanks guys.

MS. SHAW: Do we need a motion to table the rest of the information?

MR. JABOUIN: None of the other items require a motion or transmission that's remaining. And if the board -- if the audit committee members

can stay until 1:30 we can get these presentations done, but they do not require transmission.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hang on one second. Did you make a motion?

MS. FERTIG: Well, I'm uncomfortable with four members doing bylaws for a group of --

MR. JABOUIN: It's a discussion. If we can get some comments on the bylaws. It will be tabled, but if I can say a few words about it before it's tabled.

MS. FERTIG: Oh, okay.

MS. SHAW: I have to go, so --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And if I can't get it tabled I'll just leave.

MS. FERTIG: You can't what?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because we passed the -well, the rest of the agenda, I don't see a point
in discussing bylaws when we don't have a policy.
But the disposal of surplus, I sent everyone an
email. We passed the motion June of 22 and the
item that kept being put into the agenda is not
what we requested. There was a board item on the
13th that did address what we requested, which
included having Ms. Marte come back and give a

1 report and also this property and inventory 2 audit. 3 So we don't have what we asked for and I just was able to send you what is what we asked for. 4 5 So I'm not --6 MS. FERTIG: So you want to table that until 7 the August meeting? 8 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes. 9 MR. MEDVIN: And that's what you're moving, 10 just move to defer? 11 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And bylaws. Like, we're 12 There's no point to continue. done. 13 MS. FERTIG: Okay. So shouldn't we --14 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Table the rest of it. 15 MS. FERTIG: Can you just defer those to the August 3rd meeting in a motion or something? 16 17 MR. JABOUIN: We could. Obviously, then go 18 ahead and do so. It's just that I wanted to 19 communicate a few points regarding the bylaws, 20 but I can repeat that as well at August 3rd. 21 MS. SHAW: We'll give you the rest of the

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Defer the rest of it.

time back so you can go have lunch.

22

23

24

25

MS. FERTIG: Should someone move to defer?

	Page 173
1	MR. JABOUIN: That's fine.
2	MS. SHAW: She did and I seconded.
3	MR. JABOUIN: Well, if everybody's packing
4	up, thank you everybody, the committee, for your
5	support.
6	MS. SHAW: All in favor of the motion to
7	defer?
8	MR. MEDVIN: All in favor?
9	COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
10	MR. JABOUIN: Have a good summer everybody.
11	MS. SHAW: You too.
12	MR. JABOUIN: Oh, motion to adjourn.
13	MS. CARTER-LYNCH: You don't have to have a
14	motion to adjourn.
15	MR. JABOUIN: Just leave?
16	MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Just leave. Just say
17	meeting adjourned.
18	(Meeting was adjourned at 1:13 p.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

8 that the transcript is a true and complete record of 9 my stenographic notes thereof.

Dated this 27th day of June, 2023, Fort

stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and

Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.

TIMOTHY R. BASS Court Reporter

12

10

11

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

	105:11	<b>adjourned</b> 173:17,18	aggressive 90:17	Analyst 2:15
A 0 F 157 22	accuracy 149:3,6	adjournment 48:10	ago 15:19 74:5 92:19	analytics 150:25
<b>A&amp;E</b> 157:22	150:19 152:6,15	48:13,15	132:19 170:9	151:13,24
<b>a.m</b> 1:15 45:1	accurate 5:25 125:17	adjustment 45:2	agree 13:10 38:6 48:1	analyze 62:23 69:24
<b>ability</b> 38:19 56:9	165:15	adjustments 66:21	62:3,4,24 79:4 86:2	analyzed 160:5
58:1 109:15 126:23	ACFR 26:11 36:17	administration 1:10	91:25 110:1 145:5	Andrew 2:2 3:22
able 8:1 23:25 24:5	achieving 162:11	54:24 84:9	agreement 13:2 43:24	Andrews 1:22
25:22 26:20 27:19	Act 5:16 10:2 19:20	administrative 23:12	131:7	Ann 55:9
28:11 29:5 36:10,15	Acting 2:13	24:11 62:5	agreements 43:14	announcement
37:11,12,14,18 38:3	action 151:19 158:15	administrator 90:25	ahead 25:25 26:8	121:16
41:22 60:13 63:13	166:10	91:1 103:24	73:10 87:21 96:19	annual 37:6,21 69:18
64:11 65:9,15,16	actions 90:2,20,22	admirable 24:22	98:2 100:9 156:5	70:9 75:18 76:17
68:24 96:14 99:15	active 155:16	admirable 24.22 ads 43:8	172:18	81:14 93:15 104:3
122:18,19 140:22	activities 89:25	adults 90:14	aid 125:8	107:23 110:7
143:23 146:13	activity 90:19	advantages 44:12	alarm 165:18	111:22
165:9 172:4	acts 90:7	advertise 43:5,20	<b>Alberti's</b> 68:9	annually 108:4,9
absence 60:11	actual 100:3 105:23	120:9	Ali 2:8 4:6 33:18	answer 6:17 8:7,7
<b>absolute</b> 31:2 64:18	109:4 146:9 152:17	advised 61:6	53:25	17:7 21:6 33:6 39:2
absolutely 39:17	actuarial 104:9	advisories 132:25	aligned 72:11	56:19 105:7 108:13
98:20 102:15	add 20:14 29:8 38:13	advisory 2:18 29:9	alignment 72:18	
103:21 110:8 129:6	49:6 62:22 63:25	31:3,7,19 32:6 36:8	all-year 38:7	109:23,25 122:23 148:14
129:8 130:12	64:2 66:4,17 68:5	136:24 137:2	Allegiance 3:5	answered 23:3 58:2,3
134:21 136:14	72:14 98:22 119:20	<b>AECOM</b> 2:18 22:10	allotted 128:13	58:5
145:18 155:4	124:17 169:6,14	122:5 126:25 131:5	allow 93:24	
absorb 16:22	added 19:23 123:11	133:17 136:13,19	allowable 16:19	answering 58:7 answers 118:10
abuse 65:2	125:18 128:14	137:6 141:8 143:6	allowed 56:4	Anthony 2:3 3:12
accept 8:3 9:1 87:9	152:21	147:13 152:21,23	allowing 133:1,5	anticipate 45:8
87:10	adding 62:20 64:6	147.13 132.21,23	alternative 41:13	125:24
acceptable 124:5	100:11 138:10,12	<b>AECOM's</b> 130:22	Alvarez 2:21 69:6	anticipating 19:10
accepted 160:4	addition 11:6 16:5	141:19 158:1	amend 86:18 138:18	antifraud 65:4
access 107:20,24	20:9 33:8 45:23	Aetna 103:24,25	139:2	
108:14 140:14,15	48:10 103:22 104:2	<b>affect</b> 86:9	amended 73:25	<b>anybody</b> 33:20 34:8 67:25
140:24	152:25	affidavit 104:4	amending 77:13	
accompanied 147:5	additional 19:5 28:18	affinity 149:11	amending 77.13 amendment 10:21,22	anymore 149:7 anyway 93:21
accompanies 148:7		<b>affirms</b> 104:10	48:4 76:25 84:16	anyway 93.21 apart 28:7,16
accomplish 92:1	29:8 50:6 69:18,19 85:10 123:12	<b>afternoon</b> 32:2 33:1	86:17 92:11,14,15	apart 28.7,10 apologize 100:11
accomplishment	127:15,18 131:10	124:22	92:18 131:6	105:2,9
54:18	141:9 145:21,23		amount 11:5,5,8 16:4	· ·
account 15:11 21:8	151:24 152:8,8,14	<b>agenda</b> 5:7,11,14 7:3 7:16,16,25 8:3,7,9	63:19,21 85:14	<b>apparently</b> 57:8 109:11
35:8	151.24 132.8,8,14	9:1,3,8 23:14 25:16	128:18 129:25	appear 79:17 108:21
accountability 170:2	address 9:4,5 71:17	28:10 29:1 45:16,19		appears 153:20
accountable 72:3	123:12 171:24	49:11 51:21 61:19	142.13 144.3	apples 105:16
170:5	addressed 88:17		151:22	
accountant 128:9	109:22	63:6 80:23 81:3 85:5 86:24,25 87:8	amounts 82:9 149:24	applicability 108:23 application 108:17
149:9	adds 143:14	92:24 93:16,24 94:9	analyses 155:23	123:7 128:12,15,20
accountants 149:15	adds 143:14 adequate 104:5	96:2,4,14,22 98:2	157:9	146:7 148:15
149:17	adequate 104:5 adhere 112:17 133:24	98:10,19,22 99:1,9		
accounted 66:12	154:22	100:3,4,5 101:14	<b>analysis</b> 6:11 44:16 156:25 157:5,12,16	applications 127:4 141:16
accounting 68:22		· ·		
143:22 148:1 150:6	adhered 104:14 Adherence 150:16	106:24 171:18,22	157:19 158:17	apply 51:5
150:8		agent 129:3	159:24 160:4	appoint 50:11,13
accounts 54:15	<b>adjourn</b> 173:12,14	ages 92:19	161:15	appointed 49:21
	I	I	I	I

appointing 50:1 **Appointment** 49:17 appreciate 7:22 24:22 41:7 169:2 **approach** 74:20 75:5 75:6 79:6 80:1,13 84:18 appropriate 50:7 91:12 95:20,22 appropriately 166:2 **approval** 5:7 9:3 24:24 25:5 26:18 121:4 126:16 128:14 151:17 158:1 approvals 27:12 **approve** 5:8 9:5 44:25 45:13,16 128:25 approved 16:18 25:12,25 26:14 27:14 46:10 48:9 85:24 116:3,12 118:23,24 125:13 127:16 130:2,4,7 131:13 151:3,8,10 **approves** 126:10 approving 9:7 approximately 5:20 9:20 19:18 158:7 **April** 63:11,13 **Arcese** 2:8 4:6,6 117:4,8,11 architect 163:21 **architect's** 162:9,10 **archived** 15:17 34:3 area 31:3,7,19 32:6 36:8 68:9 70:15 74:21 78:12 81:15 83:6 84:2 93:9,10 102:1.18 111:9 119:18 areas 66:15,16 71:16 71:18 78:2,21 79:20 80:7,10,15 84:25 86:2 88:17 98:23 99:1,11 107:13,14 109:20 111:23 112:18 120:4 **argue** 85:14

arguing 93:20 argument's 32:21 **arrived** 126:25 **Article** 25:18 90:12 **as-built** 157:7 **Ashley** 2:19 122:5 **aside** 57:17 asked 16:17 28:17 33:23 35:10 56:20 57:4,5 58:14 59:5 65:6 72:15 76:21 88:24 91:1,5 106:12 106:15 113:12 133:10 145:24 172:3,4 **asking** 12:10,25 31:6 31:23 35:5 75:20 77:13 91:10 96:21 98:21 124:6 138:13 aspects 67:24 asphalt 82:7 assess 160:25 164:25 assessing 159:11 **assessment** 63:2 64:7 88:9,10 107:20 160:6 assets 64:22 assigned 119:18 **associated** 10:7 19:25 assuming 46:14 83:4 **assurance** 64:18,19 assure 127:11 **assured** 109:20 **Aston** 105:7 athletic 53:18 **Atkins** 2:19 18:1 22:9 122:6 **attempt** 131:16 attempting 32:10 attempts 89:6 157:3 attend 36:10 70:25 71:1 81:9 **ATTENDANCE 2:1** attending 42:5 attention 80:16 attorney 110:4 attorneys 6:10 attributes 54:23 audit 1:3 2:8 4:6 6:9 7:17 12:7 13:3,14

13:15,19,20 14:8

18:16,19,24 20:11 23:14 25:18 26:18 27:2 29:17,18 31:11 33:24 35:16 37:9,24 41:4 45:20 51:4,18 52:3 55:19 56:8 57:23 63:4,7,9,11 63:14 64:1,17 67:11 69:18 71:3,17,19 73:19 74:1,14,23 75:3,15,19,21,22 76:10,11 77:3 78:18 79:2 80:4,16 81:13 81:14 82:7,18,23 83:23 85:7,11,24 86:1,10 88:4,14 92:21,21 93:11,15 93:25 94:19 95:3,14 95:15,20,22 96:5,21 99:10 101:10,12,19 101:24 102:12 103:4,25 105:4,23 106:10 107:6,9,13 107:21,22 108:8,11 108:15,19 109:4,17 109:20 110:4 111:15 113:8,11,12 113:17,18 114:1,7,9 114:10,10,13,17,21 115:2,2,9,10,19,19 115:21,22,24,24 116:23 117:17 118:2,4 119:10,10 121:4,21 122:17 138:13 145:21 153:20 162:14,19 162:25 163:5 169:17 170:25 172:2 **audited** 53:12 68:12 78:10 113:16,22 143:18 **auditing** 64:14 68:9 68:14 75:1 103:13 111:23 113:10 138:16 154:20 auditor 2:7,8,9 4:5,7 4:11,13,15,17 5:13 5:15 9:16 10:1 19:13 24:10 27:5 42:3 52:6,11,14,23

53:2 55:13,21 56:12 66:10 67:18 70:9 71:2.11 72:12 79:8 79:14,16,19 81:24 88:7 102:9 103:6 106:15,25 108:3 113:7 132:6 162:13 auditor's 26:19 37:24 37:25 54:12 78:17 **auditors** 26:12 88:6 97:15.16 106:11 120:10 144:11,12 153:19 audits 2:9 7:3 51:16 51:20 52:5,21 53:16 55:8 59:1 64:12 65:5,7 67:5 77:24 80:3,9 81:22 82:2,3 83:20 84:3 88:18,18 107:7 109:7 112:14 114:23,25 119:13 145:15 August 10:25 11:11 11:17 24:3 25:20 29:13,25 30:12 34:21 49:19 50:9 78:15 80:24 81:3,9 86:25 92:24 93:4,16 93:23 94:3,8,21 95:3,6,18 96:4,8,10 96:23 97:1,6 99:9 172:7,16,20 authority 160:14 authorized 153:16 174:6 **automated** 147:2,5 automatic 151:18 automatically 153:17 availability 26:7 **available** 15:6,14 18:4,8 21:4 57:24 90:25 152:20 **Avenue** 1:11,22 average 140:18 151:1 151:3,14 157:12,20 157:21,22 avoid 90:5 aware 58:8 89:15,16 132:24 138:1 161:9 awareness 36:2.3 **awesome** 40:17

ave 9:10 23:7,8 25:9 49:2 99:22 121:12 140:10 170:16 173:9

В **B** 2:10 115:1,6,19,24 118:4 back 17:7 19:9 26:21 27:12 29:25 30:1 37:3 40:23 46:4 48:4,6 52:3,13,23 53:3 69:3 76:20 77:4 82:7 83:3 87:4 87:8 92:17,23 93:3 94:3,20 95:6 97:1 97:16 113:5 116:3 117:2 122:4 126:12 128:24 129:4,22 131:16 138:21 139:1 145:2,19 146:10,25 149:20 153:13 154:16.24 171:25 172:22 background 103:22 110:9 134:17 **backlog** 52:19 53:3 **backup** 13:6 22:5 32:21 48:5 94:4 98:3,10 106:11 141:9 147:11 148:3 148:7,19 backward 29:23 **bad** 13:17.18 **balance** 11:10 20:10 63:16 157:24 **balances** 104:12 **bank** 21:8 **bankers** 143:6 146:22 147:3,10 **based** 29:7,14 53:2 53:17 64:4 **basic** 39:3 basically 70:10 108:16 155:12 **basis** 18:20 76:17 88:15 104:3 107:23 110:7 112:8 127:12 127:19 135:15 159:11 167:17 Bass 1:21,22 2:19

				I
174:4,14	27:14 28:23,25 33:3	35:13 55:5 61:12	37:24 40:2,7 43:2	center 1:10 53:14,18
BCS 54:23	37:8,11,13 47:18	64:8 65:7 74:4	72:25 86:14,14,14	central 31:3,6,19
<b>Becon</b> 23:22 44:13,17	48:6 56:13,18,20	85:25 92:23 94:2,20	94:16 96:19 99:4,4	32:8,9 36:8
122:12	57:3 58:6,14 59:6	95:6,17 105:17	119:15 130:6 165:6	certain 10:25 11:2
began 159:13	59:13,14,15 61:6	109:10 145:19	calling 15:11	26:3 37:7 45:25
<b>beginning</b> 11:9 20:9	63:15,18,21,24 64:5	166:25 168:13	calls 25:18	46:24 47:2,12,18
37:16 84:19 116:20	64:11 65:6 66:3,7,9	bringing 96:2	campus 6:7	48:10,13,14,20
118:22 148:17	66:11,18,21 67:4,14	<b>broad</b> 75:15 95:17	cancelled 22:5	63:19,21 67:9
begins 119:2	67:19 71:19,25 72:8	98:24 119:18	capabilities 44:13	103:14 109:12
<b>behavior</b> 89:5 90:24	72:11,15,16 78:3,4	<b>broken</b> 143:9	capable 68:22 69:1	110:5 130:25
91:5	78:21 82:22 89:11	<b>brought</b> 83:4 87:3,8	75:1	132:11 136:18
behavioral 64:7	89:18,20,22 90:19	113:3 134:6,10	capacity 60:18	167:9
belabor 34:22 46:5	91:3,7 109:18	<b>Broward</b> 1:2 40:5	capital 122:6 143:3	certainly 13:11 18:6
101:15	118:23 121:6	43:9,15,25 75:9	147:24 148:10	60:21 85:16 91:23
believe 6:1,11,13 8:10	125:13 126:10	79:12 89:13,13	149:14	112:5,5 122:22
21:22 24:13 35:17	127:15 131:6	174:3,11	caps 82:4 84:3 114:20	139:15 167:3
49:21 50:5,7 68:19	133:15 135:2	Brown 149:15	<b>capture</b> 139:15 <b>care</b> 9:14 46:25 72:21	CERTIFICATE 174:1
68:25 69:23 75:2 93:14 112:20	145:22 151:3,16	buck 144:25	129:16 168:17	1/4:1   certify 174:6
93:14 112:20 124:10 143:13	153:12 161:7 163:7 168:7,19 170:25	<b>budget</b> 15:13 66:20 85:10 143:3 147:25	careful 63:25 66:4	certify 1/4:6 cetera 33:22,22
147:1,5 161:19	171:23	148:10 149:14	Carpenter 2:19 122:6	102:13,13 108:15
165:24	<b>Bob</b> 34:13 39:16	budgeted 11:5	Carpenter 2.19 122.0 Carr 113:24,25	148:18,18
benefit 110:12	<b>Bob's</b> 39:9	budgets 18:3	114:18	CFO 79:7
best 39:5 64:23 75:10	body 81:22 89:12	<b>build</b> 65:8 69:1 70:3	carries 9:13 23:11	<b>chair</b> 2:2,2 5:6 6:19
148:2 163:17	102:7 139:6,8	103:10	49:5 99:25 121:15	13:13 15:3 17:4
166:21	<b>boldly</b> 142:5	<b>building</b> 5:18,19,21	140:11	23:13 24:11,18
bet 129:12	bond 121:22 136:7	6:6,7 10:5,9 11:13	carry 118:7	25:15 30:16,19 31:7
better 37:14 75:8,8	161:22 164:24	15:9,18 16:4,9,20	Carter 84:21	36:25 42:2,10 50:12
84:14 105:16	165:7	16:25 17:6,17,19,21	Carter-Lynch 2:3 3:9	50:13,14,15 56:20
150:13	bondholder 165:7	20:17 22:12 79:9,10	3:10 22:14,16,23	57:4 59:3 61:19,23
beyond 163:14,25	<b>bonding</b> 166:2,4,8,8	157:7	23:2 25:7 39:19,20	63:6 67:21 71:2
bidding 69:14	166:20,23,24	<b>built</b> 140:2	42:18,21 45:15,21	91:13 94:10 102:9
big 143:7 145:3	booked 33:3	<b>bullet</b> 131:2	49:6,14 72:20,24	103:19 106:25
<b>bigger</b> 164:23	bookkeepers 62:25	bullets 141:4	73:15 76:24 77:15	124:21 125:25
<b>biggest</b> 141:23	<b>born</b> 169:9	business 2:17 46:25	84:20,22 96:18	126:6,9 142:24
<b>bill</b> 143:10	boss 163:8	53:14,18 89:19	116:7 137:16 164:3	156:4,16 162:12
billion 144:4	<b>bottom</b> 115:7,25	140:24	164:6 173:13,16	Chair's 97:4
<b>bit</b> 27:11 38:19 41:10	117:9	<b>buying</b> 148:16	carveout 159:3	chairing 24:21
46:10,13 47:5 52:3	<b>box</b> 40:12 143:6	bylaws 25:18 47:9,14	case 27:11 37:20,25	<b>challenge</b> 126:18,25
52:13 65:1 80:19	146:22 147:3,10	47:21 171:7,9,19	53:22 76:8 127:10	158:23
82:11 103:22 117:5	148:12	172:11,19	149:5 163:20	<b>challenges</b> 25:21 29:5
Bleachers 110:20	<b>breach</b> 90:6,21		cases 157:11 160:18	30:6,18 126:9
<b>Blondell</b> 2:17 5:4,4	break 26:20 27:15	C	161:14	challenging 28:23
121:24 122:10,14	34:15 35:7,7,14,21	<b>CA</b> 163:22	cash 18:2 112:19	46:13 65:15
122:14 124:10	35:22 38:10,11	calculated 142:10	cast 38:22 57:16	Chancellor 19:3
125:9 126:4	75:21 76:17	calculations 144:10	catch 155:21	<b>change</b> 42:8 45:18
Blondelll 5:2	<b>breaks</b> 28:1 34:18	165:16	caught 53:4 54:13,14	54:23,24 66:22
<b>board</b> 1:2,10 11:16	35:22	calendar 28:19 30:10	55:11 59:1	98:14 123:4 125:11
11:17 12:21 14:5	<b>brief</b> 24:20 110:18	31:22 37:4 38:13	<b>cause</b> 78:23	125:11,12 126:10
15:9,10 16:1,18	<b>briefly</b> 110:20 126:22	169:19	caused 132:9	126:10 134:11
18:21,23,24 20:11	<b>bring</b> 5:13 33:17 34:7	<b>call</b> 3:7,8 6:19 32:16	cease 91:4,10	144:14 150:15,16

150:18,23,25 151:2
151:6,8,10,12,20,25
153:3,7,12,21
155:19,25 158:1
162:6 163:16
164:22 168:10
<b>changes</b> 10:21 29:16
30:10 45:17 57:1
62:25,25 84:9 123:6
131:14
changing 43:22
characterization
99:20
<b>charge</b> 55:7 56:24
128:17 142:13
165:14
<b>charging</b> 130:13
check 64:20 127:12
148:3,3 154:12 162:5
checked 149:6
checking 149:21
checks 22:5 104:12
157:17
chickens 142:4
chief 2:7,8,13 4:5,7
4:11,13,15,17 5:13
10:1 19:13 24:10
42:2 54:12 55:13,21
56:11 67:18 71:2,11
78:17 79:8,14,19
81:24 88:6,7 102:9
103:6 106:25 108:2
113:6 132:6 162:12
children 34:16
129:17
chiming 118:15
Chris 2:18 121:24
122:21
chronological 76:6
circumstances 155:3
city 43:19 113:20
129:1,2,5 <b>Civics</b> 44:1
civil 90:1
civility 89:12
claim 106:5,6,6
claims 104:1,8,18
158:15 166:17
clarification 18:12
22:17

<b>clarify</b> 96:18 147:12
classroom 84:15
cleaned 75:4
clear 19:10 48:9,11
55:22 87:18 102:14
116:22 125:22
<b>clearly</b> 31:11 90:13 90:23
Clerk 2:10
climate 89:7
close 122:18 140:22
152:19 155:1 170:1
closed 67:9 108:16
150:21 153:1
158:10 169:18,18
closely 104:13 148:4
163:25
closeout 161:12
<b>coach</b> 65:3
cognizant 49:9
coherent 98:7
collect 24:6
<b>column</b> 29:8,11 31:20
53:9
<b>come</b> 5:21,22 16:12
30:8 37:3,10,14,18
38:17,24 47:13
58:22 68:23 76:5,20
89:6,9 95:19 97:1
120:24 145:22
147:14 165:3 166:9
166:10 171:25
<b>comes</b> 39:8 47:8 126:12,13 127:13
143:6 146:13
145:0 140:15
comfortable 100:23
154:2
coming 16:10 20:16
20:25 23:17 37:25
37:25 70:24 81:10
93:3 98:1 103:8
129:2 161:14 169:1
commencement
155:14
<b>commend</b> 53:24 54:5
54:12
<b>comment</b> 15:5 24:20
30:20 55:4 67:2,24
68:13 81:17 87:15

93:2,9 123:15 126:7

1040410501544
136:24 137:3 154:4
commentary 67:4
131:11 <b>commented</b> 93:1
152:20
commenting 56:3
comments 7:17 22:22
22:24 23:5 25:1
29:7 34:9 48:2
61:13,24 62:1 63:14
64:5,10 67:11 68:10
79:4,5 93:18 94:12
94:13 131:5 135:2
140:7 159:25 171:9
<b>commit</b> 9:17 14:3,4
46:11
commitment 85:9,9
133:20
commitments 104:19
committed 19:24
78:5 168:6
committee 1:3 2:1
7:17 9:10 12:7 13:4
13:15,15,20,20 14:9
18:17,19,25 20:11
23:8,14 25:9,18
28:17 29:4,10,17,18
30:7,14,17 31:11
33:24 35:16 41:4
44:11,24 45:3,12,20
48:11 49:2,18,20
50:2,7,15 51:3,4,5
51:19,22 52:4 53:21
58:8 63:11,12,15
67:3,11 74:23 79:21
83:11 84:12 88:5 89:3 91:10 94:7,8
99:22 102:8,12
109:16,18,20 110:4
118:21 121:12
122:9 127:11
140:10 145:22
147:1 161:23
163:15 168:3,13
170:16,25 173:4,9
committee's 15:5
<b>common</b> 55:23
communicate 172:19
communicated 66:19
66:21 67:6
communication 53:13

communications 90:2
community 12:4 90:7
companies 166:8,9,23
company 104:21
106:1 166:2,5,20,24
comparable 65:16
compare 80:7
competitive 69:14
compilation 63:9
compiled 60:4
complain 133:18
complaining 160:11
complaints 160:12,12
160:13
complete 20:10 23:25
52:12,20 57:15
60:14 68:20 69:18
138:7 153:5 155:13
158:10,12,14
159:16 162:5 168:9
169:24 174:8
completed 10:6 33:12
52:9 92:22 95:3
108:15 114:16
118:6 153:14
164:19
completely 93:21
105:12 158:10
completes 153:16
completing 119:4
133:4,8 156:8
completion 125:24
155:18 156:3
162:11 167:10
complexity 100:20
compliance 57:7
64:21 65:17 78:9
81:22 130:19
133:12 138:2
150:19 155:9
complicated 157:17
160:10
comply 89:17
complying 100:25
101:4,20
component 137:2
comprehensive 80:4
107:3
concern 103:2 138:6
141:23 154:19,23
159:7
137.1

**concerned** 39:5 74:21 104:16 137:21 concerns 7:24 9:6 57:2 131:19 139:16 158:13 **concise** 169:12 **concludes** 24:10 61:1 67:21 **conduct** 8:4 90:12,16 90:16 91:2 conducted 89:14 107:23 108:8 122:17 conducting 111:24 **confirm** 24:15 25:25 confirmation 20:18 21:2,3 **confirmed** 24:18 36:7 **conflict** 31:2,8,12,24 41:6,24 **conflicts** 32:17 34:13 38:24 confused 113:4 conjunction 69:21 81:13 93:14 **consider** 30:21 68:4 68:25 69:6 91:21 110:6 consideration 47:7 107:12 128:5 164:13 considered 91:16 139:11 considering 126:4 152:24 consistency 119:10 120:22 134:2 consistently 90:10 constant 53:12 **constantly** 62:9 83:7 constraints 46:9 construction 15:18 124:23 127:14 128:10 144:16,17 146:14 149:11,14 149:16 155:9,11,16 156:1,9,10 162:17 168:3 consultant 68:22 103:24 129:2,22,23 141:21,24 147:15

	I			
147:16,16 165:12	158:18 160:10	<b>count</b> 63:23	<b>damage</b> 164:19	74:4,9,18 79:3,4
165:12	163:18 166:25	<b>County</b> 1:2 40:6 43:9	165:14	85:7 87:15,21 88:2
consultants 148:20	<b>contracts</b> 149:11,12	43:15,25 75:9 79:12	damages 156:20	88:3 92:13,25 93:5
148:20	156:7,13,20	89:13 174:3,11	158:5,23 159:14,17	94:11 97:4 106:23
consulted 109:11	contractual 155:9,18	<b>couple</b> 32:15,19 41:1	160:3,15,17,19	106:24 107:17
Consulting 2:17,21	156:2 157:2 163:4	49:22 70:21 79:1	164:7,9,25 165:5	108:24 109:9 110:1
contacted 90:25	control 73:23,24	107:15 109:10	darts 97:13	110:14,17 111:6,8
containing 157:14	75:16,18 91:19	110:17,18 112:6,18	DASA 57:8	111:13,17,25 112:5
contemplated 31:25	123:12 124:25	143:12	data 60:5 81:19	112:18,23 113:1
content 57:6	125:3 126:23	course 38:25 102:9	150:25 151:22	124:21 126:2 128:7
contents 89:18	158:14	103:9 105:14 111:6	database 56:11,13	138:18,20 139:17
continually 62:19	controls 65:1 67:5	119:23	57:25	139:23 140:1,5
continue 20:21 24:16	68:20 69:2,19,25	court 1:21 2:19 12:8	date 6:16 9:16,25	156:4,6 159:18,22
33:9 38:18 60:13	70:1,6 73:12 74:2,3	14:16,17 102:24	10:25 11:1,12 26:10	160:14,20 161:3,8
103:17 109:1,3	74:12,14,16,17,24	174:4,14	26:10,23 27:1,22	161:17 162:12,22
124:1,9,15 126:20	75:21 76:10,10 77:3	cover 66:13,16 104:5	29:21,22 46:12	164:2 170:20
129:7 130:17	79:11,22 80:14 83:3	108:21	123:13 128:23	deadline 37:8
142:16 144:10,11	83:17 85:15 86:1	coverage 27:11	140:16,19 154:11	deal 146:12
144:12 146:2 155:1	88:14 93:12 94:19	covered 8:9 84:3	154:13,17 155:14	<b>December</b> 26:14
172:12	95:4,5 99:11 112:7	114:21 CDA 55:12:59:21	155:18,21 156:3	27:25 28:2,4,15
continues 136:25	112:16 114:24	CPA 55:13 58:21	157:18 163:14,16	82:8 118:24 158:6
142:19	126:21 136:5	CPAS 58:19	164:1 170:5	<b>decide</b> 33:11,24 85:20
continuing 124:3	conveniently 57:10	create 12:11 28:8	dated 117:1 174:10	
continuous 135:23	<b>conversation</b> 72:10	90:6	dates 25:13,17,19,25	decides 50:15
contract 32:24 58:15	94:6 98:1,7 101:23	created 31:17 58:20	26:3,6,9 29:12 30:8	<b>decision</b> 66:9 72:9 119:22
70:23 72:18 104:2 114:23 123:2 127:1	104:20 105:18 167:18 168:17	60:22 64:3,4 106:5 <b>credits</b> 141:11	30:9,11 31:7,23	decisions 66:9 71:15
			32:16 36:18 45:9,13	
128:19 130:14 131:13,15 132:9,19	conversation's 168:18	criminal 55:24 critical 27:18 47:21	45:17,22,24 53:10 122:3 140:19 167:9	declares 10:1
131.13,13 132.9,19	Conway 55:9	85:1 111:23 167:11	167:10	deep 82:6 deeper 65:1
132.21 133.9,13,20	coordinated 36:24	criticism 145:12	Dave 52:8,25 53:5	defer 98:8,9 131:4,10
136:1 137:7 142:10	copied 19:2 20:10	crucial 90:7	David 136:4	172:10,15,23,25
142:12 148:18	copy 116:4 147:2,2	cure 159:13	Davis 80:9	172.10,13,23,23
155:10,21 156:21	147:23	curious 56:15,17	Davis-Bacon 143:17	<b>defined</b> 91:12 94:3
159:10	core 89:20 118:25	current 70:9 107:9	143:18	<b>definitely</b> 41:12 93:11
contracted 123:6	corporate 71:20	115:2,8,19,21,22,23	day 29:17 30:11	102:2 110:11 112:2
contractor 123:13	correct 8:13 12:23	116:15,20 123:8	31:12,13 32:24	156:19
125:20 127:18,21	17:2,7 43:6 70:17	125:23 140:20	38:20 117:18	degrees 58:15
128:14,17,25 129:8	73:14,20 98:12 99:7	150:17	140:24 174:10	delay 130:13 139:7
141:24 142:1 155:8	103:21 107:10,11	currently 10:13 11:13	days 5:23 6:12 9:20	157:14 158:2,17
157:4,22 159:6,12	correlates 135:10	55:9 118:2,4,11	11:15 12:17 17:21	164:12
159:24 160:7,22,24	cost 5:20 16:22 17:9	158:15	18:10 19:18 28:22	delayed 71:6 159:7
163:21,23 164:8	20:15,16,23 64:1	<b>cursory</b> 149:7	36:9 42:12,14 44:6	161:21 162:2,17
165:8 166:13	65:11 66:4 74:18	cut 118:1 134:4,8	104:6,6 128:22	163:25 164:18
contractor's 125:14	76:12 120:6,7 140:1	Cyber 107:20 108:2	134:14 151:2,15	168:4,7,9
141:25 158:11	costing 163:19	108:14	155:14 156:11	delaying 162:10
160:25	costs 18:2 141:6		157:12,20 164:15	163:21
contractors 124:13	165:4	D	165:9 167:15	delays 157:10,24
124:16 125:10	<b>Council</b> 113:20	<b>D'ARCE</b> 2:14	<b>De</b> 2:3 3:12 7:9 50:2	158:19,20 160:23
126:15,24 127:4	counsel 154:1,11,18	<b>Dahl</b> 3:11	50:18,23 51:1 54:5	161:16,24
128:2 156:22	counsel's 10:16 20:3	dais 59:14	54:11 61:24 62:21	deliverable 131:8
	<u> </u>			•

deliverables 26:6 130:22 131:1 132:4 delivering 33:22 demanded 166:9 demanding 132:10 **demolish** 17:6 20:17 demolition 16:19 20:15,16,23,24 21:1 22:11 department 19:2 20:11 27:4.19 53:19 55:14 66:10 67:8 115:5 150:6,8 depending 114:17 125:17 **depends** 43:13 100:20 depiction 11:7 **depth** 133:23 **Deputy** 2:13 4:9 described 80:20 description 94:3 design 65:1 designed 80:2 designee 4:19 71:1 desire 63:25 64:2 **detail** 15:16 85:6,11 93:17 95:18 131:2 161:24 detailed 99:9 141:10 148:1 152:10,11 155:11 details 143:11 147:1 148:16 determine 96:11 101:4 119:22 125:6 158:19 determined 157:21 determines 132:6 develop 58:17 62:1 **difference** 6:2 10:8 20:1 different 28:20 31:9 38:20,21 51:24 53:13 54:22 71:7 80:8 95:9 102:10 104:23,24 112:14 159:1 **difficult** 28:4 29:3 41:10 142:8,9,14 148:23

**dire** 40:1 **direct** 72:17 direction 62:14 110:11 directly 56:18 58:6 131:20 133:16 **director** 2:8 4:6 122:15 134:4 143:4 144:22 145:6 146:11 disagree 91:24,25 99:8 disappear 165:2,6 discretion 160:20 discuss 7:6,10 25:13 28:11 33:11 48:5 50:8 59:11,25 83:22 85:6 95:18 96:15,23 97:1 99:18 discussed 33:18 51:18 59:6,14 100:12 109:9 discussing 47:20 49:10 85:12 171:19 discussion 5:10 6:9 47:12 48:24 72:1 74:10 80:24 81:4,5 93:23 95:7 96:7,10 97:6 98:13,19 99:10 101:9 121:9 132:17 137:18 146:11 171:8 discussions 5:14 78:25 92:4 100:10 131:8,11 disenfranchising 41:17 dismissed 57:3 displayed 90:10 disposal 171:20 **dispose** 40:20 disruptive 90:16 dissect 102:6 distinguished 50:22 50:24.25 distributed 18:19 23:24

**district** 2:11 7:19

10:3 13:19 16:21

19:22 28:21,24

17:16 19:14,17,17

33:20 34:14 40:6 43:1,13 52:15,17,22 59:10 64:24 65:19 68:19 73:13 74:19 75:3,8,23 76:11 79:11 84:11,14 86:9 91:8 102:22 103:15 103:23 104:5.11 111:2 112:6 115:13 125:21 133:16 141:8 144:6,16 151:18 157:19 158:2,24 162:7 163:18,19,19 164:12 167:2 district's 57:7 74:12 74:14 78:6 105:8 district-owned 89:25 90:18 91:6 district-wide 167:17 **districts** 52:25 80:3 disturbed 89:4 diverse 40:11 Diversity 29:15 **document** 11:4 15:12 20:8 21:11 26:13 61:25 62:16 67:18 75:24 77:21 82:17 113:14 114:4 115:11,15 116:11 116:16,25 117:1,7 117:17 118:16,25 130:1 146:15.16 153:11 documentation 95:8 136:3 141:7 documented 53:2 157:15 documents 16:24 27:13 36:17 62:12 67:1,16 101:8 117:17,20 156:23 **dodge** 60:24 dodged 57:4 doing 13:12 14:18 43:8 56:7 57:25 67:8 68:10 69:1 70:9 72:2 86:21 88:13 95:21 98:18 101:5 111:2 112:13

118:4,12 128:2 129:15 136:13 138:23 144:17.23 146:20 169:3,11,12 171:7 dollars 97:15 136:7 **door** 146:6 doors 67:9 108:16 double-check 108:22 doubt 79:24 Douglas 22:11 downloaded 34:2 **Dr** 2:4,12 3:18,19 8:22 11:20 13:7,8 14:10 17:14,25 18:1 18:14,21,24 19:6 22:7,8 30:2,24,25 32:3,8,12 35:16 36:6,7,20 43:7,11 43:16,23 44:7 46:1 47:16,17 48:13,16 55:3,5,25 56:2,23 57:19,21 58:5,10 59:3,8,12,15,18 60:24 61:4,7,10,12 69:4,13 72:17 73:6 73:15,17,23 74:3 75:13 76:23 77:17 77:18 80:21,22 81:24 86:24 87:9,11 88:1,24 89:1,2,4 91:14,15 92:3,5,9 94:14,15,24 95:2,11 95:13,25 97:23 99:4 105:21,22 106:3 113:2,3 114:3,4 115:1,8,14,18 116:2 116:10,15,21 117:6 117:10,12,15,19,24 118:13 121:14 131:18,19 132:24 135:5 136:23 137:4 137:11,17,23 138:2 138:12,16,19,22 139:2,10,14,22,25 140:3 144:19 146:21,22 147:3,8 147:18 160:11 164:4 170:18 171:4 171:14,17 172:8,11 172:14,23

drafted 91:7 dragging 43:17 drawn 16:7 21:20 drive 78:24,25 DROP 57:17 due 11:11 18:13 25:22 26:3,6,6 27:1 37:7,22 108:20 duration 140:18 150:24 155:11

 $\mathbf{E}$ **e-Builder** 140:13,15 140:20 151:4,6,13 151:16 153:7,15,17 EARLEEN 2:12 earlier 153:5 158:17 early 25:24 119:21 earmarked 21:5 east 32:9 Eastern 106:2 easy 125:1 142:9 160:9 easy-to-read 54:2 eating 136:6 142:4 **Education** 19:2 20:12 27:4,20 66:10 **effective** 55:20 56:25 58:24 64:22 65:11 effectively 58:19 effectiveness 139:24 effectives 55:12 efficiency 65:6 **efficient** 92:2 123:19 effort 60:7,10 63:16 eight 151:10,12 153:21 either 8:9,12 11:4 29:4 67:12 103:9 120:12 139:21 162:7 elect 33:24 49:25 election 49:20 electronic 147:18 148:23 153:2.9 Elementary 165:21 165:22 elements 62:21 elephant 55:6

else's 94:12

email 113:10 114:8

113:24 114:18,19

171.01
171:21
<b>emails</b> 106:4,16
employee 140:19
employees 58:17
106:14
empowered 13:20
enable 81:11
encapsulated 34:6
encourage 90:15
<b>ended</b> 14:15
ends 81:2
engage 90:14
engaged 68:23
engagement 68:23
0 0
69:20 70:16,18
74:25
Enhance 120:3
enlightened 91:15
<b>ensure</b> 91:8 134:19
136:11 138:13
ensuring 64:23 66:25
137:13
entered 43:3
entering 56:10
154:18
entire 38:12 75:22
78:14 79:10 80:14
136:8,10 137:22
139:22
entirety 93:13
entitled 103:1
entry 57:25
environment 23:22
91:9 129:18
equates 157:25
erased 70:6
erected 5:20
<b>ERIC</b> 2:15
error 27:12 149:4
162:8
errors 26:25 75:3
150:6
escape 146:4,10
<b>escorted</b> 55:23 57:10
<b>especially</b> 18:9 32:4
41:10 42:3 144:14
148:25
essentially 155:20
established 89:20
establishment 25:19
estimate 17:10 20:10
<b>Estimate</b> 17.10 20:10

20:15,24
et 33:22,22 102:12,13
108:14 148:18,18
evaluate 73:12
evaluates 135:17
<b>evaluation</b> 55:15 57:5
57:12 78:6,9
evaluations 55:21
56:7
<b>evening</b> 29:19 31:10 36:12
events 38:4 63:8
157:14
eventually 118:23
everybody 17:4
39:22 40:10 41:2
58:15 94:12 98:5
118:15 125:21
133:18 173:4,10
everybody's 94:2
97:20 173:3
<b>evidence</b> 78:24 106:7
evident 148:4
exact 110:24 137:23
exactly 12:1 22:3
99:19 167:5
examination 74:1
<b>example</b> 26:4,8,9
37:21 46:6,11 47:1 79:6 82:2 119:14
120:2 161:2
examples 110:3
Excel 54:19
exception 28:14
30:10 37:20 52:5
62:7,8 120:17
152:18
<b>exceptions</b> 37:23 53:8
54:22 150:20
excuse 4:22 46:12
157:22
<b>excused</b> 3:11 4:3
executed 123:4
125:11 128:21
155:20
execution 65:4
executive 2:10 109:16
exhausted 107:2
exhaustive 107:2
exist 12:11
0 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T

existence 79:13

existing 8:7 60:5
109:2 111:15 120:10
exists 104:11
exit 140:16,19
<b>expand</b> 39:6
<b>expanded</b> 70:15,16
expanding 61:25
81:15
expect 12:16 102:20
expectation 12:14
89:17 111:4
expectations 110:25
170:6
expected 90:4
expects 89:22
expenditure 85:9
expenditures 21:21
expense 133:24
136:19 141:3
expensive 74:25
<b>experience</b> 14:14 74:23
<b>expert</b> 124:23 <b>expertise</b> 65:8 120:11
experts 68:2,13
<b>explain</b> 61:7 91:2
117:4 156:19
<b>explore</b> 44:19 110:11
explored 85:11
express 63:25
expressed 31:1
expressing 91:20
<b>extend</b> 46:22,23
48:16 156:1
extended 34:17
131:13 134:14
extending 163:14
<b>extension</b> 158:8 160:4
external 26:12 88:6
88:18 97:15 119:19
120:16
externally 120:4,5
<b>extremely</b> 65:15 68:22
eye 165:13
eye 103.13
F
<b>F48</b> 119:15
<b>facilities</b> 2:13 13:13
29:14 31:10,14

44:18 126:14,14
132:18
act 10:5 31:23 55:21
83:22 104:18 136:2
147:9 164:17,21
166:4
actor 27:9
actoring 28:20 66:8 actors 28:3,15
-
acts 157:25
<b>Fail</b> 124:1,3,9 129:7
170:7,7,7,8,8,8
ailed 123:10
<b>ails</b> 142:18
<b>ailure</b> 68:20 70:12
<b>ailures</b> 69:3 74:24
75:3 124:20
<b>air</b> 99:20
airly 15:22
<b>all</b> 67:14 83:7 133:3
amiliar 108:25
families 12:5 34:16
34:24 35:25 38:7,12
family's 35:23
ar 44:7 62:24 67:8
78:2 83:16 126:18
128:16
<b>Cashion</b> 154:25
ault 160:25
avor 9:7,9 23:6 25:8
49:1 99:21 121:11
140.0 170.15 172.6
140:9 170:15 173:6
173:8
173:8 <b>easible</b> 38:22
173:8 Feasible 38:22 February 27:8
173:8 Feasible 38:22 February 27:8 123:22
173:8 Feasible 38:22 February 27:8 123:22 Feedback 41:21
173:8 Feasible 38:22 February 27:8 123:22 Feedback 41:21 Feel 67:12 87:20
173:8 Feasible 38:22 February 27:8 123:22 Feedback 41:21 Feel 67:12 87:20 88:19 91:19 100:24
173:8 Feasible 38:22 February 27:8 123:22 Feedback 41:21 Feel 67:12 87:20 88:19 91:19 100:24 102:24 145:11
173:8 Feasible 38:22 February 27:8 123:22 Feedback 41:21 Feel 67:12 87:20 88:19 91:19 100:24 102:24 145:11
173:8 Feasible 38:22 February 27:8 123:22 Feedback 41:21 Feel 67:12 87:20 88:19 91:19 100:24 102:24 145:11 Feels 33:20 34:9 Fell 34:20
173:8 Feasible 38:22 February 27:8 123:22 Feedback 41:21 Feel 67:12 87:20 88:19 91:19 100:24 102:24 145:11 Feels 33:20 34:9 Fell 34:20
173:8 Feasible 38:22 February 27:8 123:22 Feedback 41:21 Feel 67:12 87:20 88:19 91:19 100:24 102:24 145:11 Feels 33:20 34:9
173:8 Feasible 38:22 February 27:8 123:22 Feel 67:12 87:20 88:19 91:19 100:24 102:24 145:11 Feels 33:20 34:9 Fell 34:20 Fertig 2:2 3:14 6:19
173:8 Feasible 38:22 February 27:8 123:22 Feedback 41:21 Feel 67:12 87:20 88:19 91:19 100:24 102:24 145:11 Feels 33:20 34:9 Fell 34:20 Fertig 2:2 3:14 6:19 11:18,23 12:6,21,24
173:8 <b>February</b> 27:8 123:22 <b>Feedback</b> 41:21 <b>Feel</b> 67:12 87:20 88:19 91:19 100:24 102:24 145:11 <b>Feels</b> 33:20 34:9 <b>Fell</b> 34:20 <b>Fertig</b> 2:2 3:14 6:19 11:18,23 12:6,21,24 13:23 14:8,11,19
173:8 <b>February</b> 27:8 123:22 <b>Feedback</b> 41:21 <b>Feel</b> 67:12 87:20 88:19 91:19 100:24 102:24 145:11 <b>Feels</b> 33:20 34:9 <b>Fell</b> 34:20 <b>Fertig</b> 2:2 3:14 6:19 11:18,23 12:6,21,24 13:23 14:8,11,19 18:11 19:8 24:21
173:8 feasible 38:22 February 27:8 123:22 feedback 41:21 feel 67:12 87:20 88:19 91:19 100:24 102:24 145:11 feels 33:20 34:9 fell 34:20 Fertig 2:2 3:14 6:19 11:18,23 12:6,21,24 13:23 14:8,11,19 18:11 19:8 24:21 34:10,11 35:2,4,12 35:18 38:6 39:9
173:8 Feasible 38:22 February 27:8 123:22 Feedback 41:21 Feel 67:12 87:20 88:19 91:19 100:24 102:24 145:11 Feels 33:20 34:9 Fell 34:20 Fertig 2:2 3:14 6:19 11:18,23 12:6,21,24 13:23 14:8,11,19 18:11 19:8 24:21 34:10,11 35:2,4,12 35:18 38:6 39:9 53:23,24 61:16,22
173:8 feasible 38:22 February 27:8 123:22 feedback 41:21 feel 67:12 87:20 88:19 91:19 100:24 102:24 145:11 feels 33:20 34:9 fell 34:20 Fertig 2:2 3:14 6:19 11:18,23 12:6,21,24 13:23 14:8,11,19 18:11 19:8 24:21 34:10,11 35:2,4,12 35:18 38:6 39:9

82:25 87:13,14,22
88:20 92:11,25 93:6
94:1 95:8 97:19,20
97:24 99:3 100:1,2
100:5,10 102:15
104:16,24 105:1,14
106:2,19 118:1,6,10
119:4,7 137:19
138:5,15,24 145:9
145:14,20 148:11
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
165:19 167:6,25
168:14 169:10
171:6,12,16 172:6
172:13,15,25
<b>fewer</b> 78:2
fidelity 111:24
field 65:21 98:16
113:23
fieldwork 52:10
113:13 114:15
141:3
figure 41:12 76:18
77:4 139:19 166:18
<b>figured</b> 170:9
<b>file</b> 27:4,19 101:11
104:19 106:5
<b>filings</b> 27:17
fill 23:25
<b>filling</b> 65:22
<b>final</b> 39:2 55:15,15
72:9 151:16 158:14
160:6 168:10
finalization 87:17
finally 82:8
finance 77:9
<b>financial</b> 13:16,21
14:10 37:6,21 75:16
76:16 81:14 88:6
find 32:10 34:14
62:12 65:15 97:17
02:12 03:13 97:17
108:10 114:9
116:24 118:17
134:20 149:21
163:5
<b>finding</b> 39:11 170:7
findings 78:20 82:16
83:24 122:2,3 126:3
169:21,21
<b>fine</b> 38:23 61:14
68:16 69:11,11,15
69:16 85:23 173:1

				1
<b>finish</b> 22:23 46:18	146:16 154:8 155:6	foundation 69:24	55:15	143:8 147:24,25
55:18 72:20,25	156:14 162:20	four 18:10 42:5	GC 151:4	149:14 153:11
100:8 166:10	<b>follow-up</b> 15:10 44:8	126:17 155:15,17	general 10:16,19 20:3	161:5,6,7
finishing 136:15	56:18 58:14 77:24	161:18 171:7	27:5 52:6,11,14,23	<b>going</b> 9:4 14:17 16:2
fire 79:15 165:18	77:25 78:17 82:11	<b>fox</b> 142:3	53:2 66:10 85:12	16:8,10 34:11,12,22
<b>firm</b> 29:22 68:22,25	82:21 83:23 105:17	framework 39:3	105:10 110:4	34:23 35:5,14 39:9
73:7,12 75:1 80:9	105:19 106:20	<b>fraud</b> 65:2	<b>generally</b> 28:16 30:9	39:23 41:3 42:5
86:1	109:4 113:21	<b>free</b> 87:20	141:5	43:11 45:16,18 47:9
<b>firms</b> 69:9 80:9	124:21	frequency 54:21	generates 112:3	47:10 53:11 55:5
136:17	<b>follow-ups</b> 81:23	frequent 28:10	153:17	56:14,23 58:7 64:25
<b>first</b> 8:3 25:20 41:3,6	83:21	<b>Friday</b> 18:10	gentlemen 168:24	65:2,10,11 71:3
41:18 53:1,4 54:3	<b>followed</b> 53:11 64:24	<b>Fridays</b> 29:3,3	<b>getting</b> 17:20 19:11	73:7 75:24 76:12,12
75:15 76:11 77:10	82:18 88:8 111:5	<b>friendly</b> 10:22 48:4	54:12,14 66:25 82:8	76:20 81:16,18 82:7
81:7 84:24 102:5	112:22	76:25 86:17 92:14	118:8 124:12	82:17 84:16 85:18
104:20 107:1,6,9	<b>following</b> 3:1 55:20	front 21:23 29:20	126:19 134:1	85:20 86:4 89:5
120:3 123:22 125:9	78:18 84:1 158:8	frustrating 91:18	136:15 137:20	92:6 94:16 96:4
126:18 148:15	<b>follows</b> 26:16 82:1	<b>FTE</b> 112:6	gigantic 74:18	97:16 98:17 106:6,8
165:10 167:22	126:11	<b>full</b> 109:4	give 21:17 40:23	109:3 118:7 119:4
170:7	<b>food</b> 33:7	<b>full-time</b> 35:19	41:13 50:18 60:19	119:24 120:4,6
<b>fiscal</b> 25:17 52:16	force 13:14 29:14	<b>fully</b> 128:21	62:14 94:4 112:18	123:15,24 128:1
107:7,21 114:16	31:10,15 132:18,23	<b>function</b> 64:1,17	124:24 125:2	129:2 130:1,7 132:2
117:22	133:22	71:17 111:15 127:6	142:12 158:4	134:12,17 136:17
fit 93:3	forecast 66:6,7	131:15 132:5	171:25 172:21	139:6 142:24
<b>fitting</b> 108:4	forecasting 127:16,18	152:21 162:15	given 10:3 26:19	143:24 144:23
five 28:16 55:22	foregoing 174:7	functions 88:12	55:22 57:13 66:18	145:2,10 146:12
89:20 97:23 143:9	forensic 157:5 158:16	163:11	106:14,18 151:22	156:13 158:5
fix 128:25	forget 38:9	<b>fund</b> 16:6 52:5	giving 129:11,16	159:10 162:1,20
<b>fixable</b> 144:15	forgot 5:1	104:11,13 105:13	<b>go</b> 4:4 13:18 17:1,3	164:25 165:1,2,2,3
	form 33:25 83:23	<b>funding</b> 63:18	25:25 30:5 33:4	165:5 166:7 168:16
flexibility 39:6 41:14	96:12 101:2 132:12	<b>funds</b> 6:12,16 9:19	34:16 35:10 36:4	168:17,21
133:1,5	132:14,15 153:24	10:16 16:13,20 17:6	37:2,7 44:5,22 46:3	gold 69:12
flexible 38:20	159:15	20:4,16,24 21:20	47:13 51:22 52:3,13	<b>good</b> 3:3 4:8 22:16,16
floor 1:22 49:25	formal 68:23 72:16	51:16,20 52:16	57:17 59:21,24 60:3	43:4 54:4 59:21
58:12 87:15 88:25	88:9 120:24	53:16,21 56:9,25	64:15 69:8,13,15	63:1,1 68:13 71:16
	formally 51:11	57:24 58:1 60:12	73:2,10 76:3,3 85:2	79:18,22 80:4,10
Florida 1:11 129:9	format 76:2 119:12	82:16 84:14 105:6	87:21 91:21 92:4	84:5,5 89:2,3
174:2,5,11	forms 23:25 153:3,12	163:22	93:19 96:19 98:2	122:10,10,14,19
flow 112:19	formulate 81:11	furnish 14:4	100:9 102:24 107:5	124:22 168:14 173:10
flows 18:3	Fort 1:11,23 174:10 forth 27:5,20 51:7	<b>further</b> 22:22 61:3 96:7,23 121:9	107:16,16 112:1	Gorokhovsky 15:20
<b>focus</b> 78:3,12 84:17	53:14 66:11 114:18	137:18 154:4	115:10,11 116:3,14 116:22,23 117:2	gotten 52:24 80:10
86:19,19,21,23 91:11 92:23 95:5	120:20	future 35:2 64:25	110:22,23 117:2	Gouldbourne 2:9
	forum 11:25 108:22	81:11 101:14 102:2	121:23 122:1,23	4:14,14
83:20 84:18 88:16	forward 47:14 56:24	107:7,12 109:6	134:20 139:1 141:4	govern 77:23 78:16
97:11 108:17	60:11 85:18 91:22	119:11,16 120:13	143:13 146:10	govern 77:23 78:16 government 64:14
focusing 46:20 78:22	92:4 93:19 96:11,12	138:23,25 139:12	148:25 156:5 161:4	130:9,9 146:3
folks 98:15	96:13 145:22	130.43,43 137.14	165:3 167:1 168:21	gowns 82:4 84:4
follow 40:15 44:11	148:10 161:14	G	171:13 172:17,22	114:20
57:21 93:21 108:6	169:3	Gallagher 103:25	goal 169:23	graduation 27:22
109:2 122:17 123:1	found 151:14 152:9	104:9	goes 53:3 90:20 102:8	grand 86:7
124:15 141:10	155:16	gathering 15:21	114:17 134:16	Grandstands 110:19
127.13 171.10	133.10	8	117.1/137.10	Grandstands 110.17
<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

**grant** 9:18 102:22,23 103:3 hit 127:17 immediately 24:2 **includes** 127:16 hold 30:4 39:13 43:7 grateful 63:12 138:14 139:9 52:18 148:19 149:13 158:15 immovable 45:10 **including** 12:4 37:8 **grave** 57:2 happens 101:2 159:6 **holding** 72:3 129:14 **impact** 56:8,16 57:22 incorporated 151:18 **great** 38:10,11 40:22 53:25 54:2,13,17,20 159:23,25 170:4 159:16 57:25 66:22,23 incorporation 123:5 62:16 83:1 109:21 happy 76:15 122:22 holiday 26:15,20 28:3 127:17 139:21 incorrect 141:21 142:1 144:10 145:1 113:20 146:1 145:18 28:15 155:23 156:25 160:22 hard 121:17 137:25 holistic 82:15,20 157:8 159:24 160:4 145:3 green 122:11 **Harpalani** 2:9 4:16 home 146:12 161:14 166:16 incorrectly 19:22 167:2 increase 125:13,15 ground 69:2 70:3 4:16 108:12,13 hone 38:1 92:20 **impacted** 27:21 35:24 73:13 116:5 honest 85:5 increased 74:1 group 2:21 42:11 hate 62:10 **hoopla** 78:4 52:22 63:10 66:8 incumbent 80:12 head 24:8 63:22 hope 14:3 111:10 **impacts** 29:21 65:24 independent 26:19 171:7 guardians 89:23 105:9 146:23 128:6 167:2 157:5,18 158:16 **heading** 39:18 hopefully 70:23 84:10 imperative 14:6 indicate 29:9 30:13 guardrails 136:1 health 105:5,12,15 96:19 169:4 **implement** 43:14 44:1 30:17 54:21 156:7 guess 36:12 41:21 implementation 43:1 42:10 43:14 70:21 hear 14:25 50:3 54:9 **hopes** 89:7 **indicated** 44:25 45:3 **hoping** 25:24 67:2 70:1 74:16 140:21 66:3 71:1 104:16 105:15 54:11 67:2 68:17 implemented 78:1 113:24 119:9 79:7 81:9 82:10 83:21 indicating 40:17 123:21 154:19 85:16 88:1 98:15 host 78:11 151:7 143:7 implementing 43:19 **individual** 60:1,6,9 163:3 167:6 heard 79:22 81:19 hourly 142:11 135:2 149:23 hours 42:12.23 43:4 77:20 **GUESTS** 2:16,20 135:11.18 **guide** 23:16 150:11 159:2 48:21,22 85:15 importance 89:19 individually 49:10 guiding 90:8 hearing 41:2 94:10 142:12 important 46:23 94:9 123:24 Gums 2:18 121:24 heck 166:19 **individuals** 29:4 35:3 **house** 40:19 62:15 72:6 79:23 Heery 135:24 **HR** 78:12,21,22 95:6 84:12 91:23 93:10 59:10,12 65:20 90:4 122:13,24 130:18 **held** 104:20 huge 54:14 143:19 100:21 133:25 90:21 120:19 140:12 150:14 help 42:2 78:23 140:2 144:9 167:8 154:12,17 155:4 150:6.8 140:23 Huh 35:12 guvs 22:17 23:2 117:14 120:12 168:2 **information** 2:9 7:11 129:21 154:20 125:6 136:23 147:7 human 65:23 86:19 importantly 54:6 7:12 9:17,25 10:8 165:7 170:1,20 147:21 152:22 92:21 98:23 99:13 **impose** 160:15 11:16 13:12,17 14:5 99:14 **improve** 57:13 74:15 **helped** 37:5 15:5 18:17,18 19:13 Η helpful 119:13 169:11 Hurricane 120:3 89:7 149:23 159:12 28:5 29:20 32:5 half 21:15.23 41:25 **helps** 128:6 improvement 55:18 34:1,8 40:21 42:2 I 48:22 hen 142:4 55:19 57:14,16 43:2 51:19 52:14 hand 14:24 23:1 34:4 idea 62:4 132:1 Henry 105:7 124:19 135:23 56:11 62:24 64:20 41:5 46:25 56:19 ideally 79:7 hev 165:7 140:18 87:24 94:5 98:6,15 ideas 39:12 93:17 85:2,2 high 22:11 27:21 improvements 102:7,12 108:5 **handle** 120:11 identified 83:8 108:1 109:21 151:21 110:5 111:18 **handled** 104:1 152:11 161:15 110:23 120:13,13 **in-house** 169:9 118:21 132:25 identify 84:8 88:4 Hang 171:4 **higher** 112:15 inadequacies 130:16 141:22 142:2 identifying 84:13 **happen** 7:14 31:12 highest 55:12 88:11 inappropriate 90:24 158:18 168:13.15 39:23 62:11 78:11 **Identity** 108:6 91:4,11,19 88:11 90:8 169:13 170:22 85:21 86:4 89:9 **Ighodaro** 2:4 3:16 **highly** 55:11,20 58:17 inception 135:20 infrastructure 110:22 106:8 112:4 165:1 40:14.15 50:4 81:17 hire 73:6,11 **include** 40:10 48:4 Ingram 113:25,25 168:10 81:18,25 82:10 **hired** 80:8 62:15 139:3 153:8 114:18 happened 15:19 **ignore** 79:13 hiring 66:20 78:6 **included** 7:3 8:12 inhouse 64:8 65:7 31:18 131:9 157:7 ignored 12:3 120:8 38:9 75:18 116:18 initial 135:7 141:7 161:13 165:4 **ignoring** 97:13 historical 52:14 133:11,11 148:22 151:4,15 166:19 **imagine** 71:24 historically 30:22 149:10 168:1 initially 84:17 happening 100:23 **imbedded** 64:12 histories 151:12 171:25 **initiation** 135:6,7,9

**input** 71:3,12 80:5 88:5 110:9 145:8 insight 90:5 inspection 110:19 111:22 112:2 inspections 168:10 **installed** 166:1,1,14 instance 141:5 instances 153:25 insufficient 158:18 **insurance** 101:10.17 101:19,22 103:13 103:24 104:13,21 105:6,13,15,25 **insured** 101:18 103:9 103:17,23 105:6 intelligently 99:17 intent 32:22 57:12 82:20 **intention** 71:9 159:18 intentions 85:18 interact 90:1 91:17 92:3 interaction 89:8 interactions 90:14 interest 7:23 63:21 163:18 **interesting** 34:14,23 **Interim** 2:12 interject 6:22 internal 51:16,20 52:5,15 53:16,21 55:8,19 56:9,25 57:24 58:1 60:12 68:20 69:1,19,25 70:1,6 73:12,23,24 74:2,3,12,14,15,17 74:24 75:16,17,21 76:10,10 77:2 79:11 80:14 82:16 83:3 85:15 86:1 88:14,18 93:12 94:19 95:4,4 97:16 99:10 119:19 120:16 internally 120:5,8 interpretation 110:2 157:16 **interrupt** 88:22 144:1 interrupted 100:7 interrupting 58:10 interruption 165:18

intervene 91:1 intimidating 90:17 introduce 89:11 introduction 30:16 67:21 inventory 172:1 investigate 13:21 investigated 59:16 investigating 86:12 investigation 86:8 investment 75:6.7 112:22 investments 112:19 **invite** 81:8 **INVITED** 2:16 **invoice** 11:7 141:7 143:6 148:8 150:2 **invoices** 6:4,6 10:7,14 11:4 13:6,6 15:17 15:22 18:7 19:25 20:2 141:2,3 147:13 147:13,19 148:12 148:21 **invoicing** 141:1,19 **involved** 110:20 112:10 involvement 114:23 involves 82:5 **ISO** 77:20 issue 56:19 78:8 96:20 113:5 124:2,4 124:12 127:24 149:1 162:19 163:4 163:5,5 **issued** 141:11 issues 65:25,25 77:8 82:1,12,14 97:17

99:18 100:13 109:2 126:14 145:16,16 160:23 165:11 it'll 13:24 44:15 70:5 item 7:16,16 8:8,8 16:18 24:24 25:16 28:10 44:8 47:22 49:15,16 50:10 51:15,21 61:19 63:4 63:7 85:5 86:25 87:8 92:24 94:7 96:14 98:2,19 100:3 100:4,5 106:24

169:2

107:19 108:2,5 115:16 116:5 117:9 122:22 131:12 171:22,23 items 8:9 10:19 20:6 24:11 27:19 33:11 37:5 47:18,19 48:14 49:10 66:8 67:16 82:5 88:15 107:8,11 109:12 110:7 112:6 122:17 141:9 151:19 170:23 Itohan 2:4 3:16

J **Jabouin** 2:8 3:8,11,14 3:16,18,20,22,24 4:1,3,5,18 5:1,5 6:18,24 7:8,14 9:22 10:11 14:3,22 17:3 18:16 19:12,21 20:20,22,23 23:12 23:13 24:15.18 25:13 30:3 32:5 35:1 36:5,15 38:14 44:10,19,24 45:18 46:7 48:8,20,23 49:15,16 51:3,10,13 51:17 54:10 55:25 56:21 57:19 58:3,9 59:2,3,9,17,20,25 60:4,8,12,16,21 61:1,18 62:2,22 63:5.6 68:5.8 71:10 72:14 81:25 87:16 87:24 93:19 98:5 99:16 100:12 106:21 107:11 108:19 109:25 110:8,16,23 111:7 111:11,14 112:13 112:20,25 114:2,12 115:4,10,17,22 116:9,18 117:4,23 118:5.9.20 119:6.20 120:15 121:3,16,20 122:16 125:25 126:6 134:24

172:17 173:1,3,10 173:12,15 **Jackson** 149:16 **Jaclyn** 2:6 4:1 **January** 26:17,21,23 130:25 **Jennifer** 2:9 4:16 108:12 iettison 80:13 jettisoned 47:22 **job** 24:22 50:16 58:21 127:17 **Johns** 105:25 106:2 **joined** 88:24 joining 92:5 **Joris** 2:8 4:5 **Judith** 2:13 4:8,20 July 27:24 70:24 72:17 121:5 131:16 135:16 jump 88:20 **June** 1:14 27:21 52:17 57:10,11 58:24 59:6 78:3 113:6 114:5 130:24 171:21 174:10 jury 86:7 K

170:23 171:8

**Kathleen** 2:18 122:5 124:16 131:4 134:5 **KC** 1:10 keep 15:6 92:2 136:17 **keeping** 165:13 kept 151:23 171:22 kev 110:9 kick 28:3 kill 40:24 **kind** 38:1 46:4 62:13 76:2,2 77:2,3 83:17 90:12 97:12,20 99:3 102:6 130:15 148:16 150:6 156:18 kit 33:16 **know** 5:25 6:1,8,10 7:2 9:18 10:4,10,15 11:15 16:18 19:15 19:23 20:1,1,15

21:23 30:7,22 31:21 31:22 33:19 34:8 36:22 37:2,5,22 38:11,17 40:9,19 41:2,7,8,8,9,11,16 42:3 44:1,5,6 46:4 47:2 49:19 54:1,25 55:7 56:14 62:9,10 69:12 70:8,24 71:8 72:5 76:5 80:2,6,18 81:7.19 83:11 84:17 84:22 86:12 94:11 97:12 100:6,12,19 103:1 106:21 107:24 108:7,24 109:9,23 118:10,21 119:15,17,19 120:5 120:10,18 124:13 124:16 125:3,17 126:23,25 127:7,24 128:1 129:19,20,25 130:9 132:16 134:11,16 137:13 138:8 142:5 145:17 149:8 150:9 156:11 156:12,13 160:6,10 161:15,21 162:17 163:6,16 164:16,20 166:22 167:8,12,21 168:25,25

knowledge 86:13 known 131:23 knows 32:25 144:23

 $\mathbf{L}$ 

la 135:25 **labor** 141:2 142:13 165:16 lack 78:9 144:1 lag 18:5 Langan 2:18 122:5 125:25 126:7,8 131:12 138:10 147:14,21 156:16 156:18 159:20.23 160:18,21 161:4,11 161:19 163:10 164:11 165:24 167:19 168:1 **large** 150:4,5 174:5 larger 128:4

136:21,24 137:9

139:4,11 141:18

162:19,25 169:20

late 106:21 156:8
160:16,19 168:9
latest 113:13
Lauderdale 1:11,23
174:11
law 100:25 101:5
103:1
lead 60:7,19 69:3
74:24
leadership 60:15,17
134:3
leading 60:10
<b>leap</b> 27:6,9
learn 139:18
learned 135:24
leave 35:9 42:1 46:3
69:9 91:5 155:2
163:15 170:12
171:15 173:15,16
leaves 29:2 34:17
leaving 124:8 146:4
<b>led</b> 143:3
<b>left</b> 6:14 10:10 11:6
15:10,14,24 16:4
52:7 137:21 167:13
<b>legal</b> 6:11 10:17
16:23 20:4 61:5
82:5 108:22 109:1
109:11 110:1
122.12 154.1 2 11
132:13 154:1,3,11
154:18,21 155:5
158:6 159:14 161:6
169:25
legally 154:6,22
_ •
legislation 16:24
legislature 5:17 6:12
9:19 19:18,23
<b>length</b> 126:4 134:11
lessons 135:23
let's 8:23,25 38:2
40:7,11 59:21 61:15
63:8 76:17,17 79:15
79:16 91:11 114:22
119:21 120:2 139:2
140:5 146:15 164:9
170:11
<b>letter</b> 68:24 105:17
106:12,13,17
126:14,16 130:3,8
130:10 159:15
<b>letters</b> 101:11 159:7

I
159:13 166:19
level 58:22 59:13,14
75:17 110:23
132:11 136:18
167:9
levy 159:19
liability 105:10 111:9
158:3
Licata's 72:18
lie 59:8
<b>life</b> 32:18 38:4 113:23
<b>light</b> 122:11
<b>lightly</b> 164:16
likes 41:2
<b>limit</b> 12:24
<b>limited</b> 13:9 107:18
151:9,22
limp 131:25
line 108:5 148:6,6
158:21
lines 80:4 110:18
lingering 82:4
link 44:5
liquidated 156:20
158:5,22 159:14,17
159:19 160:2,17,19
164:7,9,19,25 165:5
165:13
list 51:21,24 53:15,20
55:8 76:5 120:23
167:14
listed 31:3 63:20
67:18 82:14,17
107:8 117:21 131:1
<b>listen</b> 129:23
lists 34:14 53:7
literally 32:3 36:13
little 9:22 20:20 27:11
38:19 41:10 46:10
46:13 52:3,13 64:25
82:11 103:22 117:4
123:19 137:21
live 43:12
living 135:25
lobbying 136:17
loca 135:25
local 64:14
location 38:21 39:12
41:13 48:5
locking 39:14
logic 26:2 30:5
10810 20.2 30.3

<b>Logical</b> 107:20 108:14
long 11:12 31:13
32:25 42:23 100:19 107:6 119:10
124:24
long-range 120:12
long-term 75:2,7 longer 92:7 139:7
163:2 166:24
look 13:17 29:10,13
29:25 41:7 53:7
64:25 65:2,5 79:20
80:15 82:14,19,19
82:20 109:16
112:11,12 114:12
115:4 120:13
133:22 135:1 151:24 164:13
167:16
looked 31:21 70:5
72:4 88:16 103:11
113:11 114:24
136:22,25 153:6,21
looking 11:6 31:14
33:23 41:23 56:6
62:5 75:5 78:16
80:5 92:20 102:2
104:17 109:14
111:10 112:7 113:8
114:7,15 115:14
134:10 136:6,13
150:1 154:21
167:10 169:3
looks 13:18 54:19
118:11 142:25
143:14 147:25
167:21
Lord 136:23
lose 7:25 47:19
144:19 168:17
losing 144:9
<b>lost</b> 166:13
lot 28:20 33:21 38:7
46:19 54:14,14
65:19 75:2 76:12,13
80:11 81:19,20 92:1
92:2 136:16 138:7
156:24 161:20
164:22 169:1,2
lots 39·7

**love** 144:12 lowest 88:11 **Lozano** 67:25 **LR** 119:20 **Luker** 136:4 lunch 172:22 Lvnch-Walsh 2:4 3:18,19 8:22 11:20 13:7,8 14:10 17:14 17:25 18:1,14,21,24 19:6 22:7,8 30:2,24 30:25 32:3,8,12 35:16 36:6,7,20 43:7,11,16,23 44:7 46:1 47:16,17 48:13 48:16 55:3,5 56:1,2 56:23 57:20,21 58:5 58:10 59:4,8,12,15 59:18 60:24 61:4,7 61:10,12 69:4,13 73:6,17,23 74:3 75:13 76:23 77:17 77:18 80:21,22 81:24 86:24 87:9,11 88:1 94:14,15,24 95:2,11,13,25 97:23 99:4 105:21,22 106:3 113:2,3 114:3 114:4 115:1,8,14,18 116:2,10,15,21 117:6,10,12,15,19 117:24 118:13 121:14 131:18,19 132:24 135:5 136:23 137:4,11,17 137:23 138:2,12,16 138:19,22 139:2,10 139:14,22,25 140:3 144:19 146:21,22 147:3,8,18 164:5 170:18 171:4,14,17 172:8,11,14,23 M

## M/WBE 132:12,14 132:15 135:3 137:1 ma'am 17:8 141:19 machine 56:8 57:23 magnitude 131:22 maintain 132:11 major 85:8,8 88:12

making 6:25 12:15 18:12,16 48:3 67:9 75:6 92:14 96:13,20 97:2 manage 128:4,5 163:17,17 **managed** 105:11 management 58:16 105:11 108:6 112:19,23,24 123:10 150:17 155:22 163:6 management's 131:3 manager 2:14 55:7 121:22 managers 13:10 22:9 managing 159:4 163:9,10,24 manner 90:1 101:5 102:20 manners 91:19 MARCELO 2:14 **March** 13:9 27:2,7,8 27:10,15,15,17 Marjory 22:11 **Marquardt** 2:10 4:12 4:12 Marsal 69:7 **Marte** 2:13 4:8,8,20 14:2,22,25 15:1,3 15:25 16:12,16 17:3 17:10,15,22 21:4,6 21:9,12,17 42:10,14 42:16,19,20,24 43:6 79:8 88:6 98:4 99:16 103:18,19 104:8,23,25 105:2 111:17,19,20 112:1 121:17 142:23,24 143:16,25 145:5,13 145:18 146:25 149:13,20 150:1,7 150:10 158:24 160:13 171:25 Mary 2:2 3:14 105:24 massacre 5:18 6:13 9:20 11:14 19:19 massive 146:4,10 master 31:22 162:2 167:20,21 168:5

**match** 30:9

matched 153:24	<b>Medvin</b> 2:2 3:3,7,22	12:21 14:5 23:16,18	29:5 45:3,7 48:7	75:13
materials 105:19	3:23 4:21,25 5:7,10	23:21 24:3,21,25	49:2 50:1 52:4	microphones 23:20
mathematical 150:19	8:13,24 9:1,7,11,13	25:16,19,20 26:12	63:16,18,24 65:9,16	middle 37:17 100:7
matrix 88:9,10 135:6	13:7 15:1 17:14,25	26:14,17 27:7,10,21	66:7,18 67:11,19	midyear 55:16 57:9
135:9	18:18 19:4 21:24	28:2,12,12,22 29:8	71:19 89:3 99:22	57:22
Matt 5:4 122:14,24	22:7,18,21,25 23:5	29:14,16,17,24	102:8 121:12	million 5:17,21,24,24
matter 59:13 68:2	23:9,11 24:12,17,19	30:12,15 31:1,4,6	133:15 140:10	6:2 9:18 10:2,5,10
97:5 102:21	25:4,8,10,12,15	31:11,15,24 32:20	170:16,25 171:7	15:8 16:5,13,25
matters 13:21 14:10	30:2,20 32:1,10,14	33:3 36:13,16 37:11	170:10,23 171.7	19:14,24 20:18 21:3
23:12 38:17	34:10 36:6 37:1	37:13 39:15 40:2,8	membership 89:8	21:6,12,18 128:10
Matthew 2:17 121:24	38:15 39:19 40:14	41:4,18,25 42:4,6	memorial 16:6 20:19	143:8,17 150:2
Mayersohn 2:5 3:20	40:25 44:20,25 47:4	42:19,22 44:18 46:6	21:5	mind 13:1 72:22,23
3:21 5:8 6:22,25 7:4	48:1,24 49:1,3,5,13	50:9 51:6,8,19 52:9	mention 23:19 34:12	92:2 101:13 169:7
7:10,13,21 8:5,10	49:15,17 50:5,13,16	59:7 63:11 67:17	44:10,15 81:18	mindset 65:4
8:16,20,23 9:3 17:1	50:21,25 51:9,11,14	78:4,15 80:25,25	109:7	mine 34:25 103:16
25:6 32:14,15 40:25	53:23 55:3 58:12	81:9 83:24,25,25	mentioned 29:21	minimal 127:24
41:1 42:15,17,22,25	59:2,21 61:4,5,9,11	86:25 87:12 88:23	36:13 40:16 62:21	minimum 126:17
43:10,13,18,24	61:14,20 62:18 63:4	89:5,9 91:17 92:24	67:17 80:6 102:18	minutes 24:24 25:2
44:11,17 48:3 50:3	67:22 69:17,24 70:2	94:21 95:7,18 96:8	107:14 108:24	25:12 44:21 47:1
50:11,14,17,18	70:8,13,20 73:3,18	98:11 101:14	122:16 124:14	51:7 55:22 88:25
61:23 62:3,20 63:3	73:21,25 75:11	105:19 130:2,5	131:9 137:1 151:23	113:6
70:20,21 71:22	76:23 77:17 79:3	131:17 132:22	158:17 167:20	missing 124:7 141:6,9
72:23 74:4 87:2,5	80:21 81:7 82:24	145:10 166:4	168:8	mistake 149:21
94:7,25 95:24 96:9	84:21 85:4 86:11,16	167:18 172:7,16	<b>Meo</b> 2:3 3:12 7:9 50:2	mitigate 155:2
100:6,9 116:8,14	88:22 91:14 92:10	173:17,18	50:19,23 51:1 54:5	mitigation 152:12
117:14,20 119:8,9	92:16 93:8 94:14,18	meeting's 93:16	54:11 62:21 74:4,9	mixing 105:16
120:1,21 121:7	94:22 95:9,12,14	meetings 25:23 26:2	74:18 79:3,4 85:7	modeling 157:15
123:15,20 154:8,9	96:1,16,18 97:19	26:4 27:24,25 28:6	87:15,21 88:2,3	modification 155:20
154:10,15,19	98:20 99:6,14,20,23	28:7,9,9,16,18,19	92:14,25 93:5 97:4	156:1
169:14,17,22	99:25 100:4 102:4	28:24,25 29:10,15	106:23,24 107:17	modifications 123:2,5
Mayersohn's 9:8	103:18 105:21	29:18 30:18,21	108:24 109:9 110:1	modify 156:13
mean 7:22 15:6 32:18	106:23 111:19	31:10 32:16 33:10	110:14,17 111:6,8	moment 88:24
32:21 45:22 50:23	113:2 119:8 120:25	33:10,10 34:19	111:13,17,25 112:5	<b>Monday</b> 12:18 14:4
62:3,4 67:6 69:23	121:9,11,13,15,19	36:10,12,22 38:2,4	112:18,23 113:1	15:16 18:13 28:25
83:16 98:12,12	123:18 128:8	38:16 39:14,24 40:5	124:21 126:2 128:7	Mondays 28:22
102:6 107:25	130:17 131:18	40:18 41:17,22 45:1	138:18,20 139:17	money 6:3,5,14 8:11
110:21,21,24 112:8	132:22 137:15,18	45:4,8,11 56:14	139:23 140:1,5	10:12,17 15:24 16:4
113:15 118:3	137:25 140:7,9,11	70:25 71:1 72:16,16	156:4,6 159:18,22	16:6 20:2,5 21:13
119:17 120:3,7,11	141:14 142:23	89:14 92:8 100:11	160:14,20 161:3,8	33:21 76:12 77:8
120:21 123:20	146:21 154:9 156:5	155:5	161:17 162:12,22	85:1 88:19 129:11
124:2 127:22	156:17 163:3 164:4	meets 36:8	164:2 170:20	129:16 130:15
143:25 156:9	164:14 168:23	<b>Meloni</b> 2:13 122:7	<b>Meo's</b> 61:24 94:11	140:1 144:9,19
160:22 161:11	169:16 170:11,15	142:25 147:9,20	mere 164:21	148:17 160:2,6
meaning 158:12	170:17,19 172:9	<b>Meloni's</b> 111:21	mess 77:11 134:13	163:19 167:4
means 46:20 73:10	173:8	member 13:15,19	message 44:9	monitored 135:20
75:23 129:11	meet 32:1 36:9 37:12	16:3 18:17 33:24	met 52:11 133:14,17	136:9 137:12,14
134:15 141:22	49:21 51:1 63:13	41:17 47:12 56:18	137:1	month 13:24 24:21
143:17 144:22,23	66:24 103:16 145:5	58:14 88:5 89:15,16	method 154:2,6	26:5 37:17,17,17,19
146:8	150:11 157:2	89:23	MICHELE 2:10	41:3,6,10,19,19
mechanical 165:25	meeting 1:3 4:19,23	members 2:1 9:10	Michelle 4:12	57:1 98:13 101:10
166:13,13	10:25 11:16,17	18:19 23:8 25:9	microphone 5:3	101:12 106:20

127:22 132:19 143:8,20 148:21 159:16,20,21 162:3 monthly 123:7 127:2 127:3,5,12,19 130:23,24 140:25 143:5 152:3 159:11 161:22 163:13 months 47:15 98:14 102:21 104:9 142:17 158:7 moot 136:12 **Moquin** 106:12,18 morning 3:3 4:8 29:19 32:2 58:25 89:2,3 122:10,10,14 124:22 **motion** 5:8 7:1,6,15 7:18,20,22,23 8:1,2 8:4,16,18,22 9:2,9 9:13,14,15,25 10:20 13:24 17:13 19:9,12 22:19 23:11 25:4 44:23 45:15,16 46:23 48:9,23 49:5 49:8 50:6 61:18 69:4,5,8 72:21,25 73:4,5 76:9,25 77:1 80:19,23 81:2 83:5 86:18 87:2,14,17,23 88:21,21 92:12,15 93:20 94:18 95:15 95:19,21 96:1,3,13 96:17,20,22,25 97:5 97:9,10 98:8,8,10 98:22,24 99:7,25 121:1,4,15 132:18 133:1 134:19 136:11 137:5,9,11 140:11 149:9 168:19 169:6,15 170:13,19,21,24 171:5,21 172:16 173:6,12,14 Motiwala 145:6 move 7:25 26:4 30:1 30:11 36:15 47:3,24 49:11 58:23 61:15 61:16 93:22 96:12 136:15 139:13 140:5 165:17

168:16 172:10,25
moved 25:6 62:7
121:7
moves 96:11,12
moving 29:21,22,25
60:11 130:18
140:12 155:7 172:9
MPU 123:12 152:16
MPUs 152:4,6,8,13
MSD 5:16 6:13,15
9:20 10:2,18 16:19
19:19 20:6
multiple 12:4 31:2
157:3,14
mushroom 85:8

N **Nakia** 2:9 4:14 name 5:3 105:9 119:14 **names** 50:4 narcolepsy 124:24 narratives 152:9 narrow 34:18 104:17 163:1 narrowed 86:2,19 **Nathalie** 2:4 3:18 nature 104:15 108:20 109:13 necessarily 27:7 32:16 necessary 31:5 48:17 77:19 80:17 98:3 137:10.12 154:5 **need** 4:22 5:25 6:15 11:15 24:3 26:17,20 27:13,16 37:22 38:1 38:13 40:1,10,11 41:12 43:17 44:23 45:6,10 46:9,15,21 46:22 47:3,11,20,24 49:9 61:7,17 64:11 66:1,12 67:1 68:21 68:21 73:1 75:21 76:2,5 77:2,3,6 79:5 79:16,25 82:5 83:18 87:11 94:5,15 98:6 98:19 100:15.17 101:14,25 102:5,22 112:16 121:5 128:17 129:13

130:3,4 134:19 139:5 143:5 144:2 145:20,23 146:2 149:9 170:21 needed 26:25 28:5 33:15 57:16 61:18 136:19 needs 5:21 6:1 7:15 28:13 55:17,18 57:13 72:9 76:13 78:10 80:1,23 111:1 129:17,21,22,23 139:12 146:5 149:5 149:6 **negotiate** 32:23,24 never 38:8,16 39:21 57:11 62:11 129:13 143:20,24 156:10 156:10 new 5:19 6:7 10:5 15:18 16:25 24:5 49:19,20 70:6,22 81:8 83:14 84:6,8 85:17 117:17 118:18 119:2 140:21 144:16 151:5,9 153:6,8,15 153:22 166:25 newspaper 43:5,8,20 nice 36:23 night 58:25 **nine** 122:2,16 125:2 126:3 **nodded** 147:8 nominated 49:24 nominating 30:14 49:18 51:3,5 non-BCS 54:23 **non-M/WBE** 132:10 136:17 non-renewed 55:10 58:24 non-S/M/WBE 133:5 noon 58:25 normally 32:1 **north** 32:6,8,9 165:21 165:22 **Notary** 174:4 **note** 29:20 47:24

**noted** 36:5 38:14 62:1 62:2 82:2 122:3 124:11 130:25 131:3 141:5 150:20 152:4,18 155:22 notes 31:20 34:2 118:25 174:9 notice 36:21 51:2 155:12 159:18 **noticed** 51:6.12 notices 159:13,19 **notification** 42:11,12 126:16 140:22 notifications 151:19 notifying 159:7 notorious 156:9 **November** 26:9,10 28:2 29:22 36:8,16 36:20 42:6 NTP 155:12 **null** 132:15 **number** 7:17 8:8.8 10:24 11:2,3 38:15 43:20 47:15 51:15 52:1 58:18 70:22 75:19,20 101:7,8 102:14 114:3,5,13 115:3,4 116:1,5 117:9,21,23,25 119:15 121:19,21 123:2 128:13,16,20 128:22 130:19 133:6.14 134:21 137:20,24 140:13 140:25 142:12 146:7 150:15,23 151:8 152:3 153:2,6 155:8,14 162:5 numbering 119:20 **numbers** 15:7 115:12 134:3,7 158:4 numerous 12:2 157:25 0 observation 123:1,3

Observation 123:1,3 123:8,14,22 130:18 130:20 140:12,14 140:23 150:15,22 150:23 151:23 152:3 153:1,2,4,6

155:7,8 156:6 observations 123:1 125:1 140:25 152:4 **obviously** 41:2 46:8 71:16 93:10 94:11 120:7,9 123:22 124:18 158:24 166:24 172:17 **occasions** 31:2,18 occur 25:23 109:6 occurred 63:10 occurring 28:21 163:12 occurs 27:10 **OCP** 131:5 **October** 131:25 163:14 offending 91:2,4 **office** 2:7 4:7,10,12 4:14,16 31:23 54:12 78:17 79:14,19 88:7 126:12 143:3 147:4 161:6 **Officer** 2:13 88:7 officers 49:20 offices 49:25 152:23 152:24 official 51:1,6,8 140:16 officially 43:9 oftentimes 65:24 **oh** 12:12 21:15 30:3 45:21 51:13 111:13 130:3,7 146:7 159:20 171:12 173:12 **okay** 4:25 5:7,10 7:13 8:14,17,20 9:2,13 9:24 10:23 11:21 13:8 14:11 23:3 24:12,17,19 32:14 36:3 40:9 42:17,21 43:11 44:20 47:17 48:16 49:15,17 50:10 51:14 59:23 60:23 61:14,22 63:4 67:22 69:11 72:24 77:18 82:25 83:13 85:22 86:3 87:11,14 92:16,18 93:6 95:16 99:6 100:6 102:4

122:19 123:11

151:7 154:3

111:25 112:9 113:1	155:25 158:1 159:1	115:16,17,23,25	171:17,21	135:12,19 140:20
116:2,21 119:7	162:6 164:22	116:5,5,14,20 117:8	passes 23:23 24:2,4,5	150:17
131:19 137:4 138:2	168:10	117:9,9,23,24	170:19	periodic 121:21
138:5,15 139:10,14	orders 112:3 123:4	118:16 119:3,11	paths 74:24	permit 166:15
140:5 159:22	134:11 151:8,10,12	122:25 130:19	pattern 144:14	persists 90:24
165:19 171:12	151:25 153:21	140:13 141:1	pause 88:23	person 55:10,13
172:13	155:19	148:15,15 150:15	paused 89:9	56:24 57:17,24
old 5:21 24:4 50:23	organization 37:9	152:2 153:4 155:7	pay 16:11 21:1 80:15	58:25 59:24 60:3
116:11,25 117:6	103:8 112:20	pages 150:14	104:19 123:7 127:4	64:6 71:14 86:21
118:16	128:16 148:13	paid 22:6 105:5,8,10	130:14 144:11,12	134:6 143:22
Omar 143:4	150:4,5	pandemic 52:23	148:15 163:23	146:13 153:23
omission 162:9	organizational 64:3	paper 21:2 40:17	164:9,10 167:3	personally 33:19
once 14:16 31:17	organizations 103:16	116:23 126:13	paying 127:25 128:2	102:23
52:9 98:13 119:22	112:14	146:24 147:2,3,22	129:8 141:22	personnel 26:7
129:12 151:25	original 98:24 132:19	148:12,24	payment 16:8 128:12	140:15
one's 91:20	134:14 156:2	paperwork 10:4	128:15,21 141:15	persons 60:9
ones 68:11 79:22	OSBR 126:12	127:24 130:11	146:7 165:15	perspective 71:23
108:25 122:20	OSBR's 126:12	139:20	payments 18:2	119:17 153:19
ongoing 86:3	ourself 40:12	parameters 66:18	146:17	pertains 61:10
open 9:1 46:19 122:3	outline 90:20	69:9 98:9	payouts 127:5	114:11
122:20 123:14,23	outlined 90:23	paramount 133:3	payroll 76:4 78:13	Peter 4:3
126:5 144:5 151:23	outside 38:5 61:9	parents 89:23	<b>PCG</b> 82:4 114:20	phase 113:24 118:3
155:2	68:21 73:6,11 75:1	parking 23:23,24	<b>PCO</b> 151:6,16	118:12 135:6,9
open-ended 46:3	86:1	24:2,4,5	peace 90:6,22	152:7,15 161:12
opened 86:8	outsider 66:20,23	part 5:13,16 6:9	peer 64:15,16	phases 159:1
operation 131:22	outsiders 23:17	16:13 17:13 32:18	penalties 156:7	<b>phone</b> 130:6
operationally 25:21	outstanding 52:5,15	33:8 38:9 41:20	pending 72:17 158:15	<b>Phyllis</b> 2:5 3:24 5:9
Operations 2:13 4:9	53:3 82:12	42:9 44:15,15 70:9	penny 143:21	41:8 83:6 146:1
<b>opinion</b> 10:17 11:12	overall 135:12,19	73:19 85:18 93:14	<b>people</b> 14:13 39:23	170:11
20:4 91:20 93:8	151:20	101:6 107:9 109:8	41:5 42:5 44:5	Phyllis's 47:25
124:4 159:10	overbillings 141:12	112:24 113:4,19	58:18 65:3,19 80:6	<b>physical</b> 38:21 45:7
170:10	overruns 65:24	131:15 141:3,10	85:1 92:13 93:1	110:21
opportunities 45:4,6	Oversight 161:23	150:20 154:14	97:23 100:24	pick 92:11 146:6
opportunity 128:24	owner 157:24	155:5 162:9,10	127:25 133:15	<b>picked</b> 93:16
opposed 9:11 23:9	Owner's 121:22	partially 138:7 153:5	146:4 166:17	piece 64:8
25:10 49:3 72:11	Oxley 74:6 80:2	169:24	perceive 162:13,15	piggyback 61:24
94:9 99:23 120:23	88:14	participate 90:15	163:8	79:18 105:24
120:24 121:13		participating 89:24	<b>perceived</b> 162:15,22	164:24
170:17,19	P	90:18	162:23	<b>pile</b> 40:19
opposite 37:12	<b>p.m</b> 1:15 173:18	participation 132:11	percent 133:3,19	<b>pivot</b> 54:20
optimal 41:16	package 28:9 123:7	<b>particular</b> 26:12 53:9	134:5,8 141:18	<b>place</b> 5:18 11:14
optimistic 83:15	141:7 143:18	71:17 82:6 89:18	145:2,7 149:3,6	14:12 32:20 37:4,15
<b>option</b> 33:2,4	153:14	108:22	157:23 158:10	39:15 70:7 84:5
<b>order</b> 9:4 58:13 64:11	packages 26:8,22	particulars 97:2	162:5 164:19 168:8	100:22 126:22
66:17 76:7 98:18	150:18	parties 99:17	percentage 164:20	128:11 129:9
103:16 106:5	packets 22:2	party 91:2,4 103:23	perform 65:16,20	141:20 142:7,15,19
107:15 125:11,13	packing 173:3	pass 13:23 23:24	151:24	142:21 145:7
126:10,10 133:5	page 51:23,23 52:1,2	93:25 96:3,25	performed 141:18	places 31:17 39:13
134:3,7 150:15,18	53:8 106:25 107:1,4	134:19	152:14	plan 34:23 35:2 38:3
150:23,25 151:2,6	107:6 113:6 114:4	passed 19:19 132:17	period 123:10 124:1	38:3 58:17,20 63:4
151:20 153:3,7,12	114:12 115:5,7,11	133:1 155:18	128:23 130:24	63:7,9 64:3,12 66:4
			l	

66:13,16,18 67:12
67:15,16 71:4,19
72:4 73:19,25 79:2
81:12 82:14 85:11
85:13,24 86:10
93:25 100:13 105:4
105:23 107:2,7,9,13
113:12,17,18
114:10 115:2,9,11
115:20,21,22,24
116:18,23 117:17
118:23 119:2,10
120:12,12 121:4
132:8,20,21 133:2
133:10,21 134:23
134:24 135:10,16
136:14,21 137:7 155:4
<b>planned</b> 5:22 11:14 76:14 152:12
planning 29:1 38:23
78:22
plans 34:15 70:25
71:6 85:19 134:15
platform 43:9,21
please 3:3,7 9:22
11:22 14:25 15:1
17:13 19:3 20:20,21
20:25 23:6,20 45:13
58:10 86:15 87:20
88:22 92:17 93:9
94:17 98:22 99:7
114:3 115:4,10,25
156:15,17 169:16
<b>pledge</b> 3:4,5
Plus 44:1
PM/OR 125:21
130:19 140:15,21
140:25
PMs 152:5,10
<b>point</b> 4:18 18:9 35:20
39:20,21 40:10
46:18 47:5,25 49:7
50:6 55:2 68:18
73:4 78:14 83:1,10
84:5 86:3 97:25
105:4 110:6 115:18
128:3 132:17
135:23 136:8
145:25 148:2 149:2
151:3 157:6 160:5

164:23 165:6 166:6 171:18 172:12 pointed 79:23 points 63:20 93:20 131:2 143:2 172:19 policies 64:21 77:23 78:16 112:15,22 169:8
policy 27:23 82:22 89:12,15,18,21,22 90:3,20 91:3,7,12 121:6 171:19 position 10:16 20:3 92:7 96:6 positions 65:22 positive 90:2 151:21 possession 17:17,18
17:20 21:13 possibility 33:23 47:13 possible 45:17 95:19 99:10 post-impact 156:23 potential 68:25 90:6
90:9 109:21 111:9 potentially 169:18 PPO 82:13 113:12,18 113:22 114:1,15,21 practices 64:23 78:7 78:23 145:7 149:23 150:12
pre-impact 156:23 preparation 14:5 32:22 prepared 16:2 26:23 121:23 preparing 28:25 prescriptive 104:14
present 121:5 122:8 presentation 98:4 presentations 99:15 171:2 presented 26:11 46:16 49:24 51:25
53:6,10 66:5 108:16 119:12 131:6 presumably 133:11 155:24 presume 107:8 pretty 100:16 103:3

122:19 131:20

previous 28:17 108:25 151:14
previously 134:25
136:22 153:10
principal 54:24 62:7
principals 62:25 76:4
printed 153:13
printing 33:21
<b>prior</b> 50:8 107:21,22
108:7 116:4,9,10,19
116:23,24 117:3
118:15,21 122:2,16
122:25 123:1 129:2
130:18 140:12,25
150:15,23 152:2
153:2,4 155:7
167:23
priorities 72:8 108:3
prioritized 63:18
<b>priority</b> 39:11 72:7 88:11 108:1 109:21
120:13
privileged 40:21
privy 109:12
<b>Pro-Tem</b> 50:12,14,21
<b>probably</b> 69:21 81:15
85:9 166:6
<b>problem</b> 31:17 36:19
40:7 75:12,14 77:1
79:20 80:7,15
136:10 145:4
149:19 162:13,16
162:24 163:2,8
164:16 165:14,25
problematic 36:14
78:15 142:2
<b>problems</b> 84:8 106:8
<b>Procedurally</b> 8:21,23 <b>procedures</b> 57:7
64:21 77:22 78:10
112:16 169:8
proceed 9:9 155:12
proceedings 3:1
174:7
process 2:15 6:21
24:1,7 27:1 30:7,17
43:19 44:1 45:14
57:2,12,21 66:6
67:12,15 93:2
100:22 101:4
102:17,17 109:4

110:19 111:12,16 112:3 113:7 120:24 123:12 126:11.11 129:6 141:20 142:5 142:6,19,21 143:23 144:20,21 145:17 146:9 149:10 150:24 151:9,21 152:1 153:15,22 154:14,21 156:19 157:17 158:1 159:2 160:1,9 161:5 163:17 167:1 processed 63:14 **processes** 14:12 69:2 126:13,21 128:11 145:10 151:6 153:8 157:19 processing 151:1 procurement 2:14,15 76:3 77:5,7,9 83:8 84:2.17 85:1 86:19 92:21 95:5 97:3 98:23 99:12 113:11 113:16 114:9,10,24 115:6 **produced** 116:13 professionals 154:3 proficiency 65:8 program 13:10 22:1 22:9 82:10 111:22 121:22 130:24 131:23 132:1 133:6 134:4,6 135:12,19 136:16,20 139:22 139:23 140:16 159:3 164:1 programs 35:24 122:7 progress 62:17 83:25 115:20 122:19 152:7 155:23 progresses 38:25 progressing 127:7 159:9 **prohibited** 90:13,19 prohibits 90:12 **project** 22:10,13 65:24 114:3,13,14 115:12,25 117:21 117:23,25 119:14

119:15 123:6 125:14,15 128:10 143:16 144:4 152:3 152:7,17 155:13 156:10 157:8,23 161:12,16 **projected** 135:11,18 projecting 120:2 **projects** 114:5 133:7 134:1 135:11,18 144:5,9,16,17 155:16,17 156:8 157:6 158:25 159:1 159:5 160:19 161:20 162:1,4 164:18 166:7,10,22 167:23 168:7 **prone** 124:23 **proper** 22:5 82:22 128:23 150:18 **properly** 76:14,18 109:22 **property** 89:25 90:18 91:6 113:5 172:1 proposal 30:13 120:15 propose 137:4 proposed 25:16 29:12,12 45:13,20 86:9 134:2 protect 125:5 **Protection** 120:3 **provide** 15:16 19:16 22:3 23:17 26:13 28:5 51:19 64:18,18 68:13 76:2 135:16 137:6 141:9 154:15 154:17 157:4 161:24 165:15 **provided** 5:17 6:12 9:19 10:4 15:9 18:22 19:14,17,22 63:15 64:20 67:19 110:2,3 118:25 123:7 129:24 130:22 131:1 141:8 150:24 152:5,10,12 154:24 159:14 169:7 **provides** 27:10 63:1 128:23 157:5

record 17:16 112:1 remainder 6:11 providing 9:17 124:6,21 134:18 readily 15:6 18:3,8 125:16,20 130:15 remaining 10:16 137:19 140:6 21:4 129:3 174:8 records 11:25 12:3 16:13 20:4 133:7 135:9 141:13 144:8 156:4 **reading** 62:12 107:2 124:24 170:24 **PTO** 154:18 164:3,6,10,23 12:15 13:3,11,12,16 **public** 5:5,16 6:1 10:2 165:20 167:12 reaffirms 104:10 13:22 14:15,21 remember 66:17 71:4 10:2 11:24,25 12:2 **questions** 6:17 25:1 real 94:5 165:25 18:13 22:9 34:5,5 92:20 107:15 32:19 53:22 56:20 111:14 132:5 12:15 13:2,10,12,16 reality 13:23 39:7 100:18 101:3 102:6 13:22 14:14,20 57:4 59:5 60:6,9 really 16:15 36:1 102:16,17,19,25 **remind** 59:12 18:13 19:19 24:13 61:1 79:1 100:14 38:1 54:4 55:1 71:5 recovering 163:20 reminders 151:19 **recruitment** 78:6,12 34:4,5 89:13,14,24 101:18 105:7,23 80:2 83:20 98:6 **removal** 140:19 100:17,24 101:3 107:4 110:17 99:3 100:14,14,15 reevaluated 68:21 **remove** 131:7 102:6,11,16,17,19 121:18 122:23 100:21 101:15 referral 16:1 removed 119:24 168:6 174:5 140:4 169:1,2 103:12 139:19 referring 114:14 140:24 135:14 169:20 **pull** 13:9 16:1 18:6 quick 121:16 130:6 142:14,14 160:21 **renew** 55:8 refers 107:22 108:8 168:12 142:9,13 167:11 169:2 renewals 136:13 **purpose** 57:3 133:3 quickly 15:23 20:13 refine 126:21 realtime 43:2 renewed 132:9 purposes 133:7 29:11 reappointment 59:9 reflect 125:15 repairs 112:3 quite 142:2 144:15 **pursue** 84:2 100:15 reason 13:1 85:25 reflected 150:17 **repeat** 50:4 73:7.8 **push** 95:15 150:14 127:8 129:7 139:17 reflective 152:17 78:20 87:22 92:16 regard 126:24 **pushing** 129:20 quorum 7:24 8:1 40:8 146:5 156:14 162:8 172:20 put 21:19 23:1 28:18 42:4,8 45:7 47:19 170:8 regarding 23:14 25:1 repetitions 78:2 47:20 30:12 32:6 59:9 40:12 47:11 70:6 reasonable 12:9.12 report 18:4 26:19 76:6 81:1 96:22 **quote** 62:6 12:14,17 64:19 60:6 63:8 67:5 37:6,21 48:6 52:16 98:2,10 99:8 101:13 quoting 90:3 reasons 61:6 109:1 94:19 114:19 135:2 53:5,25 54:2,6,13 54:13 56:13,22 103:12 106:20 161:21 172:19 R regardless 83:17 119:23 120:15 Rebecca 3:11 57:15 58:6 60:2,4 **R** 1:21 174:4,14 **rebuild** 5:17 70:1 126:21 128:11 136:18 60:19 61:20 62:18 **RACI** 135:8 rebuilding 80:14 142:6.19 145:7 **regular** 30:15 37:23 67:18 70:9 71:11 **Radcliff** 2:10 4:10,10 recall 63:8 68:11 82:3 171:22 108:10 112:7 107:1 121:25 122:8 23:24 24:6 putting 96:3 129:9 106:4.17 114:22 regulatory 27:3,17 122:25 123:9,14,18 rain 63:10 135:4 142:5 119:21 153:10 reimbursable 21:25 127:13 132:3 135:7 raised 35:17 101:13 reimburse 21:14 receive 9:16,25 19:13 137:5,22 138:18,20 0 raising 36:2 22:1 33:25 128:12 reimbursed 21:20 139:3.6.7.9.12.12 **O2** 139:14 **ramp** 44:6 128:20 130:12 reimbursement 22:1 140:13 141:1 **O3** 139:15 ran 151:13 147:22 157:2 reinvent 101:25 150:24 151:5,14 **qualified** 58:17 65:20 **random** 155:15 received 55:11,17 reject 128:22 129:4 152:4,19 153:11 111:7 range 107:6 119:10 recited 3:6 rejected 166:17 154:4,14 155:6 quality 60:19 157:16 rank 88:10 **recognize** 6:18 7:8 relate 56:21 158:13 161:22 158:14 ranked 55:13 recognized 22:25 **related** 6:15 22:10 162:3 163:4,6,13 **Quarter** 123:25,25 rate 142:11 111:20 133:23 55:19 56:18 57:7 166:12 169:4,9,17 quarterly 135:7,8,15 rates 148:3 recommend 44:24 102:12 130:21 172:1 174:7 136:14 137:5 **RAUL** 2:21 149:8 161:3 131:20 140:14 **reported** 52:6 151:1 question 6:20 15:4 re-audit 107:21 108:7 recommendation 152:5 160:24 152:7,15 162:14 17:5 23:3 33:2 109:5 45:12 72:6 161:1 relates 123:3 125:9 reporter 1:21,21 2:19 55:25 56:3.4.5 re-auditing 109:2 recommendations 155:8 12:8 174:4,14 57:19 58:9 59:23 reach 153:25 154:10 68:1,4 69:25 74:15 release 160:3 **REPORTER'S** 174:1 60:3 71:2 72:25 reach-out 154:12 78:1,19 81:21 88:8 relevant 132:7 **reporting** 1:22 2:19 94:16 96:20 99:4.5 reaching 90:8 recommended 10:21 reliable 64:20 48:4 71:7,23 75:17 100:20 101:17 read 19:9,21 62:11 recommending 96:5 relish 164:17 130:20,21 131:14 108:13 114:2 118:2 101:16 105:4 135:5 98:17 remain 29:22 122:20 138:3,3,5,8 157:16 118:14 123:21 148:6 reconsider 108:3 123:14 163:4

	I		I	
reports 13:9 26:25	92:21	93:24 95:4 101:3	<b>roofing</b> 166:15	132:1
27:3 46:15 55:20	resources 63:19	111:12 113:20	<b>room</b> 1:10 4:4 14:2	saved 157:19
72:17 81:19 82:13	64:23 65:23 86:20	142:7 143:2,23	25:22,23 27:25 33:3	saving 158:2
121:23 124:24	98:24 99:13,14	146:16 148:23,25	44:12 55:6 107:13	saw 113:10 114:8
127:14 130:23,24	103:13 107:18	149:7,10 150:12,16	149:4	151:21
139:18 140:17	144:3,7 145:21,23	154:4,25 157:18	rooms 26:1 44:18	saying 14:19 23:2
161:23,23 163:13	145:24 152:20,23	158:16,21	45:14	42:18 60:20 62:20
Representative	152:25	reviewed 47:14 64:16	rotating 88:15	70:14 83:9,9 85:23
121:23	respect 6:20 7:21	93:11 130:23	round 35:14 151:25	94:2 96:24 97:21
request 4:22 7:15	11:11 23:19,23	132:19 134:25	rounding 149:4	98:16,22 105:24
10:7 11:25 12:15	89:12,21	136:15 141:2,16	<b>RSM</b> 2:17,18 5:2,4	110:15 112:2 113:7
13:3,11,16,22 14:21	respectful 91:9	145:8 151:11 153:8	64:8 80:9 82:11	116:21 117:6 145:2
17:12 18:13 34:5	respond 7:19,19 15:2	reviewing 7:5 133:12	121:19,23 122:8,15	148:13
46:22 100:24 102:7	15:22 100:19 126:1	141:25 142:17	126:22 132:5	says 15:12 72:4 107:6
102:17,19 165:16	154:16	reviews 143:3,4	134:25 135:3 136:3	115:5 117:1 118:3
requested 53:20	responded 14:23	revise 138:20	137:1,5 138:9	146:11 155:12
162:7 163:22	100:18	<b>RFQ</b> 130:22	167:21	169:24,25
171:23,24	<b>responding</b> 60:8 61:2	rid 79:15	<b>RSM's</b> 123:23	scanned 153:13
requesting 102:8,11	response 3:13,15,17	riddled 165:11	rules 51:4	scathing 113:19
102:25	9:12 23:10 25:3,11	ridiculous 98:25	run 27:12 60:16	schedule 27:22 29:15
requests 12:3 13:13	48:25 49:4 59:17,18	<b>Riggs</b> 113:25,25	87:12 134:6 142:9	34:25 35:3,23 36:11
14:15 63:17 100:18	99:24 101:9,12	114:18	<b>Runcie</b> 54:8,16	36:14 37:14,15
158:8	106:13,17 113:19	<b>right</b> 7:4 8:20 12:6,15	running 30:23 84:10	38:10 39:15 45:20
<b>require</b> 19:4 66:1	114:17 121:10	12:19 16:1,12 19:4	136:20	123:3,6,13 125:10
97:8 109:5 121:1	123:11 131:3 140:8	21:15,22 27:18	<b>Ruth</b> 2:3 3:8 77:12	125:14,16,23
156:21 170:23	141:11 154:24	39:17 40:20 51:15		126:19 127:2,3,21
171:2	155:22	52:10 58:18 61:11	<u>S</u>	156:1 157:7 162:2
required 50:6 55:16	responses 62:9	61:14 63:3 69:23	safe 129:18	167:3,20,21 168:5
58:19 132:21	124:11	70:11 71:22 83:19	safeguarding 64:22	<b>scheduled</b> 49:22 51:9
157:14 159:9	responsibilities 63:17	99:6 105:9 111:10	safety 5:16 10:2	63:11 167:23
requirement 52:11	responsibility 71:25	112:9 113:8 117:19	19:20 67:7	scheduler 152:22
136:2,8,9 137:6	82:21 88:4 146:19	119:5 120:1,19	sake 141:5	schedulers 166:16
138:4,6 156:22	158:19 161:25	129:3 132:22	sake-wise 32:21	schedules 124:12
requirements 42:11	responsible 80:13	138:19,22 140:2	salvage 131:22	125:12,20 126:24
42:13 62:13 103:14	97:11 99:16 129:14	143:23,24 146:3	salvaging 131:24	127:14 155:10
130:20,21 131:8	157:9,23 161:9	156:9 161:25	sample 150:17 152:9	156:23,24 168:4
138:3,8 156:24	162:23 163:23	164:15 166:8 167:1	155:15	scheduling 66:25
157:2	164:11,12	167:25	sampled 140:23	72:15 152:21,24
requires 58:16 66:20	rest 170:22 171:18	Riley 54:17	155:17	school 1:2 5:18 6:14
rescuing 131:24	172:14,21,23	rise 3:4 167:8	samples 123:9 124:1	11:17 18:21,23,24
research 53:3 157:15	Restore 22:12	risk 2:17,18 63:2 88:9	124:8 155:24	20:11 22:11 25:17
reserve 26:1 103:10	resubmission 158:11	88:10,11,12 105:11	<b>sampling</b> 141:19	25:20 27:22 34:17
103:10	resubmissions 157:3	109:21 112:23,23	<b>Sarbanes</b> 74:6 80:2 88:13	38:7,12,12 40:6
reserves 104:5	result 91:25 155:24	120:13 125:12,19		51:20 52:7,9 53:17
reserving 45:14	160:23	127:23 152:12	sat 83:11 117:16	54:22 62:8 80:3
reset 167:24	results 80:11 158:9	risks 112:15 152:11	satellite 152:23	84:13 89:24 90:19
resign 65:14	retainment 78:7	road 13:18 76:1	satisfied 154:21 satisfies 84:18	91:3,7 107:7 112:6
resolve 85:15	return 24:1,4 26:21	Robert 2:5 3:20		117:11,22 119:11
resolved 163:2	revenue 112:8	40:16	satisfy 88:3 satisfying 39:22	121:6
164:22	review 47:10 55:16	roll 3:7,8 6:19	saustying 39.22 save 113:23 116:22	schools 2:12 10:19
resource 71:16,21	57:9,22 64:15 69:19	roof 159:3 165:24	Save 113.23 110.22	20:7 27:23 51:24
	l	l	l	I

52:18,21 53:9,12,13
53:14 79:12 89:13
89:14 113:20
115:12 133:4
167:14,16
scope 14:8 61:25
62:15 69:18 70:13
74:11,13 119:18
132:6 134:20
135:21 137:8
scratch 79:9,10
<b>SDA</b> 106:12
<b>SE</b> 1:11
second 5:9 6:23 8:16
10:20 22:18,20 25:7
28:3 30:4 41:9
45:23 68:18 69:4
87:2 103:7 121:8
137:15,16 168:20
170:13 171:4
secondary 39:11
<b>seconded</b> 22:21 73:6
73:15 92:13 173:2
Secondly 84:25
Secretary 2:10
section 7:18 25:18
74:6 123:1,9
sections 143:9
secure 25:22
security 67:5,7,24
107:19,20,24 108:1
•
108:2,14,14
see 6:4,6 12:16 13:6
16:3 18:7 21:7,8,10
31:20 51:25 53:25
62:9 64:19 76:16
77:25 81:10 82:9
83:21,24 106:11,13
111:13,17 113:16
115:5,17 122:1,12
123:8 129:13 130:2
130:6 139:6 145:23
146:16 159:25
169:23 170:7
171:18
seeing 106:4,17
<u> </u>
124:20 139:8 169:4
seen 54:3 66:6,7
82:13 90:10 140:17
82:13 90:10 140:17 147:4,6 156:10
82:13 90:10 140:17

select 88:15
selected 152:8 155:15
self 101:17,19,21
103:8,13,17,23
104:13 105:6
self-evaluation 56:12
self-preservation 58:23
send 26:7 128:24
129:1,4,5,22 130:7
138:20 149:20
159:18 172:4
sending 32:12 76:1
76:19 106:22
Senior 2:9 19:3
sense 44:13 97:2
sensitive 14:7 109:13
sent 22:2,4 101:8,16
106:11,16 171:20
separate 9:2 69:20
70:15,18 73:18 85:5
94:23 104:10
105:12
<b>September</b> 11:1,12
11:17 29:24 30:1
41:24 151:5
sequence 63:8
series 156:22 160:23
serve 23:16
Service 1:22
services 2:14,15,18
134:20 135:22
137:8 163:22
sessions 29:2
set 10:24 11:1 16:24
26:17 38:2 45:10
46:5 94:9 168:4
settings 90:4
settlement 82:8
seven 42:12,14,15
141:2
<b>seventh</b> 107:19
<b>shame</b> 129:10
<b>shape</b> 101:2
<b>share</b> 132:25 143:5
163:11 164:7
Shaw 2:5 3:24,25
4:21,22 5:9 8:2,18
10:20,24 12:1 21:24
21:25 22:20,21
36:18,25 37:2 44:20

44:21 45:23 46:2,7
46:17 48:15,18,22
50:2 68:6,7 75:11
75:12,14 77:1 86:14
86:16,17 87:1,3,7
87:13,20 92:12,18
94:17,20 95:1 97:24
98:12,21 99:13,19
102:4,5 103:7,20
104:4,6,7,15 115:16
116:17 120:25
121:1,8 128:8,9
139:5 141:13,14,15
141:20 143:16
144:1,8,20 145:8
146:2 147:12,15
148:2,14 149:18,21
149:25 150:3,9
164:14,15 167:5
168:20,24 170:14
*
170:21 171:13
172:21 173:2,6,11
Shaw's 20:8 48:10
she'd 124:17
sheet 11:8
sheets 143:12
Shelley 2:13 122:7
147:7
Shelley's 161:5
shift 31:14
Shim 143:4 149:15
short-staffed 144:21
shotgun 74:20 75:5
_
show 127:20 157:9
showing 10:14 159:8
shows 11:5,8
side 128:6 165:21,22
sign 43:24 104:4
129:5 147:24 148:9
signature 153:16,18
153:24 154:2,7
signatures 153:3,9
signed 153:13,23
significance 124:25
125:3
significant 65:18,25
66:15 109:7 125:19
126:3 139:20,21
signing 70:23 145:1
signs 143:1
similar 88:12 103:16

145:16 151:13
simple 100:16 103:3
118:14 165:17
simply 138:13 144:6
simultaneously 86:22
single 26:18 27:2
30:23 34:15 37:8
143:8,14 153:14
160:16
sir 44:19 49:16 51:10
71:21 169:21
sit 46:4
Site 22:12
sitting 33:1
situation 40:4
situations 82:6 90:5
six 104:9 122:2
126:17 131:7 158:7
size 147:9
skilled 111:8
Skipping 150:14
152:2
slate 49:23,23
sleep 125:8 143:19
slower 9:22 10:11
20:20
small 16:4 149:24
150:3
smaller 109:17
SMART 121:22
130:23 131:23
Smiley 2:12 88:24
89:1,2,4 91:14,15
92:3,5,9 160:12
smiling 54:1
smoothly 84:10
snapshot 104:17
solid 26:10,24
solution 95:19
solve 85:20
somebody 14:2 42:3
57:13 62:12 65:14
72:13 120:8 170:4
soon 70:19
<b>SOP</b> 146:5,9 150:16
SOPs 150:20
sorry 12:12,12,13
17:15 21:7 45:21,22
46:17 62:11 68:8
75:14 77:16 99:1
101:16 130:14

sort 40:18,22 44:2 72:10 **sorting** 54:22 **sound** 100:22 **sounds** 50:21 south 1:22 32:9 **space** 28:7 46:19 68:2 143:19 speak 32:13 108:12 158:22 **speakers** 5:6 24:13 speaking 102:16 103:4,5 105:3,15 **speaks** 56:23 **Spec** 2:10 **special** 33:3 35:24 40:2,7 42:19,22 45:4 69:20 80:25 **specific** 10:18 20:6 42:1 59:11 60:1 74:1,21 80:10 93:8 95:5 98:9 115:6 specifically 90:3 102:16 106:15 127:13 specifics 122:22 specified 155:10 speed 92:17 93:7 159:2 **spend** 21:13 46:19,20 49:10 63:22 84:14 125:7 **spends** 33:21 **spent** 5:24 6:3,4,5,14 6:15 10:5,9,13,14 10:15 11:5,9 15:8 15:13 20:2,3,9 44:21 **spoke** 126:22 **spoken** 135:3 sponsored 89:24 90:19 spot-checking 148:5 148:9 spreadsheet 11:4 12:10,25 13:5 14:23 15:11,12 20:7,8 100:17 142:9 148:11 spreadsheets 169:11 **spring** 27:15 35:7,22

124:10 129:13 38:11 stated 21:4 68:18 70:4,11,17 73:5,8 136:2 90:13 93:12 103:20 squeezes 29:23 73:10,14,20,24 74:6 suggesting 57:15 132:12 137:10 stack 148:24 104:3 74:13.22 79:23 83:4 73:22 76:24 142:22 144:11,24 **Stadiums** 110:19 statement 49:14 85:22,23 87:10,20 suggestion 11:24 145:6 146:17 **staff** 2:7.11 16:3 131:20 97:8.22 102:18 39:10 47:11 69:6 152:16 154:1,5 statements 76:16 26:21 28:5,24 31:21 Strauss's 7:22 9:6 93:22 97:4 134:25 159:2 160:11 36:12 64:6 66:4 165:16 78:14 87:6,7 136:4 **surplus** 113:5 171:20 133:6,15 136:5 **statistics** 62:22,23 streaming 23:22 suggestions 79:21 surprised 35:9 142:11 143:2,8,13 status 55:10 78:19 **strictly** 160:16 **suits** 80:1 suspect 165:1 **structure** 71:8 119:3 143:14 144:3 123:8 135:11,18 Sullivan 43:17 synergies 72:11 147:23,25 148:1 152:17 161:10 stuck 146:23 Sullivan's 31:23 synthesized 143:12 staffing 64:2 132:8,20 **statute** 129:10 **student** 108:17 summaries 110:25 system 18:6 73:23 132:20 133:2,10,21 **statutory** 104:14 students 75:10 90:8 **summary** 51:15 79:11 108:17 134:15,23,24 stay 171:1 sub-consultant's 61:21 116:19 140:14 147:22 134:7 169:12 135:10,16 136:13 steady 140:17  $\mathbf{T}$ 136:21 137:7 stenographic 1:21 sub-consultants summer 35:15 81:1 table 8:2,19 31:5 145:24 174:9 133:14.17 173:10 44:23 54:20 91:22 stenographically subcommittee 109:17 summertime 127:9 Staffing/Responsibi... 93:22 170:21 172:6 135:6 174:7 subcontractor 143:10 sun 133:18 172:14 step 8:24 77:4 146:7 stakeholders 12:4 **subject** 64:15 68:2 super 79:23 tabled 97:5 171:10,11 125:22 146:8,8 153:16 108:20 119:17 superintendent 2:12 **steps** 81:21 152:12 171:15 **stand** 119:1 **subjects** 108:19 2:13 4:9 34:20 tablet 33:25 40:22 standard 69:12 **stick** 39:4 submission 157:20 70:23 71:5,10,12,13 stipulation 9:5,8 71:14,18,21,24 72:2 take 13:24 23:6 29:10 150:12 158:12 29:13 35:8 44:6 standardized 153:9 stock 115:1,6,19,24 submissions 157:25 72:4,7,12 79:8 81:8 46:24 47:6 53:7 standards 64:14 118:4 166:15 83:12,15,18 84:7 stone 38:22 42:7 **submit** 21:14 127:5 85:17 88:8 104:3 58:21 72:21 76:12 65:18 82:23 77:4 80:12 105:17 standpoint 41:21 Stoneman 22:11 139:5 151:17 160:11 114:12 115:4 **submits** 127:21 62:6 63:2 71:20 **stop** 58:10 121:17 161:8 163:7 118:22 128:4 82:15 154:20 163:1 127:25 128:1 143:10 superintendent's 129:16 130:10,11 stands 80:19 97:10 137:25 **submittal** 151:4.15 4:19 32:23 131:16 135:1 start 15:21 24:8 **stopped** 127:7 **submitted** 141:16,17 superintendents 159:13 166:23 25:24 27:1 30:21 stops 144:24,25 156:25 158:8 83:13.14 109:19 takedown 16:9 32:4 45:1,13,24 **storms** 63:10 166:16,19 **support** 53:14,18 taken 11:14 66:20 46:11 51:23 52:1 strategy 66:14 135:4 submitting 127:2,3 77:18 97:4 150:19 90:21 76:7 77:5,6,6,8,8,9 Strauss 2:6 4:1,2 5:11 141:21,24 142:1 152:20,22 173:5 takes 28:8 100:19 subsequent 49:25 77:9 88:13 96:21 6:20 7:2,5,12 8:6,11 supporting 95:3,8 talk 9:22 20:20 38:18 115:12 129:21 8:14,17 9:14,15,24 155:25 141:6 39:7 47:9 113:9 130:13 136:12 10:12,23 11:11,19 substantial 156:2 **suppose** 135:8 talked 22:17 23:3 138:23 11:21 12:2,20,23 162:11 **supposed** 129:15 153:5 started 52:16,19 13:5 14:1,17,24 substantially 155:13 132:25 141:25 talking 46:19 60:25 substitute 45:19 142:3 98:25 15:4,24 16:8,15,23 81:12 94:4 117:12 **starting** 72:17 83:10 17:9,12,18,24 18:15 successful 65:10 supposedly 42:7 117:15 122:12 83:15 84:5 99:11 18:22 19:1,16 20:14 successfully 33:14 114:8 115:20 167:6 122:25 20:22 21:1,7,10,15 **succession** 58:16,20 **sure** 6:24 8:5 15:15 talks 158:25 starts 115:23 116:20 21:22 22:14 35:10 sue 106:7 35:1 39:2,13 40:8 tangible 78:24 35:13,17 48:19 sufficient 129:24 48:8 67:9 73:3 159:6 tape 142:10 state 16:7 17:16 59:22,23 60:2,7,10 149:1,7 153:20 87:18 93:23 99:8 task 13:13 29:14 21:13,14,18 22:2,4 60:15,18,23 61:8 154:6,22 102:13,23 103:10 31:10,15 132:18,23 103:11,15 123:21 67:22,23 68:7,7,8 suggest 101:1 103:25 105:1.2 133:22 174:2,5 68:16 69:5,11,15,23 **suggested** 38:21 45:2 107:22 111:23

	I		I	I
tasked 56:7,10	156:18 164:2	81:11,12 83:7,18	tie 47:23	today 5:12 6:9 10:1
taxpayers 75:9	168:24 169:12	84:1 85:4,10,11,14	tighten 136:4	15:20 32:24 58:2
team 52:21 53:21	173:4	91:15,20 92:1,10	tightly 128:5	90:11 100:15 117:1
60:5,12,19 65:9,14	<b>Thanks</b> 122:24	93:15 94:1,1,22	<b>TIM</b> 2:19	today's 23:15 46:6
65:16 67:25 68:3	170:20	95:9,16,20,22 96:6	time 8:22 14:6 17:17	told 17:22
82:1 131:5,10	Thanksgiving 26:15	96:16 97:8,10,11,12	18:5 26:8 28:8	tomorrow 166:5
135:17 143:4	28:1,14	97:20,21 100:21	29:23 30:23 32:4	tonight 31:9 125:8
150:11 157:5	<b>theory</b> 77:19	101:6 102:5,22	33:21 34:18 37:7	tool 33:15,15
technically 29:24	thereof 174:9	105:24 108:1,9	38:16,17 39:8,8,22	<b>top</b> 164:21
44:3	<b>thick</b> 147:10	113:9 115:14	42:1 45:10,25 46:1	topic 8:8 44:22 81:1,3
<b>technology</b> 2:9 33:25	thing 15:15 33:17	120:22 124:10	46:2,12,20,21,24,24	85:4 93:15 95:6,17
43:2 44:2 48:6 84:4	35:8 37:3 41:15,16	125:25 126:20,22	47:2,12,17 48:10,13	topics 46:5
108:5	54:25 57:15 68:5	127:1 135:13 137:9	48:14,20 49:9,19,23	total 141:15
tell 15:7 34:19 41:23	73:9 77:21 78:14	138:10 144:2	52:17 53:1,4 54:3	totally 129:19
43:18 78:8 116:25	83:2 84:12,23,24	145:21 159:25	57:23 64:17 66:2	tough 46:10
144:13 166:21	94:2 95:16 97:21	160:21 161:12,25	71:4,7 76:13 84:23	town 35:18
telling 95:13	100:16 102:5 103:3	162:20,25 163:15	85:3,8 86:3,5 88:19	track 15:6 62:5
tells 128:15 143:21	103:8 105:16 106:7	166:1 167:9 168:2	92:2,10,22 93:21,24	127:19 160:2
template 159:15	106:9 113:17	168:14 170:2	95:23 98:4,5 99:15	tracked 132:4
tend 47:5	126:20 131:24	<b>thinking</b> 73:8 83:1,1	101:16 107:18	tracking 124:18
tens 97:15	133:24,25 134:12	102:1 163:1	123:2,4,19 125:7,14	127:23 159:17
term 34:3 40:23	138:7 139:20	thinks 132:1	125:15,18 126:4	160:8,18 165:10
termination 140:21	142:16 143:14	third 26:5 33:17	127:15,17,18	tracks 18:2
terms 78:17 107:18	144:13 165:11	37:19 41:19 103:23	128:13,18 129:25	trail 153:20
108:18 133:20	things 28:20 32:15	<b>Thomas</b> 52:8 53:1,5	130:10,11 131:14	train 65:3
147:21	41:1,11 46:9 47:8	thorough 97:6	132:3 134:8,12	training 120:19 124:7
terrible 166:18	47:20 51:7 55:23	thoroughly 99:18	136:10 137:21	transcript 174:8
test 64:19 143:13	56:14 65:23 66:12	100:12	141:5 144:5 149:18	transfer 44:3
tested 107:25	67:9,10 70:22 78:11	thought 30:8 33:7	155:19,20,23,25	transition 71:5
testing 64:7 79:10	82:9 91:22,23 94:23	35:10 40:11 66:1	156:11,25 157:8,13	transmission 170:24
80:14 122:11,13	95:10 97:3 100:2	93:11 118:13	157:13 158:3,7	171:3
123:10,23 150:21	101:21,22 107:15	thousand 150:2	159:24 160:3,8	transmit 61:16,17
152:14,25 155:19	112:21 120:23	thousands 97:15	161:14 166:16	168:19
text 44:9	134:9,11,21 136:6	threat 64:7	167:13 172:22	transportation 76:8
thank 6:19 8:17 9:23	148:5 159:3,12	three 12:17 31:18	time-sensitive 46:15	trash 57:18
10:11 15:3 17:19	162:16 167:13	72:16 84:7,25	timecards 143:11 timeframe 28:15	treasury 112:10,11
20:21 22:14 23:4,13	169:23	122:18 123:9,9		112:11,12,13,24
24:12,19,20 25:15	think 12:8,10,12,14	129:12 150:18	37:10 38:2	trees 40:24
30:25 34:10 48:23	13:2 14:11 15:22 24:7 33:13 35:18	155:17,24 156:12	timeframes 23:15 timely 18:20 70:19	tremendous 93:13 trended 167:22
49:13 51:17 54:4,6	36:3 37:9 39:4,7,9	161:17 165:21,21 165:23 167:15	101:5 102:20	trends 55:2 168:1
55:2 59:3,20 61:22 63:3,6 81:25 82:25	39:10,12,12,16,17	three-year 124:1	154:25	Trimerge 2:21
86:17 87:19 88:23	40:9,21 41:12,15	thrilled 83:14	times 29:12 31:8	true 174:8
91:14 92:5,9,18	46:2,23 47:4,6,17	throw 68:14	32:17 33:14 34:18	trues 104:10
99:25 102:2,15	49:11 54:7,15,16	throwing 97:13	37:20 83:2 91:18	trust 58:7
103:7,19,20 104:15	55:1 62:14,16 65:10	Thursday 1:14 26:5	109:10 129:12	try 39:4 40:12 128:4
103.7,19,20 104.13	69:13 70:8,13,14	37:19 41:3,6,18,19	142:12	trying 13:8 14:12
117:5 121:8,20	71:7 72:5,9 73:21	Thursdays 29:2	timing 26:22 28:1,11	16:1 42:4 56:5
126:8 130:17	74:19 75:20 77:2,3	TIA 157:18,20,25	32:11 36:16 52:22	91:18 92:20 95:2
131:12 137:17	78:13,15 79:5,18,22	158:1	<b>Timothy</b> 1:21 174:4	97:24 113:15
142:22,24 145:8,25	79:25 80:10,12,22	TIAs 155:23 157:1	174:14	118:17 129:21
172.22,27 173.0,23	77.23 00.10,12,22	1 1 1 1 J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J	1/7.17	110.1/12/.21
	I	l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	I	l

	1	ı	ı	ı
131:25 133:13,24	understands 143:22	verify 16:17,22	54:5,11 58:8 59:18	42:3,4,15 43:8 47:9
139:19	149:10	118:18	70:4,4 71:25 76:3,3	47:19 62:4 64:25
<b>Tuesday</b> 12:22 14:6	understood 35:1	versed 146:14	76:4,6,7 77:5 80:4	65:2,10,22 66:7
18:8,23,25	undertaking 93:13	version 148:23	86:2 87:18 88:5,23	68:14 72:2,3 75:20
<b>Tuesdays</b> 28:22,24	unfortunate 39:16	versions 147:5	89:11 91:24 92:16	75:25,25,25 76:15
turn 122:21 164:4	Unfortunately 4:23	versus 72:7 76:19	96:7,14,24,24,25	76:20 81:12,16 82:8
turned 166:3	<b>uniform</b> 153:18	96:13	98:10 100:8 102:13	85:12,20 88:19 94:4
Turso 4:3	<b>unit</b> 60:16 63:23 64:2	<b>vetting</b> 154:14	103:12 110:6 112:1	95:2,3,13,21 96:2,3
twice 129:12	66:23	Vice 2:2 6:18 61:19	119:14 123:17	96:4,5,6,9,10,20,21
two 11:3 13:24 31:15	United 2:19	victims 12:5	138:24,25 147:7	96:24 97:12,12,13
31:17,18 36:9 48:21	unknowns 65:12	vida 135:25	158:22 163:6	97:16 98:1,17,17,21
48:22 54:16 58:18	unquote 62:6	<b>views</b> 79:9	169:22,23 172:6	100:22,25 101:17
75:20 83:12 84:7	unusual 104:21	violates 91:3	wanted 5:12 6:18	102:7 105:15
86:23 90:14 94:22	<b>update</b> 126:19 130:4	violence 90:5	22:17 23:19 24:8	111:10,24 112:7
95:9 97:3 98:13,23	169:8	virtually 63:13	30:6 44:14 68:14	115:14 120:8
99:1 100:2,13 101:8	updated 62:18	vision 63:1	73:11 86:6 89:6,14	124:19 129:8,9,11
110:7 116:17	124:12 125:20	voice 23:21	89:16 93:6 101:22	129:14,16 130:7
127:12 128:20	166:4	void 132:16	107:4 118:18,20	131:24 132:24
130:8 140:17	updates 123:3,13	<b>volume</b> 148:7	147:12 172:18	134:10 136:12
157:13,24	152:3,5,9 169:5	<b>volunteering</b> 110:14	wanting 133:19	137:23 138:12,13
<b>type</b> 54:24 67:10 85:7 105:11	updating 125:10	vote 8:23,25 19:5,6,7	wants 71:17 87:22	141:22 143:24
	<b>upset</b> 76:21	23:6 31:4 47:24	warehouse 113:9	145:2 148:1 154:3
types 112:14,21	upstairs 16:3	74:7 80:18,22 81:1	114:7,11,13 115:7	160:7 162:20
<b>typically</b> 126:15 127:12	urgency 38:18 usage 48:6	81:3 94:15,18 96:1 96:24 97:9,17 130:1	<b>Warehousing</b> 2:14,15 115:6	163:10 164:16,24 165:2,3,9 167:6,9
127.12	usage 46.0 use 44:17 54:25,25	138:10 170:11	warned 90:22	168:5,16,25 169:25
U	56:12 64:22 120:10	voting 95:14,15 96:2	wasn't 16:2 105:2,14	172:11
<b>Uh-huh</b> 43:23	125:8 147:23	96:5	105:22 133:10	we've 19:6 28:18
ultimate 71:25	useful 55:1 62:23	90.3	158:12	30:22 33:9,13 37:13
ultimately 63:24 75:9	74:20	$\overline{\mathbf{W}}$	waste 65:2	37:18 47:1 53:8
161:6	user 153:16	wait 5:11 43:7 84:7	wasting 88:19	65:5 79:22 80:8,8
unable 4:24 26:3	Usually 91:24	123:16 127:9 164:4	watch 130:2,5	80:10 84:3 100:12
59:11,25 65:20	utilize 23:20 33:16	165:4	watching 142:4	109:9 124:18
unacceptable 129:19	utmost 89:19	<b>waiting</b> 84:6 87:1	way 32:18 35:20	140:17 144:14
129:24		158:11 169:25	40:20 52:1 55:16	145:15,22 150:11
unallowable 141:6	V	waive 160:15	76:19 77:10 80:20	166:3,19 168:6
uncertainties 65:13	<b>V</b> 25:18	walk 122:21	81:13 83:22 93:19	website 43:1,3 44:4
uncomfortable 171:6	valid 47:4,5	<b>walks</b> 146:6	101:2 108:10	Wednesday 29:1 41:9
undergoing 158:16	validate 152:15	<b>wall</b> 97:13	109:14 119:16	Wednesdays 28:23
understand 15:4	validation 157:15	<b>Walsh</b> 73:15	130:4 137:13	weeds 144:24
17:18 46:17,18 56:5	<b>valuable</b> 71:13,21	<b>Wanda</b> 2:10 4:10	142:20 163:5 166:3	week 11:20,22 12:17
71:22 74:11,25	values 89:20	23:24 24:6	167:1	12:18 18:10 26:8
95:21 99:7 107:17	vantage 135:22	want 6:10,16 7:2,8	we'll 4:4 9:9 23:6	27:16,18 28:4,11,12
113:15 131:21	variance 163:12	8:24 10:20 12:18	24:8,15 44:19 46:20	34:19 37:11,13
133:9 136:16 137:2	various 27:3 63:17	13:5,6 18:17,18,22	46:22 49:21,22 52:8	38:20 117:18 131:9
149:12 167:25	101:11 161:21	21:7,8,10 22:8	62:11,23 64:7 68:11	weeks 28:16 126:17
168:3	vending 56:8 57:23	24:15 28:7 30:4	81:5 151:24 172:21	127:13 130:8
understanding 5:15	vendor 22:6 132:10	33:9,11,17 38:17	<b>we're</b> 12:7 13:2 18:9	welcome 92:7
5:19,23 16:16 74:22	132:14	40:15 41:16 44:10	19:9,10,10 33:12	went 67:3 113:5
86:7 93:2 125:22	verbose 47:6	46:12 48:8,11 49:10	36:15 37:4,10,15,16	153:21
131:4 144:2	verified 153:22	50:16 52:3,13 53:24	37:16 39:21 41:18	weren't 22:25

**24-25** 107:21 west 32:9 worse 147:17 64:25 97:14 107:7 **13th** 59:6 63:12 wether 100:14 worth 157:12,13 107:12 119:11 118:24 171:24 **24th** 55:14 wheel 101:25 133:2 144:18 **14** 166:14 **25** 5:17,24 6:2 9:18 158:2 When's 43:11 wouldn't 111:2 156:12 157:13 **15** 114:5 10:2,10 16:13,24 Whitney 15:21 **WRIGHT** 1:10 158:2 165:22,23 **16** 7:17 158:15 19:14 26:23 27:16 yesterday 101:9 149:15 write 44:9 159:7,13 **16th** 26:10 36:8,9,16 63:13 114:5 146:7 **whoever's** 161:9 writing 19:21 21:19 105:20 106:21 36:20,20 42:6 162:1,3 163:14 **whomever's** 162:23 written 42:7 135:22 vesterday's 57:18 **18** 5:21,24 6:2 10:5 164:17 wide 115:13 written-in-stone 40:3 15:8 19:15,24 152:2 **26** 114:5 133:19  $\mathbf{Z}$ **willing** 9:16 13:17 wrong 125:4,6 **18th** 29:7 51:18 27th 174:10 **Zoom** 33:14 winter 35:7,21 38:10 wrote 20:13 52:15 131:16 **29** 113:6 114:13 withdraw 97:9 1st 57:10 115:5,7,11,16,17,23 0 X withhold 160:6 115:25 116:14,20 002299 22:10 2 **X** 155:14 wondering 121:2 119:3 133:3 **2** 25:18 51:23 52:1 word 105:25 146:22 **29th** 27:8,16  $\mathbf{Y}$ 1 53:9 114:13 115:4 **2nd** 63:20 64:4 67:20 **wording** 87:18 **1** 16:5 20:18 21:3,6 vea 147:10 116:1 123:25,25 words 114:6 120:1 96:10 113:6 21:12 51:23 52:1 yeah 11:18 18:1,22 130:19 137:24 125:4 139:18 53:8 123:2 146:7 22:8,23 34:11 39:25 3 143:7 146:8 150:2 162:14 163:4 **1,080** 156:11 164:15 40:10 42:16 43:16 **3** 140:13 146:8 164:9 171:10 165:9 45:21 50:14 62:18 **20** 148:20 153:4 **3,000** 150:1 work 4:23 28:13 **1.5** 144:4 67:23 69:17 74:13 **200** 158:25 **30** 5:22 11:15 17:21 34:12 35:6.18.19.20 1:00 41:11 82:1 93:5 106:2,3 **2011** 53:4 54:7 44:6 36:21 39:1,4 41:8 **1:13** 1:15 173:18 106:24 109:9 **2015** 52:6,16 53:1 **300** 128:10 43:25 46:4 56:9 **1:15** 137:25 **30th** 57:11 58:24 110:22 112:9 116:7 77:25 58:1 60:2,13 62:16 120:21 128:7 **1:30** 171:1 **2018** 52:17 31st 27:2 64:9 65:17,21 66:24 **10** 8:8,12 50:10 51:15 135:13 139:2 **2019** 52:20 113:20 **33301** 1:23 67:7 76:1 77:10 51:21 117:23 141:1 146:22 155:4 **2020** 118:7 122:4 **335** 151:2 79:14,19 86:4 91:8 **10:00** 30:13 156:17 159:20 123:23,25 132:13 **35** 44:21 97:14 112:3 113:23 **10:15** 30:13 161:17 163:3 164:8 2021 52:24 **356** 158:25 114:19 127:6,6,7,8 **10:30** 47:25 168:14,23 169:10 **2022** 113:6 130:25 **39.23** 158:9 128:3 129:14 130:9 **100** 141:18 145:2,7 169:22 **2022-2023** 117:11,22 **3rd** 1:11 29:13,25 133:4,8,16 134:4,7 **100-page** 75:23 year 24:7 25:17,20 **2023** 1:14 26:10 29:8 30:12 49:22 50:9 141:25 144:21 **1010** 89:12 90:12 27:6,9 30:9 31:19 51:18 123:25 70:24 94:21 95:6 157:3 159:9 165:9 91:12 34:17 35:14 37:3 97:1 172:16,20 165:25 166:14 130:25 174:10 **1070** 27:23 38:13,24 49:19 50:1 **2024** 25:17 26:22 168:24 169:3 **11** 52:2 63:4.7 158:10 4 51:21,25 52:12 27:6 **workflow** 140:22 **11:30** 46:12 54:16 55:9,17 57:17 **4** 122:25 133:2 **2025** 131:25 165:1,3 151:11,20 153:10 **11:45** 46:6 139:15 140:25 64:5.16 81:5.20 **208** 52:18 153:23 **12** 8:8.12 22:12 33:1 83:21 84:3,7 100:13 **40** 47:1 **209** 158:7,9 workflows 153:7 107:21,25 108:7,7 121:19,21 141:2,16 **404** 74:6 **21** 6:12 9:20 19:18 working 18:9 52:19 109:6,10,11 114:6 **12-month** 132:8,20 48 42:12,23 43:4 117:24 118:16 58:25 65:22 114:1 133:10,21 134:23 106:25 119:11,15 114:14,16 115:22 162:4 124:14,15 125:5 135:10,12,16,19 115:23 116:3,9,10 **21st** 151:10 144:12 148:21 5 137:6 116:19,20,23 **22** 1:14 155:7 158:7 158:6 161:18 165:8 **12:00** 46:21 **5** 26:21 116:6 117:21 117:11,16,22 162:4,4 171:21 168:5 **12:30** 121:17 117:23,25 133:2 118:21 119:5 22-23 139:15 works 90:7 **1250G** 153:11.24 150:15 169:19 170:9 **220** 144:4 workshop 33:13 **127** 143:17 **50** 134:5,8 year's 115:2,8,19,21 **225** 52:1 53:15 63:20 64:4 67:13 **13** 53:5 140:23,23 **50/50** 164:8 **year-round** 35:3,11 226 53:15 78:5.22 150:15 158:13 **557** 157:12 years 28:17 53:5 23-24 118:8 **worried** 168:16 **134** 150:2 **56.25** 157:23

			Page 197
5th 1:22			
6			
<b>6</b> 150:23 153:6			
<b>6.7.7</b> 135:5 137:7			
<b>60</b> 5:23 44:6 104:5,6			
<b>600</b> 1:11			
<b>61</b> 157:20			
<b>633</b> 1:22			
7			
<b>7</b> 24:24 130:19 152:3			
158:14 166:7			
<b>7th</b> 29:24 41:24			
8			
<b>8</b> 25:16 153:2 166:7			
<b>80</b> 158:20			
<b>82</b> 158:9			
<b>87</b> 151:15			
9			
9 49:15,16 116:5,5			
117:9 140:13 155:8			
<b>9:30</b> 1:15 30:14,21			
32:4 45:1,24 46:6			
46:11 49:22 51:9,10			
<b>9:45</b> 30:15 36:9 <b>90</b> 134:14			
<b>9001</b> 77:20			
<b>98</b> 149:3,5 168:8			
<b>99</b> 162:5 164:18			
168:8			
<b>999,000</b> 39:24 40:5			
	l		I